

## READ Table 4.1

### Errata

The READ process was a lengthy, intensive, comprehensive, and collaborative effort. In addition to the significant work of the Applicants and the ALP's technical consultants, other Interested Parties participated actively and provided considerable input to the discussions and the READ documents. While the authors of the READ documents attempted to capture all of these comments, some were inadvertently omitted, and the following list of comments is not complete.

1. The description of Flood Management Action FLDSQ-R2 should read:  
*Modify existing high runoff procedures to improve flood control with increased dependable flood control storage to protect life and property values.*
2. The Water Quality Actions should be replaced by the following:

#### **ACTION: AQU-New Action**

**DESCRIPTION:** Establish a water quality management plan (WQMP) for the Lewis River Project tailraces.

#### **NOTES:**

Such a plan would include the following elements:

- ? Requirements under the Clean Water Act (CWA) and Washington State Department of Ecology (WDOE) state water quality standards.
- ? Description of the problem or issue
- ? Information that has been collected or is known about the projects and associated water quality conditions.
- ? Proposal by the Utilities to remediate the problem or issue. Includes measures to reduce or eliminate the problem and a back-up plan if the preferred correction does not work.
- ? Monitoring program to collect data on the measures implemented to correct the problem.
- ? Annual Reporting schedule and Adaptive Management Plan.

3. The following proposed revision was not addressed in the final READ document.

#### **Action: TER-R3**

The Conservation Groups proposed the following revision to the Watershed Processes issue: *Stream morphology, sediment movement, large woody debris, and aquatic and riparian habitat:*

*Could result in increased natural wood inputs and reduction in fine sediment erosion into stream in affected areas.*