## Condit Decommissioning

### Preliminary Construction Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Reviewing Submittal:</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Yakama Nation</td>
<td>No Comments per email 2/25/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 USDA FS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/9/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Appendix A (Blasting Plan)</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Dam Safety doesn't typically review or approve blasting plans. This is a discipline requiring expertise and training that we are not in a position to comment on. The plan was reviewed however, by Lachel &amp; Associates, a company experienced in tunneling and geotechnical and geological engineering.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 US Army Corps</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Klickitat County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Skamania County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Condit Decommissioning

#### Project: Condit Decommissioning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submittal Title/Number: Preliminary Construction Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Submittal: 3/1/2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Organization Reviewing Submittal:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yakama Nation</td>
<td>No Comments per email 2/25/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/9/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Appendix A (Blasting Plan)</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Dam Safety doesn't typically review or approve blasting plans. This is a discipline requiring expertise and training that we are not in a position to comment on. The plan was reviewed however, by Lachel &amp; Associates, a company experienced in tunneling and geotechnical and geological engineering.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>US Army Corps</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Klickitat County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skamania County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
February 18, 2011

Consultation Parties Distribution List

Subject: Condit Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2342) Project Removal Design Report Addendum

To Whom It May Concern,

Per Paragraphs (E)(2), (E)(3), (E)(4), and (O) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (FERC) Surrender Order dated December 16, 2010, for the decommissioning of the Condit Hydroelectric Project, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a project removal plan which includes final contract plans and specifications, a blasting plan for all proposed blasting activities, a disposal plan, and a reservoir cofferdams removal plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan to FERC for their approval.

Previously you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of 2011 project efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on documents updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the enclosed Submittal Review Comment Log by March 11, 2011. The documents for review include:

- 20110218 Addendum PRDR.pdf
- 20110218 Addendum PRDR Appendix A.pdf
- 20110218 Addendum PRDR Demolition Drawings.pdf
- 20110217 Preliminary Construction Schedule.pdf

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will
provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to Arianne Poindexter indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed documents. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call me at (503) 813-6657.

Sincerely,

Todd Olson
Director, Compliance

TO:TH:anp
Submittal Review Comment Log and Attachment(s) on CD
Arianne,
YN's review of Removal Plan.
Thanks,
Bill
## Submittal Review Comment Log

### Project: Condit Decommissioning
### Submittal Title/Number: Project Removal Plan
### Date of Submittal: 2/18/2011

**Organization Reviewing Submittal:** Yakama Nation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Sharp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

DATE: March 1, 2011

SENT BY: Arianne Poindexter, Project Coordinator

TO: Richard W (Rick) Wagner, Manager Public Projects, BNSF Railway Company, 2454 Occidental Ave S., Suite 1A, Seattle, WA 98134-1439, 206/625-6152 - Office

VIA: US Mail

Enclosed: Condit Hydroelectric Project: (CD)

3) Project Removal Design Report Addendum (20110218 Addendum PRDR.pdf)
4) Submittal Review Comments – Project Removal Plan (Submittal Review Comments - Project Removal Plan.xlsx)
5) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan May 2009 (Condit Public Safety Traffic Control Plan 052809.pdf)
6) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum (Public Safety Addendum.pdf)
7) Submittal Review Comments – Public Safety Plan (Submittal Review Comments - Public Safety Plan.xlsx)
8)

Remarks:
If you have any comments on these documents, please provide them by March 31, 2011. Please provide comments in the Submittal Review Comments Excel spreadsheets to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232.
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne  
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM  
To: Wagner, Richard W  
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,  
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.  
Arianne

From: Hickey, Thomas  
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM  
To: Wagner, Richard W  
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review. I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]  
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM  
To: Hickey, Thomas  
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniel; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner  
BNSF Railway  
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

---

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne  
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.

I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner  
Manager Public Projects 
BNSF Railway Company  
2454 Occidental Ave S.  
Suite 1A  
Seattle, WA  98134-1439  
206/625-6152 - Office  
206/625-6115 - Fax  
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION  
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Mr Denham –

To back up my voicemail left at your office number this morning:

BNSF accommodates many public projects with potential to impact our Property with protecting our Personnel and Operations foremost in our minds. Please understand that our intent is not to burden you with undo expense nor delay your Project which is sensitive to fish windows, but to understand that due diligence was performed by PacifiCorp and their agents in developing the demolition plans and all considerations were made to protect our bridge down stream from the Condit Dam.

We ask Agencies, Industries and individuals to give us adequate time (30 days) to review their projects’ plans with some projects finding advantages to include BNSF throughout their planning process. Please share with me any documentation that PacifiCorp has that indicates BNSF was contacted by your organization seeking review of the demolition plans prior to my call on or about February 24, 2011 requesting information regarding this Project.

Sincerely,

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and workproduct privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
provided, estimated peak stream flow in the White Salmon River immediately after dam breach (11,600 cfs at the tunnel) is comparable or lower than flows experienced in 1964 (9,640 cfs), 1974 (15,300 cfs), 1977 (9,980 cfs), 1980 (10,300 cfs), 1982 (12,400 cfs), 1996 (45,200 cfs), and 2003 (10,900 cfs). Expected peak flow following breach is 25 percent of peak flow experienced during the flood of 1996. The peak flow occurring at breach will continually decrease as the reservoir elevation drops and average flow over the six hours required to drain the reservoir is expected to be equivalent to a 2-year recurrence flow event. The high flows in 1996 and 2003 did not harm BNSF’s bridge and we do not believe the bridge will be harmed by the flows expected after dam breach.

If you have specific questions about how PacifiCorp will decommission the dam, including the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan, please forward them to us. Additionally, if you feel BNSF’s bridge will be harmed by the type of decommissioning flows expected to occur (a 2-year recurrence flow event) please forward your analysis for our review.

Regards,

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

---

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 12:38 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Dannie; Frederick, Scott R
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

Got the CD today and will share it internally immediately. Please schedule a meeting Outlook Invite to discuss further the re-collectable billing for Engineering Services to PacifiCorp should the need arise. Please, “reply to all” when responding to my emails, so the BNSF employees I need in the loop remain in the loop.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and
work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

---

From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.
Arianne

---

From: Hickey, Thomas
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review.
I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

---

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Hickey, Thomas
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniell; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick
-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com
Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.

I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Project Removal Design Report Addendum package sent to you via U.S. Mail on February 18, 2011 (see attached transmittal letter). If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
USFWS has no comments.

"Dreams are answers to questions 
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr. Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov
Hello Consulting Parties,
Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Project Removal Design Report Addendum package sent to you via U.S. Mail on February 18, 2011 (see attached transmittal letter). If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that.
Thank you in advance.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR  97232
(503) 813-5513
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Randall, Loree’ (ECY) [lora461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 4:08 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: Comments from our Dam Safety person.

Just want you to see David’s comments. Still waiting to see if we get others. Todd do you have some time tomorrow to talk about the Erosion Control Plan?

Thanks
Loree’

From: Cummings, David (ECY)
Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2011 8:40 AM
To: Randall, Loree’ (ECY)
Cc: LaVassar, Jerald (ECY); Bonkowski, Benno (ECY)
Subject: RE: Condit Dam New Plans and/or Addendum to existing plans.

Loree’:

I looked at the new plans that you mention at the x:\condit location. It appears that 4 of the plans have some bearing on the work that I did earlier for you. My comments about our need for review of those 4 plans are as follows:

Preliminary Construction Schedule – No need for additional evaluation

Addendum PRDR Demolition Drawings - No need for additional evaluation

Addendum PRDR - No need for additional evaluation

Addendum PRDR Appendix A (Blasting Plan) – Dam Safety doesn’t typically review or approve blasting plans. This is a discipline requiring expertise and training that we are not in a position to comment on. The plan was reviewed however, by Lachel & Associates, a company experienced in tunneling and geotechnical and geological engineering.

David Cummings
Dam Safety/Columbia River Offices
Ecology Water Resources
360/407-6620 Phone
360/407-7162 Fax

From: Randall, Loree’ (ECY)
Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2011 7:23 AM
To: Hartwig, Eric A. (ECY); Hoselton, Anna (ECY); Inouye, Laura (ECY); Irle, Pat (ECY); Matthews, Chuck (ECY); Murphy, Brad (ECY); Niemi, Cheryl (ECY); Odum, Iloba (ECY); Olson, Patricia (ECY); Redfield-Wilder, Joyce (ECY); Reed, Catherine D. (ECY); Rockett, Derek (ECY); Schmanke, Kim (ECY); Brown, Chad (ECY); Cornett, Deborah (ECY); Cummings, David (ECY)
Subject: RE: Condit Dam New Plans and/or Addendum to existing plans.
Importance: High

Just a friendly reminder that I need your comments (if you have any) on the Condit Dam plans this week.
I have sent some of the specific plans to some of you, but we have receive a number of additional plans and/or addendums that we need to review, so I have posted all the draft plans and/or addendums that PacifiCorp has submitted to date on the x drive (X:\Condit Dam\2011 Draft Management Plan Addendums). You will also find the original plans that we approved as part of the 401 issued in October 2010 on the x drive (X:\Condit Dam\2010 Management Plans) if you need them.

In order to continue to move for the dam to be removed in 2011 we do not have a lot of review time (sorry). I know it looks like a lot of work with a very short turnaround time, but some of the addendums are only couple of pages long. Attached to this email are the review and comments forms for all the plans and/or addendums that we are using to provide PacifiCorp our comments, so if you do have comment and/or questions please use the appropriate form and email it to me prior to the due date. The table below lists of all the plans and/or addendums that we have received and when the comments are due. If there is a specific plan that will take you longer to review please let me know ASAP including how much longer you may need (see table below).

Thanks for your help on this project.
Loree’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Plan</th>
<th>New Plan or Addendum</th>
<th>Date Comments Due</th>
<th>Name of Person Needing More Time</th>
<th>How much more time?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Resources Protection Plan</td>
<td>Addendum (32 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction SPCC Plan</td>
<td>Addendum (22 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion Control Plan &amp; Erosion</td>
<td>Addendum (7 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Plan Access Road 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Adams</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchard Replacement Line</td>
<td>(13 pages)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization (3 plans)</td>
<td>New (28 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Removal Design Report Addendum, Demolition and Blasting Plan</td>
<td>Addendum (65 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Facility Removal &amp; Improvements Plan</td>
<td>Addendum (2 pages)</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revegetation and Wetland Management Plan</td>
<td>Addendum (3 pages)</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment Assess Stabilization Plan and Preliminary Plan for Sediment Management</td>
<td>Addendum (7 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Salmon Waterline Relocation Contract</td>
<td>New (318 pages)</td>
<td>03/08/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woody Debris Management Plan</td>
<td>Addendum (4 pages)</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/151/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
- Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
- Project Removal Design Report Addendum
- Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
- Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,
Scott
Arianne –

In order for BNSF to attempt to have comments back to PacifiCorp on the Condit Dam removal by your requested 03.31.2011 due date I will first need to confirm re-imbursement to BNSF Railway by PacifiCorp for outside consulting on the PacifiCorp’s plan review. To date I have had no substantial discussions with PacifiCorp on this issue. Please have PacifiCorp’s point of contact request a meeting through Outlook with me (phone or in-person) to discuss re-imbursement as soon as possible.

Further delays in this discussion could impact your Project schedule should BNSF Railway take exception to your plan.

Thanks.

Rick

---

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

---

Arianne –

I received a voicemail on my mobile from your office requesting a call back and I accidentally deleted it, would you mind asking the caller to call my office this morning to discuss? Sorry for the inconvenience.

Rick
From: Wagner, Richard W  
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 12:38 PM  
To: 'Poindexter, Arianne'  
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas; Schurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniel; Frederick, Scott R  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

Got the CD today and will share it internally immediately. Please schedule a meeting Outlook Invite to discuss further the re-collectable billing for Engineering Services to PacifiCorp should the need arise. Please, “reply to all” when responding to my emails, so the BNSF employees I need in the loop remain in the loop.

Thanks.

Rick

---

From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM  
To: Wagner, Richard W  
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,

A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.

Arianne

---

From: Hickey, Thomas  
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM  
To: Wagner, Richard W
Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review.

I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Hickey, Thomas
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniell; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans". Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.
I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner
Manager Public Projects
BNSF Railway Company
2454 Occidental Ave S.
Suite 1A
Seattle, WA  98134-1439
206/625-6152 - Office
206/625-6115 - Fax
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
## Submittal Review Comment Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yakama Nation</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/25/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/9/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Appendix A (Blasting Plan)</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Dam Safety doesn’t typically review or approve blasting plans. This is a discipline requiring expertise and training that we are not in a position to comment on. The plan was reviewed however, by Lachel &amp; Associates, a company experienced in tunneling and geotechnical and geological engineering.</td>
<td>Noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>US Army Corps</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Klickitat County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skamania County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:24 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Number</td>
<td>Reference Page or Sheet No.</td>
<td>Reviewer Name</td>
<td>Review Comment</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Follow-up Action Person</td>
<td>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sec 1.7 A1a</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>No names or experience information has been submitted regarding Proposed Blasters-in-Charge. This is an important requirement. If a blaster has a good record with less than 10-yr’s experience, we can consider relaxing that requirement. Revised plan does not name any specific blasters-in-charge or provide qualifications.</td>
<td>A Blaster-in-Charge will be named and his qualifications presented for approval prior to field mobilization.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sec 1.7 D</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>A kick-off meeting involving all key contractors, owner and oversight reps is important. Future concerns and requirements regarding the Tap Blast and Potential Demolition Blasting Plans and Requirements can also be discussed at this meeting.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sec 3.3 A</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>This requirement is very important to assure the condition and limits of the concrete in the tap blast area are evaluated before this blast design is finalized.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sec 3.3 B</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>Blasting is prohibited in concrete near the tap blast to assure concrete condition is not worsened.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sec 3.3 D3</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>EZ-Drifter Detonators can be used for the preliminary blasting, but use of a TW)-PATH redundant system MUST be used for the critical breakthrough blast.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sec 3.3 D4</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>NOTE: DYNO AP is an emulsion explosive that can dead-press. DYNO does make the complaint D-GEL or equivalent dynamite product that will comply. I suggest you give them notice to inform their supplier about this important requirement.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sec 3.3 E</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>Again, 25-lb charges are far too large and will create air-overpressure with intensities exceeding 133-dBL at the nearest offsite structure.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sec 3.6</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>Confirm all required notifications and request copies of letters to agencies.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>K= Sec 2d 2nd bullet</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>Day Box must have OSHA compliant separation barrier between compartments that separately hold detonators and explosives. Alternatively, two separate Day Boxes can be used to transport these materials separately.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>K= Sec 3b 2nd bullet</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>The revised charge configurations, and verification of a 4-foot starter round with perimeter line-drilling is now compliant with all specs and good practice. The first sentence indicates use of Primacord, which is NOT compatible with DYNO AP emulsion explosives or EZ-Drifter Detonators. The ONLY place that primacord would be used is to trace Dynosplit dynamite trim charges in perimeter holes.</td>
<td>Deleted reference as suggested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Number</td>
<td>Reference Page or Sheet No.</td>
<td>Reviewer Name</td>
<td>Review Comment</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Follow-up Action Person</td>
<td>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>K= Sec 5 4th main bullet</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>The primary purpose of Day Boxes is safe transport - not explosive theft -- because the boxes must be attended at all times. Primary rules for construction of Day Boxes are mandated by OSHA and Safe of WA rules; and these boxes do not require locks because they MUST be attended -- which the Contractor has confirmed they will do. Portable Type 3 Magazines designed to ATF standards can be used, if two separate boxes are used for detonators and explosives. The boxes must also be marked &quot;EXPLOSIVES&quot; on all four sides as required by OSHA rules. So far, this is the only issue I have found in the revised plan that is not fully resolved.</td>
<td>Added statement &quot;be in compliance with OSHA specifications&quot;</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>K= Sec 6 last bullet</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>If the tunnel is blocked and there is risk of Dam overtopping, the use of concussion charges sized up to 25-lb may be the best option for quickly clearing blockages. Air overpressure may exceed 133-dBl at offsite structures but damage would be unlikely. I recommend you leave this emergency option open.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>K= DWG No. CONDIT-DT-001</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>If Primacord is used, it would ONLY be used to trace the 7/8-in Dynosplit dynamite column charges in Smoothwall Holes.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>K= DWG No. CONDIT-DT-001</td>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>The Burden distance of around one-foot between the Smoothwall and Buffer holes is much smaller than the 2.5 to 3-feet that would normally be used. Some overbreak or disruption or cutoffs of the Smoothwall charges may occur. This is a means and method decision by the Contractor.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Appendix A (Blasting Plan)</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Dam Safety doesn’t typically review or approve blasting plans. This is a discipline requiring expertise and training that we are not in a position to comment on. The plan was reviewed however, by Lachel &amp; Associates, a company experienced in tunneling and geotechnical and geological engineering.</td>
<td>so noted</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
February 18, 2011

Consultation Parties Distribution List

Subject: Condit Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2342)  
Project Removal Design Report Addendum

To Whom It May Concern,

Per Paragraphs (E)(2), (E)(3), (E)(4), and (O) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (FERC) Surrender Order dated December 16, 2010, for the decommissioning of the Condit Hydroelectric Project, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a project removal plan which includes final contract plans and specifications, a blasting plan for all proposed blasting activities, a disposal plan, and a reservoir cofferdams removal plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan to FERC for their approval.

Previously you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of 2011 project efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on documents updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the enclosed Submittal Review Comment Log by March 11, 2011. The documents for review include:

- 20110218 Addendum PRDR.pdf
- 20110218 Addendum PRDR Appendix A.pdf
- 20110218 Addendum PRDR Demolition Drawings.pdf
- 20110217 Preliminary Construction Schedule.pdf

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will
provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to Arianne Poindexter indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed documents. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call me at (503) 813-6657.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Todd Olson
Director, Compliance

TO:TH:anp
Submittal Review Comment Log and Attachment(s) on CD
Arianne,
YN's review of Removal Plan.
Thanks,
Bill
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Sharp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

DATE: March 1, 2011

SENT BY: Arianne Poindexter, Project Coordinator

TO: Richard W (Rick) Wagner, Manager Public Projects, BNSF Railway Company, 2454 Occidental Ave S., Suite 1A, Seattle, WA 98134-1439, 206/625-6152 - Office

VIA: US Mail

Enclosed: Condit Hydroelectric Project: (CD)

3) Project Removal Design Report Addendum (20110218 Addendum PRDR.pdf)
4) Submittal Review Comments – Project Removal Plan (Submittal Review Comments - Project Removal Plan.xlsx)
5) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan May 2009 (Condit Public Safety Traffic Control Plan 052809.pdf)
6) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum (Public Safety Addendum.pdf)
7) Submittal Review Comments – Public Safety Plan (Submittal Review Comments - Public Safety Plan.xlsx)
8) 

Remarks:
If you have any comments on these documents, please provide them by March 31, 2011. Please provide comments in the Submittal Review Comments Excel spreadsheets to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232.
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.
Arianne

From: Hickey, Thomas
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review. I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Hickey, Thomas
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Dannie; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne  
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.

I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner  
Manager Public Projects  
BNSF Railway Company  
2454 Occidental Ave S.  
Suite 1A  
Seattle, WA 98134-1439  
206/625-6152 - Office  
206/625-6115 - Fax  
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION  
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Mr Denham –

To back up my voicemail left at your office number this morning:

BNSF accommodates many public projects with potential to impact our Property with protecting our Personnel and Operations foremost in our minds. Please understand that our intent is not to burden you with undo expense nor delay your Project which is sensitive to fish windows, but to understand that due diligence was performed by PacifiCorp and their agents in developing the demolition plans and all considerations were made to protect our bridge down stream from the Condit Dam.

We ask Agencies, Industries and individuals to give us adequate time (30 days) to review their projects’ plans with some projects finding advantages to include BNSF throughout their planning process. Please share with me any documentation that PacifiCorp has that indicates BNSF was contacted by your organization seeking review of the demolition plans prior to my call on or about February 24, 2011 requesting information regarding this Project.

Sincerely,

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
provided, estimated peak stream flow in the White Salmon River immediately after dam breach (11,600 cfs at the tunnel) is comparable or lower than flows experienced in 1964 (9,640 cfs), 1974 (15,300 cfs), 1977 (9,980 cfs), 1980 (10,300 cfs), 1982 (12,400 cfs), 1996 (45,200 cfs), and 2003 (10,900 cfs). Expected peak flow following breach is 25 percent of peak flow experienced during the flood of 1996. The peak flow occurring at breach will continually decrease as the reservoir elevation drops and average flow over the six hours required to drain the reservoir is expected to be equivalent to a 2-year recurrence flow event. The high flows in 1996 and 2003 did not harm BNSF’s bridge and we do not believe the bridge will be harmed by the flows expected after dam breach.

If you have specific questions about how PacifiCorp will decommission the dam, including the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan, please forward them to us. Additionally, if you feel BNSF’s bridge will be harmed by the type of decommissioning flows expected to occur (a 2-year recurrence flow event) please forward your analysis for our review.

Regards,

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

---

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 12:38 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Dannie; Frederick, Scott R
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

Got the CD today and will share it internally immediately. Please schedule a meeting Outlook Invite to discuss further the re-collectable billing for Engineering Services to PacifiCorp should the need arise. Please, “reply to all” when responding to my emails, so the BNSF employees I need in the loop remain in the loop.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and
work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.
Arianne

From: Hickey, Thomas
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review.
I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Hickey, Thomas
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniel; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick
-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com
-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.

I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner
Manager Public Projects
BNSF Railway Company
2454 Occidental Ave S.
Suite 1A
Seattle, WA  98134-1439
206/625-6152 - Office
206/625-6115 - Fax
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Project Removal Design Report Addendum package sent to you via U.S. Mail on February 18, 2011 (see attached transmittal letter). If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
USFWS has no comments.

"Dreams are answers to questions
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr. Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov

"Poindexter, Arianne"
<(witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us)
>(sharp@yakama.com), Bob Heinith
03/07/2011 10:41 AM

"Poindexter, Arianne"
To
<(witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us)
>(sharp@yakama.com), Bob Heinith
03/07/2011 10:41 AM

"Poindexter, Arianne"
To
<(witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us)
>(sharp@yakama.com), Bob Heinith
03/07/2011 10:41 AM

"Poindexter, Arianne"
To
Hello Consulting Parties,
Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Project Removal Design Report Addendum package sent to you via U.S. Mail on February 18, 2011 (see attached transmittal letter). If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that.
Thank you in advance.

Ariane Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR  97232
(503) 813-5513
Poindexter, Arianne

Just want you to see David’s comments. Still waiting to see if we get others. Todd do you have some time tomorrow to talk about the Erosion Control Plan?

Thanks
Loree’

From: Cummings, David (ECY)
Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2011 8:40 AM
To: Randall, Loree’ (ECY)
Cc: LaVassar, Jerald (ECY); Bonkowski, Benno (ECY)
Subject: RE: Condit Dam New Plans and/or Addendum to existing plans.

Loree’:

I looked at the new plans that you mention at the x:\condit location. It appears that 4 of the plans have some bearing on the work that I did earlier for you. My comments about our need for review of those 4 plans are as follows:

Preliminary Construction Schedule – No need for additional evaluation

Addendum PRDR Demolition Drawings - No need for additional evaluation

Addendum PRDR - No need for additional evaluation

Addendum PRDR Appendix A (Blasting Plan) – Dam Safety doesn’t typically review or approve blasting plans. This is a discipline requiring expertise and training that we are not in a position to comment on. The plan was reviewed however, by Lachel & Associates, a company experienced in tunneling and geotechnical and geological engineering.

David Cummings
Dam Safety/Columbia River Offices
Ecology Water Resources
360/407-6620 Phone
360/407-7162 Fax

From: Randall, Loree’ (ECY)
Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2011 7:23 AM
To: Hartwig, Eric A. (ECY); Hoselton, Anna (ECY); Inouye, Laura (ECY); Irle, Pat (ECY); Matthews, Chuck (ECY); Murphy, Brad (ECY); Niemi, Cheryl (ECY); Odum, Iloba (ECY); Olson, Patricia (ECY); Redfield-Wilder, Joyce (ECY); Reed, Catherine D. (ECY); Rockett, Derek (ECY); Schmanke, Kim (ECY); Brown, Chad (ECY); Cornett, Deborah (ECY); Cummings, David (ECY)
Subject: RE: Condit Dam New Plans and/or Addendum to existing plans.
Importance: High

Just a friendly reminder that I need your comments (if you have any) on the Condit Dam plans this week.
I have sent some of the specific plans to some of you, but we have receive a number of additional plans and/or addendums that we need to review, so I have posted all the draft plans and/or addendums that PacifiCorp has submitted to date on the x drive (X:\Condit Dam\2011 Draft Management Plan Addendums). You will also find the original plans that we approved as part of the 401 issued in October 2010 on the x drive (X:\Condit Dam\2010 Management Plans) if you need them.

In order to continue to move for the dam to be removed in 2011 we do not have a lot of review time (sorry). I know it looks like a lot of work with a very short turnaround time, but some of the addendums are only couple of pages long. Attached to this email are the review and comments forms for all the plans and/or addendums that we are using to provide PacifiCorp our comments, so if you do have comment and/or questions please use the appropriate form and email it to me prior to the due date. The table below lists of all the plans and/or addendums that we have received and when the comments are due. If there is a specific plan that will take you longer to review please let me know ASAP including how much longer you may need (see table below).

Thanks for your help on this project.

Loree’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Plan</th>
<th>New Plan or Addendum</th>
<th>Date Comments Due</th>
<th>Name of Person Needing More Time</th>
<th>How much more time?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Resources Protection Plan</td>
<td>Addendum (32 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction SPCC Plan</td>
<td>Addendum (22 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion Control Plan &amp; Erosion</td>
<td>Addendum (7 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Plan Access Road 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Adams</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Pages</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchard Replacement Line</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>(13 pages)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization (3 plans)</td>
<td>Addendum</td>
<td>(28 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Removal Design Report Addendum, Demolition and Blasting Plan</td>
<td>Addendum</td>
<td>(65 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Facility Removal &amp; Improvements Plan</td>
<td>Addendum</td>
<td>(2 pages)</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revegetation and Wetland Management Plan</td>
<td>Addendum</td>
<td>(3 pages)</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment Assess Stabilization Plan and Preliminary Plan for Sediment Management</td>
<td>Addendum</td>
<td>(7 pages)</td>
<td>03/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Salmon Waterline Relocation Contract</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>(318 pages)</td>
<td>03/08/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woody Debris Management Plan</td>
<td>Addendum</td>
<td>(4 pages)</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/15/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
- Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
- Project Removal Design Report Addendum
- Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
- Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,

Scott
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Wagner, Richard W [Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2011 10:31 AM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniel; Frederick, Scott R; Berry, Ronald G
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

In order for BNSF to attempt to have comments back to PacifiCorp on the Condit Dam removal by your requested 03.31.2011 due date I will first need to confirm re-imbursement to BNSF Railway by PacifiCorp for outside consulting on the PacifiCorp’s plan review. To date I have had no substantial discussions with PacifiCorp on this issue. Please have PacifiCorp’s point of contact request a meeting through Outlook with me (phone or in-person) to discuss re-imbursement as soon as possible.

Further delays in this discussion could impact your Project schedule should BNSF Railway take exception to your plan.

Thanks.

Rick

---

**Confidential Communication**

This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

---

From: Wagner, Richard W
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 9:02 AM
To: 'Poindexter, Arianne'
Cc: ‘Olson, Todd’; ‘Denham, Brent’; ‘Hickey, Thomas’; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniel; Frederick, Scott R; Berry, Ronald G
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

I received a voicemail on my mobile from your office requesting a call back and I accidentally deleted it, would you mind asking the caller to call my office this morning to discuss? Sorry for the inconvenience.

Rick
Arianne –

Got the CD today and will share it internally immediately. Please schedule a meeting Outlook Invite to discuss further the re-collectable billing for Engineering Services to PacifiCorp should the need arise. Please, “reply to all” when responding to my emails, so the BNSF employees I need in the loop remain in the loop.

Thanks.

Rick
Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review.
I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Hickey, Thomas
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniel; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.
I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner  
Manager Public Projects  
BNSF Railway Company  
2454 Occidental Ave S.  
Suite 1A  
Seattle, WA  98134-1439  
206/625-6152 - Office  
206/625-6115 - Fax  
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION  
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Mr. Wagner

I missed your call yesterday and left you a voice message this morning. Please feel free to contact me again at your convenience.

W. Brent Denham, PE
Director, Engineering & Project Management
Hydro Resources
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-6412

---

Mr. Denham –

To back up my voicemail left at your office number this morning:

BNSF accommodates many public projects with potential to impact our Property with protecting our Personnel and Operations foremost in our minds. Please understand that our intent is not to burden you with undo expense nor delay your Project which is sensitive to fish windows, but to understand that due diligence was performed by PacifiCorp and their agents in developing the demolition plans and all considerations were made to protect our bridge down stream from the Condit Dam.

We ask Agencies, Industries and individuals to give us adequate time (30 days) to review their projects’ plans with some projects finding advantages to include BNSF throughout their planning process. Please share with me any documentation that PacifiCorp has that indicates BNSF was contacted by your organization seeking review of the demolition plans prior to my call on or about February 24, 2011 requesting information regarding this Project.

Sincerely,

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
Rick:

This is in response to your e-mail of March 8, attached below, seeking a meeting on reimbursement of BNSF’s cost to review Condit decommissioning plans and reports. PacifiCorp was happy to provide you with a CD of decommissioning materials and anticipates further discussions with you as a stakeholder identified in our Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan; but, PacifiCorp believes BNSF must bear its own cost to review and evaluate such information. As you can see from the materials provided, estimated peak stream flow in the White Salmon River immediately after dam breach (11,600 cfs at the tunnel) is comparable or lower than flows experienced in 1964 (9,640 cfs), 1974 (15,300 cfs), 1977 (9,980 cfs), 1980 (10,300 cfs), 1982 (12,400 cfs), 1996 (45,200 cfs), and 2003 (10,900 cfs). Expected peak flow following breach is 25 percent of peak flow experienced during the flood of 1996. The peak flow occurring at breach will continually decrease as the reservoir elevation drops and average flow over the six hours required to drain the reservoir is expected to be equivalent to a 2-year recurrence flow event. The high flows in 1996 and 2003 did not harm BNSF’s bridge and we do not believe the bridge will be harmed by the flows expected after dam breach.

If you have specific questions about how PacifiCorp will decommission the dam, including the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan, please forward them to us. Additionally, if you feel BNSF’s bridge will be harmed by the type of decommissioning flows expected to occur (a 2-year recurrence flow event) please forward your analysis for our review.

Regards,

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com
Frederick, Scott R  
**Subject:** RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

Got the CD today and will share it internally immediately. Please schedule a meeting Outlook Invite to discuss further the re-collectable billing for Engineering Services to PacifiCorp should the need arise. Please, “reply to all” when responding to my emails, so the BNSF employees I need in the loop remain in the loop.

Thanks.

Rick

---

**From:** Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM  
**To:** Wagner, Richard W  
**Cc:** Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas  
**Subject:** RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,  
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.  
Arianne

---

**From:** Hickey, Thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM  
**To:** Wagner, Richard W  
**Cc:** Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent  
**Subject:** RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review.  
I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

---

**From:** Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick

--- Original Message ---
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".
The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.

I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner
Manager Public Projects
BNSF Railway Company
2454 Occidental Ave S.
Suite 1A
Seattle, WA 98134-1439
206/625-6152 - Office
## Submittal Review Comment Log

### Project: Condit Decommissioning

**Submittal Title/Number:** 2011 Water Transmission Line Relocation, City of White Salmon, WA  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/14/2011

### Organization Reviewing Submittal:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yakama Nation</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments per email 2/23/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Spring Creek National Fish Hatchery</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/4/11 from Mark Ahrens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/8/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>USDA-FS</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/8/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NMP3/NOAA</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Caron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments received by 3/8/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments received by 3/8/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>US Army Corps</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments received by 3/8/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Klickitat County</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments received by 3/8/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Skamania County; Tim Homan; County Engineer</td>
<td>The city will be required to obtain a Skamania County Utility Permit prior to construction for work within the Northwestern Lake Road, Nester Peak Road and Lakeview Road rights-of-way. Anticipate permit conditions related to roadway conditions and mitigation of any construction damages.</td>
<td>The City of White Salmon and its consultant, Pioneer Surveying and Engineering have applied for Skamania County permits. Anticipate permits will be issued after bid opening and selection of the project alternative to be constructed.</td>
<td>N/A - follow-up is being tracked as part of the waterline relocation project permitting process.</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:

- Follow-up action for Comment 10 is N/A - follow-up is being tracked as part of the waterline reloction project permitting process.
February 14, 2011

Consultation Parties Distribution List

Subject: Condit Hydroelectric Project
2011 Water Transmission Line Relocation, City of White Salmon

To Whom It May Concern,

Per Paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (FERC) Surrender Order dated December 16, 2010, for the decommissioning of the Condit Hydroelectric Project, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a project removal plan which includes a plan to protect or replace the existing water line crossing of Northwestern Lake. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan to the Commission for their approval. To facilitate timely implementation of 2011 project efforts, including those related to the water line, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you provide comments utilizing the Submittal Review Comment Log by March 8, 2011. The documents requiring review are as follows:

- Attachment A – White Salmon Waterline Relocation Contract (includes Specifications)
- Attachment B – Waterline Relocation Drawings
- Attachment C – Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

In a series of agreements between PacifiCorp and the City of White Salmon, the City of White Salmon is managing the design, permitting and construction of the project to relocate the water transmission line. The city has submitted Joint Aquatic Resource Permit Applications to Klickitat County and Skamania County for this project work. Utility permit applications have also been submitted to each county. The permitting process is expected to be completed during April 2011.

Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide consulting parties with responses to each comment and identify how the documents will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. After consultation on the comment responses, PacifiCorp Energy will produce and submit to the FERC a final plan version.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call me at (503) 813-6657.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Todd Olson
Director, Compliance

TO:TH:anp
Submittal Review Comment Log and Attachment(s) on CD
Deliver via U.S. mail

Loree Randall
Washington Dept. of Ecology
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Keith Kirkendall
National Marine Fisheries Service
1201 NE Lloyd Blvd., Ste. 1100
Portland, OR 97232

Scott Carlon
National Marine Fisheries Service
1201 NE Lloyd Blvd., Ste. 1100
Portland, OR 97232

Christopher Fontecchio, Attorney-Advisor
NOAA
7600 Sand Point Way, NE
Seattle, WA 98115

Rod Engle
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1211 SE Cardinal Court, Suite 100
Vancouver, WA 98683

LouEllyn Jones
U.S. Fish & Wildlife
510 Desmond Dr., SE, Ste 102
Lacey, WA 98503-1263

Kristen Bonano
USDA Forest Service
P.O. Box 3623
Portland, OR 97208-3623

Jocelyn Somers
USDA Forest Service
1734 Federal Bldg., 1220 SW 3rd Ave.
Portland, OR 97204

Kristen Hafer
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CENWP-OD-G
P.O. Box 2946
Portland, OR 97208-2946

David Howe
Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife
600 Capitol Way N.
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Travis Nelson
Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife
600 Capitol Way North
Olympia, WA 98501-1091

Mark Ahrens
USFWS
Spring Creek Hatchery
61552 State Road 14
Underwood, WA 98651

Bob Heinith
Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish
729 NE Oregon St., Ste. 200
Portland, OR 97232

Bill Sharp
Yakama Nation Fisheries – YKFP
Research Scientist IV
P.O. Box 151
Toppenish, WA 98948

Skamania County Planning Department
Attn: Karen Witherspoon
P.O. Box 790
Stevenson, WA 98648

Klickitat County Planning Department
Attn: Curt Dreyer
228 W. Main, MS-CH-17
Goldendale, WA 98620

P. Stephen DiJulio
Foster Pepper & Shefelman
1111 3rd Ave Ste 3400
Seattle, WA 98101-3264
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Hickey, Thomas  
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 4:14 PM  
To: Poindexter, Arianne  
Subject: FW: City of White Salmon Water Line

Arianne - For your consultation records.

I’ll come up with a summary comment and forward to Kellie for her to post on the spreadsheet.

From: Tim Homann  
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 11:48 AM  
To: Hickey, Thomas  
Cc: Larry Douglass  
Subject: City of White Salmon Water Line

Mr. Hickey,

I am familiar with the water main project. I have reviewed the project plans relative to its impacts to Skamania County roads and am further aware of the procedures and requirements for the project to obtain the necessary utility permit for work within our rights-of-way. I had a phone conversation yesterday with the project engineer at Pioneer Surveying and Engineering and gave him a general heads up on what we would be looking at with this project.

The project proponents through their agencies and agents will be required to obtain a Skamania County Utility Permit prior to construction for work within the Northwestern Lake Road, Nester Peak Road and Lakeview Road rights-of-way. Typically, the project owner would make a County Road Permit Application at our Public Works office. With a project such as this, the plans and any specifications would be attached as the review backup and any other project information provided. Upon review the Public Works Department would issue the Utility Permit with any standard and any special conditions or requirements unique to the project. No work can commence on the public right-of-way until the permit has been issued.

Skamania County has adopted a Utility Work Standards Manual which I have attached for your information (http://www.skamaniacounty.org/public-works/files/2010/09/Utility-Standards-Package.pdf). Specific to this project, my initial review of the plans found that our standards have generally been met. Final review by both me and our Road Superintendent would occur in direct response to the actual permit application being made. Although plan detail corrections do not appear likely, depending on the chosen option, Skamania County could be looking at conditions and possible agreements with the proponent through the permit process to address roadway damages as described below. I am aware that there are two options with these plans and I indicated to the engineer that it would be preferable to know which option was selected prior to our permit process review and response to help us avoid unnecessary evaluation. If you decide it is necessary to make permit application prior to making the option decision, we would have to articulate any differences in possible conditions to the two options in our response.

An area of particular concern with the project that I bring to your attention is the existing roadway section of Lakeview Road. Our best information is that the easterly end of that road has an asphalt and chip seal section and that the westerly end of the road is a BST oil mat section. We have been unable to find any as-built information and no records of any detail of these pavement sections or limits. Without field verification of the actual thicknesses, pavement sections are not immediately known and construction equipment and activities with this project may have different damage impacts. The project will be looked at closely to determine if any pavement section damages need to be corrected. In
addition, in all cases where there are trench pavement patches, the County will want some reassurance that long term trench settlement does not occur and create uneven rides and potential water pockets. The project proponent may be asked to commit to repairing trench settlement failures.

With this initial response to the your request for comments, Skamania County Public Works Department will continue to respond and perform all tasks in the process of the project. You may forward this information to your project agents and please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Tim Homann, P.E.
County Engineer
Skamania County Public Works
P.O. Box 790
Stevenson, WA 98648
(509) 427-3912
homann@co.skamania.wa.us
Arianne,
Attached please find the YN's review for the following:
Thanks,
Bill

- NW Lake Bridge
- Sediment Plan
- Erosion Control Plan
- Mt Adams Orchard Plan
- Waterline
### Submittal Review Comment Log

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** 2011 Water Transmission Line Relocation, City of White Salmon, WA  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/14/2011

**Organization Reviewing Submittal:** Yakama Nation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Sharp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 8, 2011, regarding the City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation package sent to you via U.S. Mail on February 14, 2011. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Hi Arianne,

We are not planning on submitting comments.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Ahrens
Hatchery Manager
Spring Creek NFH -
Columbia River Gorge NFH Complex
61552 SR-14
Underwood, WA 98651
ph 509 493 1730
fax 509 493 2980
mark_ahrens@fws.gov

Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 8, 2011, regarding the City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation package sent to you via U.S. Mail on February 14, 2011. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
USFWS is not planning on submitting comments.

"Dreams are answers to questions
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr.
Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov
Hello Consulting Parties,
Please be advised that comments are due on March 8, 2011, regarding the City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation package sent to you via U.S. Mail on February 14, 2011. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Scott.Carlon [Scott.Carlon@noaa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 10:50 AM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Chris Fontecchio
Subject: Re: Condit Decommissioning Plans
Attachments: Scott_Carlon.vcf

Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/15/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

---

From: Scott.Carlon [mailto:Scott.Carlon@noaa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 09, 2011 1:29 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Chris Fontecchio
Subject: Condit Decommissioning Plans

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
- Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
- Project Removal Design Report Addendum
- Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
- Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,

Scott
# Submittal Review Comment Log

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Northwestern Lake Bridge Design  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/18/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yakama Nation</td>
<td>No Comments per email 2/23/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/9/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/11/11 from Loree Randall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:22 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>US Army Corps</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:22 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Klickitat County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:22 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skamania County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:22 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a plan to stabilize (before the reservoir is drained) Northwestern Lake Bridge to the specifications of the Klickitat County Department of Public Works. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at [http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html](http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html) under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Plan is attached for your review, specifically:

1. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Specifications
2. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Design and Overview
3. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Drawings

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Arianne,

Attached please find the YN's review for the following:

Thanks,

Bill

- NW Lake Bridge
- Sediment Plan
- Erosion Control Plan
- Mt Adams Orchard Plan
- Waterline
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Sharp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
USFWS does not have comments on this plan.

"Dreams are answers to questions we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr. Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov

"Poindexter, Arianne"
<Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com> To
<witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us>,
"Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com)"
03/07/2011 09:39 <sharp@yakama.com>, Bob Heinith AM
<heib@critfc.org>, "Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov)"
<chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov>, "Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us)"
<CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us>, "Howe, David (DFW)"
<David.Howe@dfw.wa.gov>, "Jocelyn
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 2:01 PM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); Louee Randall; LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov); P. Stephen (Steve) DiJulio (diup@foster.com); Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)
Cc: 'Gordon Kelsey'
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization

Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a plan to stabilize (before the reservoir is drained) Northwestern Lake Bridge to the specifications of the Klickitat County Department of Public Works. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Plan is attached for your review, specifically:

1. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Specifications
2. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Design and Overview
3. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Drawings

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 9:39 AM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); 'Jocelyn B. Somers'; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); 'Loree Randall'; 'LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov)'; 'P. Stephen (Steve) DiJulio (dijup@foster.com)'; 'Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov)'; 'Scott Carlon'; 'Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)'  
Cc: 'Gordon Kelsey'; Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization

Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a plan to stabilize (before the reservoir is drained) Northwestern Lake Bridge to the specifications of the Klickitat County Department of Public Works. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Plan is attached for your review, specifically:

1. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Specifications
2. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Design and Overview
3. Northwestern Lake Bridge Stabilization Drawings

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will
be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/151/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
-Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
-Project Removal Design Report Addendum
-Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
-Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,

Scott
# Submittal Review Comment Log

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Plan  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/18/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yakama Nation</td>
<td>No Comments per email 2/23/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from David Howe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/9/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/11/11 from Loree Randall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>US Army Corps</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 5:21 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Klickitat County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 5:21 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skamania County</td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 5:21 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare construct a new pump intake and install a pump for the Mount Adams Orchard water supply. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Plan is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 4:20 PM
To: 'mikes@maocorp.com'
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply
Attachments: 20110218 Mt Adams Orchard Replacement Line.pdf; Submittal Review Comments - Mt Adams Orchard Plan.xlsx

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 2:28 PM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); Loree Randall; Louellyn Jones (louellyn.jones@fws.gov); P. Stephen (Steve) Diuluo (diup@foster.com); Rod Engle (Rod.Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply

Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare construct a new pump intake and install a pump for the Mount Adams Orchard water supply. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Plan is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
Arianne,

Attached please find the YN's review for the following:

Thanks,

Bill

- NW Lake Bridge
- Sediment Plan
- Erosion Control Plan
- Mt Adams Orchard Plan
- Waterline
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Sharp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Howe, David (DFW) [David.Howe@dfw.wa.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 10:06 AM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Nelson, Travis W (DFW)
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply

Arianne:

The WDFW does not have any comments. Thanks.

Dave Howe
Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
Region 5 Habitat Program Manager
(360) 906-6729

From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 9:41 AM
To: Witherspoon, Karen (DOHi); Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); 'Jocelyn B. Somers'; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); Randell, Loree (ECY); 'LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov)'; 'P. Stephen (Steve) Dijulio (dijup@foster.com)'; 'Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov)'; 'Scott Carlon'; Nelson, Travis W (DFW)
Cc: Olson, Todd; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply

Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 2:28 PM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); Loree Randell; LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov); P. Stephen (Steve) Dijulio (dijup@foster.com); Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply

Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare construct a new pump intake and install a pump for the Mount Adams Orchard water supply. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC
and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Plan is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 9:41 AM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); 'Jocelyn B. Somers'; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); 'Loree Randall'; 'LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov)'; 'P. Stephen (Steve) DiJulio (dijup@foster.com)'; 'Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov)'; 'Scott Carlon'; 'Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)'
Cc: Olson, Todd; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply

Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare construct a new pump intake and install a pump for the Mount Adams Orchard water supply. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Plan is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.
If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR  97232
(503) 813-5513
Hello Mike,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply.

If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne  
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 9:42 AM  
To: 'mikes@maocorp.com'  
Cc: Olson, Todd; Hickey, Thomas  
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply

Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare construct a new pump intake and install a pump for the Mount Adams Orchard water supply. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Plan is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.
If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter  
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources  
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500  
Portland, OR 97232  
(503) 813-5513
USFWS does not plan to submit comments on this.

"Dreams are answers to questions
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr. Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov

"Poindexter, Arianne"
<Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com> To
<witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us>,
"Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com)"
03/07/2011 09:40
<sharp@yakama.com>, Bob Heinith
AM
<heib@critfc.org>, "Chris
Fontecchio
(chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov)"

"Chris
Fontecchio
(chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov), "Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us)", "Howe, David (DFW)"
"David.Howe@dfw.wa.gov>, "Jocelyn B. Somers""
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 2:28 PM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (echris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(6) Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply

Per order paragraph (E)(6) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare construct a new pump intake and install a pump for the Mount Adams Orchard water supply. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Plan is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/151/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

**Reports Reviewed by NMFS**
- **Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan**
- **Project Removal Design Report Addendum**
- **Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum**
- **Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum**

Thank you,

Scott
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yakama Nation</td>
<td>No Comments per email 2/23/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/4/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from David Howe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/9/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/11/11 from Loree Randall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>US Army Corps</td>
<td>No Comments received.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Per order paragraph (M) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Reservoir Sediment Assessment and Stabilization Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum and the Preliminary Plan for Sediment Management are attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Arianne,

Attached please find the YN's review for the following:

Thanks,

Bill

- NW Lake Bridge
- Sediment Plan
- Erosion Control Plan
- Mt Adams Orchard Plan
- Waterline
Submittal Review Comment Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Sharp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Erosion Control Plan - USFWS has no comments Erosion Control Plan Access Road - USFWS has no comments Sediment Assess Stabilization Management Plan - USFWS has no comments Construction SPCC Plan - USFWS has no comments Preliminary Plan for Sediment Management - USFWS has no comments

"Dreams are answers to questions we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr.

Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne
If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Arianne:

The WDFW does not have any comments. Thanks.

Dave Howe
Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
Region 5 Habitat Program Manager
(360) 906-6729

Hello Consulting Parties,
Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

Per order paragraph (M) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Reservoir Sediment Assessment and Stabilization Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.
Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at [http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html](http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html) under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Project Removal Design Report utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum and the Preliminary Plan for Sediment Management are attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter  
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources  
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500  
Portland, OR 97232  
(503) 813-5513
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/151/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
-Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
-Project Removal Design Report Addendum
-Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
-Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,

Scott
**Condit Decommissioning**

**Erosion Control Plan**

**2/18/2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yakama Nation</td>
<td>No Comments per email 2/23/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/4/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from David Howe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/9/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10,11,12,13,14 Table 2-1 &amp; 2-2 (Original Plan)</td>
<td>Ecology - S. Pendleton-Orme</td>
<td>Table 2-1 BMP Installation does not reference the BMP from the manual or give specific type of BMP in all cases. Example: construction access what type of rock dimensions etc. Table 2-2 calls out location of BMPs but does not always show on drawings.</td>
<td>SWPPP to be developed as part of Contractor's construction permitting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Page 16 (Original Plan)</td>
<td>Ecology - S. Pendleton-Orme</td>
<td>How are they going to treat water from work zones prior to discharge. Does not give specific method.</td>
<td>Discharge of water from work zones to be treated with ground infiltration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(Original Plan)</td>
<td>Ecology - S. Pendleton-Orme</td>
<td>Summary Comments: The current EC plan does not meet the requirements of the NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit. I would suggest following Ecology SWPPP template and using the Eastern WA Stormwater Management Manual for a technical guide of BMPs.</td>
<td>SWPPP to be developed as part of Contractor's construction permitting.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Page 7 (Original Plan)</td>
<td>Ecology - Derek Rockett</td>
<td>Permit termination also requires removal of all temporary BMPs</td>
<td>Contractor responsible for adhering to the SWPPP and NPDES requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 WDFW No Comments received

12 US Army Corps No Comments received
Per order paragraph (K) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Erosion Control Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Erosion Control Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Erosion Control Plan Addendum and Access Road 14 Drawing are attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.
Arianne,
Attached please find the YN's review for the following:
Thanks,
Bill

- NW Lake Bridge
- Sediment Plan
- Erosion Control Plan
- Mt Adams Orchard Plan
- Waterline
### Submittal Review Comment Log

#### Project:
Condit Decommissioning

#### Submittal Title/Number:
Erosion Control Plan

#### Date of Submittal:
2/18/2011

#### Organization Reviewing Submittal: Yakama Nation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Sharp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Erosion Control Plan - USFWS has no comments
Erosion Control Plan Access Road - USFWS has no comments
Sediment Assess Stabilization Management Plan - USFWS has no comments
Construction SPCC Plan - USFWS has no comments
Preliminary Plan for Sediment Management - USFWS has no comments

"Dreams are answers to questions
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr.
Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Erosion Control Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

Per order paragraph (K) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Erosion Control Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Erosion Control Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Erosion Control Plan Addendum and Access Road 14 Drawing are attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Howe, David (DFW) [David.Howe@dfw.wa.gov]  
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 10:06 AM  
To: Poindexter, Arianne  
Cc: Nelson, Travis W (DFW)  
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (K) Erosion Control Plan Addendum

Arianne:

The WDFW does not have any comments. Thanks.

Dave Howe  
Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife  
Region 5 Habitat Program Manager  
(360) 906-6729

From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]  
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 9:40 AM  
To: Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Howe, David (DFW); 'Jocelyn B. Somers'; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); Randall, Loree' (ECY); LouEllyn Jones (louellyn.jones@fws.gov); 'Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov)'; 'Scott Carlon'; Nelson, Travis W (DFW)  
Cc: Olson, Todd; Hickey, Thomas  
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (K) Erosion Control Plan Addendum

Hello Consulting Parties,  
Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Erosion Control Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.  
Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne  
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 2:12 PM  
To: Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); Loree Randall; LouEllyn Jones (louellyn.jones@fws.gov); Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)  
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (K) Erosion Control Plan Addendum

Per order paragraph (K) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Erosion Control Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.
To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Erosion Control Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Erosion Control Plan Addendum and Access Road 14 Drawing are attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/151/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
-Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
-Project Removal Design Report Addendum
-Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
-Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,

Scott
Attached are the comment regarding the Erosion and Control Plan. The only other plan that we may have comments on is the Spill Plan, but those comments may not come in until Monday. Otherwise we have no more comments to provide on the other plans. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks
Loree’
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Page 5</td>
<td>S. Pendleton-Orme</td>
<td>The EC plan does not meet the SWPPP requirements required under permit condition S9.B. (NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit) What BMPs apply to each of the 12 elements that apply. Reference the SWPPP template at our web site. <a href="http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/constructi">http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/constructi</a> on/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,11,12,13,14 Table 2-1 &amp; 2-2</td>
<td>S. Pendleton-Orme</td>
<td>Table 2-1 BMP Installation does not reference the BMP from the manual or give specific type of BMP in all cases. Example: construction access what type of rock dimensions etc. Table 2-2 calls out location of BMPs but does not always show on drawings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Page 16</td>
<td>S. Pendleton-Orme</td>
<td>How are they going to treat water from work zones prior to discharge. Does not give specific method.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>S. Pendleton-Orme</td>
<td>Summary Comments: The current EC plan does not meet the requirements of the NPDES Construction Stormwater General Permit. I would suggest following Ecology SWPPP template and using the Eastern WA Stormwater Mangement Manual for a technical guide of BMPs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Page 7</td>
<td>Derek Rockett</td>
<td>Permit termination also requires removal of all temporary BMPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Number</td>
<td>Reference Page or Sheet No.</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Reviewer Name</td>
<td>Review Comment</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Follow-up Action Person</td>
<td>Follow-up Complete?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/4/11 from LouEllen Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yakama Nation</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/3/11 from Bill Sharp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>Seed mix does not include enough forbs – could add some balsamroot, annual lupine, lomatium spp. (such as L. nudicaule, trieratum, ), buckwheat spp. (such as E. strictum, elata, compositum), violets, wild strawberry,...; This grass/fors mix will get established far faster than trees/shrubs and will provide the basic understory as the trees become established. This will also establish arthropod populations.</td>
<td>The seed mix in the Revegetation Plan was adapted from the original seeding recommendation in Condit Project Description 6/4/04. It was developed in consultation with the NRCS and the Underwood Conservation District. As part of the development of the current Revegetation Plan the mix was changed to reflect the necessity of controlling erosion as quickly as possible and more forbs were added. If so desired this mix can have more forbs added, however it will be the grasses that provide the earliest erosion control.</td>
<td>Valid concern, however seed will be purchased from reputable seed dealers and will be purchased as certified seed. The mix will likely be blended by the seed supplier who will be required to guarantee mix components. The native red fescue can easily be replaced with more Idaho fescue if the source’s identification is in question.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>Native red fescue should be eliminated – too confused with non-native red fescue.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>Regreen should be eliminated. It has been well documented that adding this grass to a re-veg mix tends to out compete with the natives. The natives will get established faster on their own. Increase percentage of Idaho fescue, blue wildrye, and bromus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Condit Decommissioning Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan

Organization Reviewing Submittal: Condit Decommissioning

Date of Submittal: 2/17/2011
## Submittal Review Comment Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>Seed mix should not include DF, alder, and other woody spp. Could leave in snowberry and add Ceanothus integerrimus. Ninebark and ocean spray should be added in less quantities using bare-root.</td>
<td>The planting of bare root shrubs will require additional hand labor and cost. We will consider planting a small amount of bare-root shrubs when we plant the trees. We will leave the ocean spray and nine bark in the seed mix to cover areas that can’t be covered by hand planting. The final planting mix, methods and timing will be determined by the Contractor when sediments are stable and in consultation with local agency representatives.</td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>Woody material should be added later as bare root. Emphasis should be on oak/pine and shrubs – not DF, alder, and cedar. These later may be more appropriate in shaded areas, but most of this lower area with east/south slopes should be pine/oak. Emphasis should be to provide for a relatively open forested character (which is or was more characteristic to this area) as if fire were a frequent disturbance factor. DF was likely a less well representative in this area historically.</td>
<td>This is a thoughtful comment. As stated in G14 above, adding bare-root shrubs will incur extra expense. We will consider substituting a small amount of oak/pine in the bare-root tree stock to plant on selected drier south/east facing slopes. There may not be very much of this type of site; suitable areas will need to be determined during the Post-Reservoir-Draining Assessment. The final planting mix, methods and timing will be determined by the Contractor when sediments are stable and in consultation with local agency representatives.</td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>The upland tree mix should also reflect the above.</td>
<td>See G15 above.</td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>The riparian plantings. Need to define riparian. The proposed tree spp. should only be planted immediately along and within 25 ft. of the river after it has become stabilized. More upland areas should quickly transform into oak/pine/shrubs.</td>
<td>The Plan states on page 25 that the riparian area will be 25 feet landward of the OHWM. The actual dimension will have to be determined during the Post-Reservoir-Draining Assessment.</td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>Weed control strategy: It is critical to get the native grasses/forbs established as soon as possible within the first season. This will be critical to keeping out invasive. This is a relatively low cost for the benefits. These grasses can grow in most conditions and will not require high fertility. Soil stabilization, if it takes more than one season should be completed once the re-veg has been initiated within the first season. Areas disturbed can then be re-vegetated with grasses/forbs. Tree and shrubs can be planted later after stability becomes more definite.</td>
<td>Agreed that the goal is to establish native vegetation as quickly as possible. Weed control is clearly spelled out as a priority and arrangements are in place for the local Weed Control Supervisor to monitor weed infestations and apply appropriate control.</td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/11/11 from Loree Randall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/17/2011
Per order paragraphs (U) and (V) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Reservoir Wetland Creation and Upland and Riparian Revegetation Plan and Spoil Disposal Area Revegetation Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at [http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html](http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html) under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Arianne,

Is this all that you have for us to review in the attached PDF? Could you enlighten me a little bit more on what you are expecting from the agency? I went to the web page and didn't see the referenced Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan. Could you provide us some direction?

Lynn Oliver

Lynn Oliver
Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist
Landscape Architect/Geologist
Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area
902 Wasco Avenue
Hood River, Oregon 97031
541-308-1716
loliver@fs.fed.us

Lynn, please distribute this to Mark, Robin and anyone else you feel needs to review it. Note the attachment is just an addendum and the actual document to review is accessed through the web link. Also note March 10 rely deadline.

Pam Campbell
Natural Resource Specialist (Lands)
Columbia River Gorge NSA
Phone 541-308-1714
Pam,

could you please let me know who I should forward these documents to for review? The deadline is March 10th. Thanks,

Kristen

Per order paragraphs (U) and (V) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Reservoir Wetland Creation and Upland and Riparian Revegetation Plan and Spoil Disposal Area Revegetation Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and
provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 10, 2011, regarding the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

---

Per order paragraphs (U) and (V) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Reservoir Wetland Creation and Upland and Riparian Revegetation Plan and Spoil Disposal Area Revegetation Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro.hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.
Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR  97232
(503) 813-5513
Thanks for the clarification. The quick scan gets me all the time. . . you'd like I'd learn by now.
Attached please find the YN response form
Thanks,
Bill

Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
In the original email sent Feb. 17? Nothing was attached to the reminder I sent this morning. Let me know if the Feb. 17 didn’t contain the comment log….
Arianne

From: Bill Sharp [mailto:sharp@yakama.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2011 10:42 AM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Re: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (U) and (V) Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum

No attachments to mine . . . "utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011"
Thanks,
Bill

Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Hello Consulting Parties,
Please be advised that comments are due on March 10, 2011, regarding the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.
Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2011 11:59 AM
To: Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Loree Randall; LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov); Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (U) and (V) Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum

Per order paragraphs (U) and (V) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Reservoir Wetland Creation and Upland and Riparian Revegetation Plan and Spoil Disposal Area Revegetation Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan utilizing the attached *Submittal Review Comment Log* by no later than March 10, 2011. The *Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum* is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at [Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com](mailto:Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com) or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
## Submittal Review Comment Log

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan  
**Date of Submittal:**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Sharp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
USFWS has reviewed this plan and has no comments.

"Dreams are answers to questions we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 10, 2011, regarding the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that.

Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2011 11:59 AM
To: Bill Sharp (sharp@yakama.com); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Loree Randall; LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov); Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (U) and (V) Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum

Per order paragraphs (U) and (V) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Reservoir Wetland Creation and Upland and Riparian Revegetation Plan and Spoil Disposal Area Revegetation Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.
Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:
Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/151/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
-Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
-Project Removal Design Report Addendum
-Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
-Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,

Scott
We do not have any comments.

Thanks
Loree’

Hi Loree,
Comments on the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan and the Recreation Facilities Removal Plan were due yesterday. Please confirm that Ecology has no comments on those two plans so I can close the comment log. Thanks!

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
### Comment Log

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Aquatic Resources Protection Plan  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/18/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4</td>
<td>NOAA/NMFS</td>
<td>The &quot;Work Group&quot; is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group.</td>
<td>The work group consisting of US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, Yakama Nation, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, US Forest Service, US Geological Service, and PacifiCorp. The Work Group members will be noted in section 1.4.1.</td>
<td>Kleinfelder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets</td>
<td>NOAA/NMFS</td>
<td>The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).</td>
<td>Comment noted. Edits will be made to section 2.2.</td>
<td>Kleinfelder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Number</td>
<td>Reference Page or Sheet No.</td>
<td>Reviewer Name</td>
<td>Review Comment</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Follow-up Action Person</td>
<td>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets</td>
<td>NOAA/NMFS</td>
<td>Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upstream habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).</td>
<td>Comment noted. Edits will be made to section 2.2.</td>
<td>Kleinfelder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/11/11 from Loree Randall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Comments received as of 3/11/11 at 5:26 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 3:49 PM
To: Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Loree Randall; LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov); Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan Addendum
Attachments: 20110218 Addendum Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.pdf; Submittal Review Comments - Aquatic Res Protection Plan.xlsx

Per order paragraphs (Q), and (T) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Raceways Protection Plan and a Western Pond Turtle Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Aquatic Resources Protection Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR  97232
(503) 813-5513
Poindexter, Arianne

From: LouEllyn_Jones@fws.gov
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2011 3:10 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Aquatic Resources Protection Plan comments Condit
Attachments: Submittal Review Comments - Aquatic Res Protection Plan_USFWS_edits.xlsx

(See attached file: Submittal Review Comments - Aquatic Res Protection Plan_USFWS_edits.xlsx)

Here are the comments for this plan from USFWS

"Dreams are answers to questions
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr. Lacey, WA 98503 telephone: 360-753-5822 fax: 360-753-9518 Louellyn_jones@fws.gov
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Section 2.3 page 9,</td>
<td>Rod Engle - USFWS</td>
<td>First paragraph on the page - there may be a slight chance that carcasses from the fish transportation effort will have collected, and remained, in the remanant pools in the mainstem White Salmon River, particularly near Buck Creek based on the 2008 study conducted by the USFWS. Water temperatures and potential low flows somewhat preserve carcasses in the late fall in the White Salmon River. Mention of their exclusion might be merited, particularly if the settle out again in that area. Also there is the chance these carcasses fall into the remanant reservoir and get notice later on in the year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Section 3, page 10</td>
<td>Rod Engle - USFWS</td>
<td>Similar to the previous comment, there is the potential for carcasses from the transportation effort to settle in the mainstem White Salmon and collect in remnant pools, or be transported downstream much later so potential mentioning of these carcasses being excluded may be merited. Likely carcasses will be heavily degraded but still somewhat identifiable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Section 5.2, page 13-14</td>
<td>Rod Engle, Speros Doulos - USFWS</td>
<td>As stated, the USFWS emphasized the importance of the supply line protection to the White Salmon Ponds. If the plywood protections weren't adequate, would the contractor JR Merit be responsible for removal of debris in the line? Future use of the ponds (after adequate screening) won't occur with debris in the line so if protections were compromised, the Service would like the supply line cleaned/flushed as well as part of the contractor's duties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Appendix C</td>
<td>Lou Elyyn Jones, USFWS</td>
<td>For the letter template to USFWS regarding fish mortality observations, the letter should be addressed to Lou Elyyn Jones, Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning, USFWS Washington Fish and Wildlife Office, 510 Desmond Dr. SE, Lacey, WA 98503</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Submittal Review Comment Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

Per order paragraphs (Q), and (T) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Raceways Protection Plan and a Western Pond Turtle Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Aquatic Resources Protection Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.
Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Here are USFWS comments on this plan.

(See attached file: Submittal Review Comments - Aquatic Res Protection Plan_USFWS_edits.xlsx)

"Dreams are answers to questions we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr. Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2011 3:49 PM
To: Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Loree Randall; LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov); Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan Addendum

Per order paragraphs (Q), and (T) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Raceways Protection Plan and a Western Pond Turtle Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.
Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Aquatic Resources Protection Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
### Submittal Review Comment Log

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Aquatic Resources Protection Plan  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/18/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Section 2.3 page 9,</td>
<td>Rod Engle - USFWS</td>
<td>First paragraph on the page - there may be a slight chance that carcasses from the fish transportation effort will have collected, and remained, in the remanent pools in the mainstem White Salmon River, particularly near Buck Creek based on the 2008 study conducted by the USFWS. Water temperatures and potential low flows somewhat preserve carcasses in the late fall in the White Salmon River. Mention of their exclusion might be merited, particularly if the settle out again in that area. Also there is the chance these carcasses fall into the remnant reservoir and get notice later on in the year.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Section 3, page 10</td>
<td>Rod Engle - USFWS</td>
<td>Similar to the previous comment, there is the potential for carcasses from the transportation effort to settle in the mainstem White Salmon and collect in remnant pools, or be transported downstream much later so potential mentioning of these carcasses being excluded may be merited. Likely carcasses will be heavily degraded but still somewhat identifiable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Section 5.2, page 13-14</td>
<td>Rod Engle, Speros Doulos - USFWS</td>
<td>As stated, the USFWS emphasized the importance of the supply line protection to the White Salmon Ponds. If the plywood protections weren't adequate, would the contactor JR Merit be responsible for removal of debris in the line? Future use of the ponds (after adequate screening) won't occur wit debris in the line so if protections were compromised, the Service would like the supply line cleaned/flushed as well as part of the contractors duties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Appendix C</td>
<td>Lou Ellyn Jones, USFWS</td>
<td>For the letter template to USFWS regarding fish mortality observations, the letter should be addressed to Lou Ellyn Jones, Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning, USFWS Washington Fish and Wildlife Office, 510 Desmond Dr. SE, Lacey, WA 98503</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Organization Reviewing Submittal: 2/18/2011
## Submittal Review Comment Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the *Aquatic Resources Protection Plan*, these are: (1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the *White Salmon* River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to be present in the *White Salmon* River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the *White Salmon* River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
-Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
-Project Removal Design Report Addendum
-Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
-Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,
Scott
### Condit Decommissioning

**Capture and Transport of Lower Columbia River Fall Chinook Salmon February 4, 2011**

**Date of Submittal:** 2/4/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Page 9</td>
<td>White Salmon Working Group</td>
<td>Need to add recommendations of fishing regulations near and around weir and upstream of Condit Dam.</td>
<td>Added to plan - follow-up received on 3/10/2011 by John Weinheimer-WDFW (phone).</td>
<td>Rod Engle</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Page 6</td>
<td>White Salmon Working Group</td>
<td>Addition of a steelhead genetic component for ad-present adult captures. All adipose present adult steelhead will be sampled for genetics and any recaptured adults will be reviewed for relatedness to upstream populations and transported upstream if that's the case. If not related, adult steelhead recaptures that are adipose present adults will be held at LWSNFH for release after dam removal.</td>
<td>Added to plan</td>
<td>Rod Engle</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Page 9</td>
<td>White Salmon Working Group</td>
<td>Identified safety coordination with PacifiCorp and Contractor.</td>
<td>Added to plan</td>
<td>Rod Engle</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Page 9</td>
<td>White Salmon Working Group</td>
<td>Identify protocols for avoiding redds for upstream users after LCR fall Chinook transported upstream.</td>
<td>Added to plan</td>
<td>Rod Engle</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Page 4</td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>Changes to the release location of any encountered bull trout to reflect time of capture and release location.</td>
<td>Edited plan - final ok from Lou Ellyn Jones 3/14/2011</td>
<td>Rod Engle</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>No Comments per 3/8/11 email from Loree Randall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Per order (S) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy is submitting the enclosed Draft Capture and Transport of Lower Columbia River Fall Chinook Salmon plan prepared by Rod Engle, Joseph Skalicky and William R. Brignon (all U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – Columbia River Fisheries Program Office) for your review and comment.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests your review and comments (if any) utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by February 28, 2011. Please provide any comments to Frank Shrier, PacifiCorp, and Rod Engle, USFWS. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. This comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the Commission.

Thank you for a timely review of the attached document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
FYI, Arianne, this should go into P8 as a formal comment.

-----Original Message-----
From: LouEllyn_Jones@fws.gov [mailto:LouEllyn_Jones@fws.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2011 11:44 AM
To: Shrier, Frank
Cc: Jeffrey_Chan@fws.gov; Rod_Engle@fws.gov
Subject: Fw: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (S) draft Capture Transport Plan

Hi Frank. After talking this over with Jeff and Rod, I'd like to make a recommendation for bull trout in the Capture Transport Plan. When we initially discussed what to do with any bull trout captured in the White Salmon River or at the Weir prior to dam removal, there were no plans to transport fish above the dam. Given our choice at the time (to either hold any captured bull trout or release them into Bonneville Pool), we elected to release them in the pool. Now that the Capture Transport Plan includes transport of at least some salmonids above the dam, USFWS would like any bull trout captured below the dam to also be transported above the dam.

Thanks!

"Dreams are answers to questions
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 510 Desmond Dr.
Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
Rod Engle
Hatchery Assessment Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Columbia River Fisheries Program Office
1211 SE Cardinal Court, Suite 100
Vancouver, WA 98683
(360) 604-2500
DIRECT LINE = (360) 604-2513

----- Forwarded by Rod Engle/CRFO/R1/FWS/DOI on 02/15/2011 10:52 AM -----
Attached is the plan sent for review and comment.

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 5:22 PM
To: 'Anne.Friesz@dfw.wa.gov'; 'brady_allen@usgs.gov'; 'btcarre@fs.fed.us'; 'byrnejbb@dfw.wa.gov'; 'Christian_Smith@fws.gov'; 'dhu@fs.fed.us'; 'Doug_Olson@fws.gov'; 'jeanette@ykfp.org'; 'Jeffrey_Jolley@fws.gov'; 'jzendt@ykfp.org'; 'Larry_Marchant@fws.gov'; 'LouEllyn_Jones@fws.gov'; 'Mark_Ahrens@fws.gov'; 'michael_hudson@fws.gov'; 'Patrick_J_Connolly%USGS@fws.gov'; 'Rich.Turner@noaa.gov'; 'sbaker04@fs.fed.us'; 'scaballero@fs.fed.us'; 'Scott.Carlon@noaa.gov'; 'sharp@yakama.com'; 'Speros_Doulos@fws.gov'; 'Ted.Labbe@dfw.wa.gov'; 'WEINHJMW@DFW.WA.GOV'; 'LouEllyn_Jones@fws.gov'; 'Timothy_Roth@fws.gov'; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov); Loree Randall
Cc: Shrier, Frank; Olson, Todd
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (S) draft Capture Transport Plan

Per order (S) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy is submitting the enclosed Draft Capture and Transport of Lower Columbia River Fall Chinook Salmon plan prepared by Rod Engle, Joseph Skalicky and William R. Brignon (all U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – Columbia River Fisheries Program Office) for your review and comment.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests your review and comments (if any) utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by February 28, 2011. Please provide any comments to Frank Shrier, PacifiCorp, and Rod Engle, USFWS. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. This comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the Commission.

Thank you for a timely review of the attached document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
(See attached file: Submittal Review Comments - SalmonSalvagePlan.xlsx) (See attached file: USFWS 2011 Capture Transport Year of Condit Dam Removal_v3.docx)
Thanks Arianne, I'll finish those up,

Rod

Rod Engle
Hatchery Assessment Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Columbia River Fisheries Program Office
1211 SE Cardinal Court, Suite 100
Vancouver, WA  98683
(360) 604-2500
DIRECT LINE = (360) 604-2513

Once you have filled in the spreadsheet, please send to me.  If I get any comments, I will include and send the completed comment log out on Tuesday, March 1

From:  Shrier, Frank
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 2:07 PM
To:  Rod_Engle@fws.gov; Poindexter, Arianne
Cc:  Olson, Todd
Subject:  RE: FW: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (S) draft Capture Transport Plan

Rod,
I have not received any comments and I’m not sure about Arianne (she is not here today).  If you could fill in the comments on the spreadsheet that would really be helpful.  Thanks.

From:  Rod_Engle@fws.gov [mailto:Rod_Engle@fws.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:39 PM
To:  Poindexter, Arianne
Cc:  Shrier, Frank
Subject:  Re: FW: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (S) draft Capture Transport Plan

Hi Arianne and Frank,

Just touching base.  Any other comments from folks on the draft Capture/Transport plan?  I scanned the email below and realized I should probably wait until 28th for any remaining comments from stragglers before kicking this out.  I haven't received anything
beyond what was received at the White Salmon Working Group and the thoughts (now likely sheveded) on some changes towards the incidental capture of a bull trout from LouEllyn Jones (Frank, you were on some of those emails),

Rod

PS - Also, should I be filling the spreadsheet with the comments of the workgroup, and their nature, to capture them correctly?

Rod Engle
Hatchery Assessment Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Columbia River Fisheries Program Office
1211 SE Cardinal Court, Suite 100
Vancouver, WA 98683
(360) 604-2500
 DIRECT LINE = (360) 604-2513
*Poindexter, Arianne* <Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com>

02/14/2011 08:44 AM

Per order (S) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy is submitting the enclosed Draft Capture and Transport of Lower Columbia River Fall Chinook Salmon plan prepared by Rod Engle, Joseph Skalicky and William R. Brignon (all U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – Columbia River Fisheries Program Office) for your review and comment.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests your review and comments (if any) utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by February 28, 2011. Please provide any comments to Frank Shrier, PacifiCorp, and Rod Engle, USFWS. Following receipt of your comments,
PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. This comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the Commission.

Thank you for a timely review of the attached document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter  
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources  
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500  
Portland, OR  97232  
(503) 813-5513
No comments on the Capture Transport Plan.

Thanks
Loree’

Did you have any comments on this plan?

Per order (S) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy is submitting the enclosed Draft Capture and Transport of Lower Columbia River Fall Chinook Salmon plan prepared by Rod Engle, Joseph Skalicky and William R. Brignon (all U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – Columbia River Fisheries Program Office) for your review and comment.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests your review and comments (if any) utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by February 28, 2011. Please provide any comments to Frank Shrier, PacifiCorp, and Rod Engle, USFWS. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. This comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the Commission.

Thank you for a timely review of the attached document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
Thanks Rod. Ecology has responded and they have no comments. I have attached the comment log with Ecology added. The goal is to file the finalized plan with FERC on March 15. I would like to get the final plan and final comment log from you by no later than close of business on March 14. Do you think this is possible?

Hi Arianne,

The comments within the White salmon working group would include everyone but WDOE. At the meeting that day were representatives from WDFW, Yakama Indian Nation, Spring Creek NFH, U.S. Geological Survey, and US Forest Service.

Most comments were predominantly YN and the redd identification comments were from the USFS. WDFW was there but didn't comment specifically other than that they were ok with what others said.

Also, I am trying to reach someone in WDFW about the fishing regulation issue. We need input at a level higher than at the Working Group so it may not be able to be addressed conclusively until later, or not addressed at all.

Rod

Rod Engle
Hatchery Assessment Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Columbia River Fisheries Program Office
1211 SE Cardinal Court, Suite 100
Vancouver, WA  98683
(360) 604-2500
><((((*>  >(*** >><(((*>  >><(***>
DIRECT LINE = (360) 604-2513

Rod,
The groups specifically called out by FERC that have to be consulted are:
I have sent a message to Ecology asking whether they have any comments. Did you receive comments from Yakama Nation? I’m trying to determine which of the groups above responded to you as part of the White Salmon Working Group.

Sorry Arianne, should have had this to you earlier.

I'm still waiting on a response from Jim Byrne and left a message with him this morning about the fishing regulations upstream of the dam during September/October.

Rod

Rod Engle
Hatchery Assessment Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Columbia River Fisheries Program Office
1211 SE Cardinal Court, Suite 100
Vancouver, WA 98683
(360) 604-2500
DIRECT LINE = (360) 604-2513

"Poindexter, Arianne" <Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com>

Please send the comment log for the capture and transport plan. Thanks
Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Rod,
Please send the comment log once you have finished. Thanks.
Arianne

From: Rod_Engle@fws.gov [mailto:Rod_Engle@fws.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 3:08 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Shrier, Frank; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: FW: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (S) draft Capture Transport Plan

Thanks Arianne, I'll finish those up,

Rod

Rod Engle
Hatchery Assessment Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Columbia River Fisheries Program Office
1211 SE Cardinal Court, Suite 100
Vancouver, WA  98683
(360) 604-2500
DIRECT LINE = (360) 604-2513

"Poindexter, Arianne" <Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com>

02/24/2011 02:15 PM

Once you have filled in the spreadsheet, please send to me. If I get any comments, I will include and send the completed comment log out on Tuesday, March 1
From: Shrier, Frank  
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 2:07 PM  
To: Rod_Engle@fws.gov; Poindexter, Arianne  
Cc: Olson, Todd  
Subject: RE: FW: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (S) draft Capture Transport Plan

Rod,  
I have not received any comments and I’m not sure about Arianne (she is not here today). If you could fill in the comments on the spreadsheet that would really be helpful. Thanks.

From: Rod_Engle@fws.gov [mailto:Rod_Engle@fws.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:39 PM  
To: Poindexter, Arianne  
Cc: Shrier, Frank  
Subject: Re: FW: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (S) draft Capture Transport Plan

Hi Arianne and Frank,

Just touching base. Any other comments from folks on the draft Capture/Transport plan? I scanned the email below and realized I should probably wait until 28th for any remaining comments from stragglers before kicking this out. I haven't received anything beyond what was received at the White Salmon Working Group and the thoughts (now likely shevled) on some changes towards the incidental capture of a bull trout from LouEllyn Jones (Frank, you were on some of those emails),

Rod

PS - Also, should I be filling the spreadsheet with the comments of the workgroup, and their nature, to capture them correctly?

Rod Engle  
Hatchery Assessment Team  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Columbia River Fisheries Program Office  
1211 SE Cardinal Court, Suite 100  
Vancouver, WA 98683  
(360) 604-2500  
>><((((>><((((>><((((>
DIRECT LINE = (360) 604-2513

"Poindexter, Arianne" <Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com>

02/14/2011 08:44 AM
Attached is the plan sent for review and comment.

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 5:22 PM
To: 'Anne.Friesz@dfw.wa.gov'; 'brady_allen@usgs.gov'; 'btcarrre@fs.fed.us'; 'byrnejbb@dfw.wa.gov'; 'Christian_Smith@fws.gov'; 'dhu@fs.fed.us'; 'Doug_Olson@fws.gov'; 'jeanette@ykfp.org'; 'Jeffrey_Lolley@fws.gov'; 'jzendt@ykfp.org'; 'Larry_Marchant@fws.gov'; 'LouEllyn_Jones@fws.gov'; 'Mark_Ahrens@fws.gov'; 'michael_hudson@fws.gov'; 'Patrick_J_CONNOLLY%USGS@fws.gov'; 'Rich.Turner@noaa.gov'; 'sbaker04@fs.fed.us'; 'scaballero@fs.fed.us'; 'Scott.Carlon@noaa.gov'; 'sharp@yakama.com'; 'Speros_Doulos@fws.gov'; 'Ted.Labbe@dfw.wa.gov'; 'WEINHJMW@DFW.WA.GOV'; 'LouEllyn_Jones@fws.gov'; 'Timothy_Roth@fws.gov'; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov); Loree Randall
Cc: Shrier, Frank; Olson, Todd
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (S) draft Capture Transport Plan

Per order (S) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy is submitting the enclosed Draft Capture and Transport of Lower Columbia River Fall Chinook Salmon plan prepared by Rod Engle, Joseph Skalicky and William R. Brignon (all U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – Columbia River Fisheries Program Office) for your review and comment.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests your review and comments (if any) utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by February 28, 2011. Please provide any comments to Frank Shrier, PacifiCorp, and Rod Engle, USFWS. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. This comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the Commission.

Thank you for a timely review of the attached document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/4/11 from LouEllyn Jones</td>
<td>iological function of the White Salmon River. Wood that does not create human hazards, slope stability concerns or impede fish passage will be left on the landscape. Please see edits describing above in the Section 2 introduction of plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>USDA FS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/9/11 from Lynn Oliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pg 4 - Section 1.4 Management Plan</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>What is the plan after the 5-year monitoring period following the dam breach? Is there a 5+ year contingent plan? Will the monitoring and assessment outlined in the Woody Debris Management Plan be transferred from PacifiCorp Energy to another entity?</td>
<td>PacifiCorp maintains that 5-years after breach the White Salmon River should achieve a normal form and function with respect to transport of Large Woody Debris through the project area. As such, there is no monitoring plan beyond the 5-year period and no contingency plan. FERC may stipulate additional monitoring at the end of the period should they deem necessary.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pg 10 - Section 2 Larger Wood Processes and Removal</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>It is understood that the removal of the large amount of sediment built up behind Condit Dam is a priority written into the WDMP, and that the flow of water carrying sediment may be impeded due to woody debris. However, once major sediment transport occurs post dam removal, woody debris within the channel and floodplain would aid in bank stability, reduce bed load transport, improve habitat quantity and function. Wood that does not create human hazards and fish passage obstruction, post dam removal and major sediment transport, should remain. As stated on pg 24, table 2-2 of the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, most wood jams allow (fish) passage because of jam complexity.</td>
<td>PacifiCorp agrees that large woody debris plays an important role in the ecological function of the White Salmon River. Wood that does not create human hazards, slope stability concerns or impede fish passage will be left on the landscape. Please see edits describing above in the Section 2 introduction of plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pg 13 - Section 3.2.1 Wood and Sediment Retention</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>An inclusion of any historical information on woody debris or log jams would be beneficial. Certainly, given the location of the White Salmon River within a forested area, a buildup of wood would naturally occur. Current low wood amounts within the river are likely due to anthropogenic interactions. Over time, sediment retaining structures created out of wood form -- with vegetative growth, sediment will be retained over a long period of time -- useful to implement after following major sediment transport out of the system following dam removal.</td>
<td>The limit of historic information on woody debris or log jams contributes to a large unknown for this project. Given the dam is near 100 years old, the amount of debris collected behind the dam is hard to estimate. As noted above, woody debris can play a positive role in the river, riparian and upland landscapes whereby they retain pockets of sediment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Woody Debris Management Plan  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/17/2011
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pg 15-20 - Section 4 Monitoring/Adaptive Management Recommendations</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>Who will conduct the monitoring and surveys mentioned throughout section 4 and will reports of the findings be made available to Klickitat County? This includes the monitoring for Stage One, Stage two, Stage Three, and Stage Four (Sections 4.1.1-4.1.4)? Will Klickitat County be made aware of any management actions taken as a result of the monitoring surveys?</td>
<td>A contractor to PacifiCorp will conduct the monitoring and surveys. Results of monitoring can be made available to the County. Prior to management actions resulting from the monitoring, PacifiCorp will notify the County. Section 4.2 will be modified to include coordination with the County regarding wood removal and management activities.</td>
<td>Kleinfelder</td>
<td>Changes incorporating suggestions that the county be notified should be placed in the introduction to Section 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pg 15-20 - Section 4 Monitoring/Adaptive Management Recommendations</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>Is there a Quality Assurance Project Plan for the monitoring mentioned in Sections 4.1.1-4.1.4? What are the specific procedures?</td>
<td>No, monitoring are general surveys. If the County finds issues arising during the period, please contact PacifiCorp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pg 19 - Section 4.2 Large Wood Removal Methods and Disposal</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>Why not save wood smaller than 18 inches in diameter and 20-feet in length? This smaller wood is also very useful in wood habitat projects in conjunction with large wood.</td>
<td>Typically such wood is not in demand for restoration projects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Pg 19 - Section 4.2 Large Wood Removal Methods and Disposal</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>Could the large wood salvaged and stored be kept for longer than 1 year? Potential projects utilizing this available wood may need more time to utilize it.</td>
<td>Given project removal efforts are expected to take less than one year, and to prevent future vandalism or public safety issues, PacifiCorp seeks to have the stored wood dispersed within the project area or taken offsite by end-users.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pg 19 - Section 4.2 Large Wood Removal Methods and Disposal</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>If insufficient wood is salvaged for habitat restoration projects, will usable wood be provided by PacifiCorp?</td>
<td>No, collected woody debris is a result of project removal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pg 3 - Section 4.3.2 of Addendum WDMP</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>What specific large wood structures are proposed? Who will conduct and sponsor the projects?</td>
<td>No structures are proposed by PacifiCorp. The plan proposes to make appropriately sized woody debris available to agencies or entities that have planned projects within the White Salmon basin. Wood is available on a first come - first served basis after PacifiCorp has no need for remaining material.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Submittal Review Comment Log

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Woody Debris Management Plan  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/17/2011

### Organization Reviewing Submittal:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Klickitat County Natural Resources Department</td>
<td>Pg 60, Item 151 of the Order accepting surrender of license, authorizing removal of project facilities and dismissing application for new license, issued December 16, 2010 states that the woody debris management plan's purpose is to provide for removal of woody debris to facilitate flow and sediment transport prior to reservoir draining. After the dam is removed, woody debris would be relocated and utilized for habitat restoration purposes within the former lakebed and downstream reach. Page 71 of the same document, Item 167, Ordering Paragraph L, numbers 2 and 3 state that the Woody Debris Management Plan shall, at a minimum, include (2) a provision to relocate and utilize woody debris for habitat restoration within the former lakebed and downstream reach after removal is complete; and (3) an implementation schedule. A provision was mentioned within the WDMP for relocating woody debris, but nothing was provided regarding the utilization of that wood for habitat restoration within the former lakebed and downstream reach after dam removal. Furthermore, no implementation schedule was proposed for habitat restoration.</td>
<td>The Plan has been revised to note that utilization of woody debris will be done following slope stabilization and prior to revegetation of project area. See Section 4.4.2. Given fish passage and recreation user impacts, no woody debris is planned to be placed in the river channel, only possibly the riparian and upland areas.</td>
<td>Kleinfelder</td>
<td>Additions to be placed in Section 4.4.2 of the revised WDMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Where is the large wood going that they propose to remove from the channel? It should be stockpiled for later use once the channel has stabilized and they are looking for opportunities to place large wood.</td>
<td>Removed woody debris will be stockpiled for possible habitat use in riparian and upland areas within the project, excess will be made available to outside entities.</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Large wood that is outside the navigable channel should be retained to the extent that it is not a danger to boaters/floaters. Large wood left on the margins of the lake after drawdown may well be in wetlands and should be left as habitat features as long as it is safe for the public.</td>
<td>Agree. Intent of plan is to only remove the large woody debris that could impede dam removal, fish passage, or cause recreation user concerns.</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Need to set up some specific timelines to address when the &quot;powers that be&quot; get together to determine when it is safe enough to place large wood (e.g. one year after drawdown, two years?)</td>
<td>Agree. Following sediment stabilization efforts but prior to revegetation, PacifiCorp will advise Ecology, WDFW, and Klickitat County of opportunity to meet on site to review placement of woody materials prior to making any available to outside entities. See additions placed in the Section 2 introduction of the revised WDMP.</td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>Additions placed in the Section 2 introduction of the revised WDMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td>No comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. Army Corps</td>
<td>No comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td>No comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skamania County</td>
<td>No comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td>No comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Per order paragraph (L) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Woody Debris Management Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Woody Debris Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Woody Debris Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Woody Debris Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.
Good morning Arianne,

I reviewed the Woody Debris Management Plan addendum and have no questions or comments at this time. Thank you for the opportunity to review this and provide feedback. I'll be trying to get to the other documents you sent my way sometime this week.

Respectfully,

Kristen Hafer
Biologist, Project Manager
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
(503) 808-4387

I would appreciate your feedback on how I am performing my duties. Our automated Customer Service Survey is located at: http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html. Thank you for taking the time to visit the site and complete the survey.

Have a great day!

-----Original Message-----
From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2011 1:48 PM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); heib_critf.org; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Hafer, Kristen A NWP; Loree Randall; LouEllyn Jones (louellyn_jones@fws.gov); P. Stephen (Steve) DiJulio (dijup@foster.com); Rod Engle (Rod_Engle@fws.gov); Scott Carlon; Travis Nelson (nelsotwn@dfw.wa.gov)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (L) Woody Debris Management Plan Addendum

Per order paragraph (L) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Woody Debris Management Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Woody Debris Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp's website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Woody Debris Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Woody Debris Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 10, 2011, regarding the Woody Debris Management Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

Per order paragraph (L) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Woody Debris Management Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Woody Debris Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Woody Debris Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Woody Debris Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.
If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
USFWS has reviewed this plan and has no comments.

"Dreams are answers to questions
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 10, 2011, regarding the Woody Debris Management Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2011 1:48 PM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Bob Heinith; Chris Fontecchio (chris.fontecchio@noaa.gov); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us); Howe, David (DFW); Jocelyn B. Somers; Kirkendall, Keith; Kristen Bonanno (kbonanno@fs.fed.us); Kristen Hafer (kristen.a.hafer@usace.army.mil); Loree Randall; LouEllyn
Per order paragraph (L) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Woody Debris Management Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Woody Debris Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Woody Debris Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Woody Debris Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Sorry these are not in the form, but I will put them in and any additional comments I receive today. I know yesterday was the deadline, but I heard from two people that they would review the plan today. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Brad Murphy’s Comments.
Here are my thoughts:

Where is the large wood going that they propose to remove from the channel? It should be stockpiled for later use once the channel has stabilized and they are looking for opportunities to place large wood.

Large wood that is outside the navigable channel should be retained to the extent that it is not a danger to boaters/floaters. Large wood left on the margins of the lake after drawdown may well be in wetlands and should be left as habitat features as long as it is safe for the public.

Need to set up some specific timelines to address when the “powers that be” get together to determine when it is safe enough to place large wood (e.g. one year after drawdown, two years...?)

Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
Brad

Let me know if you need to see the original plan. I will need your comments on the attached form by March 8th.
Thanks for your help with this!

Loree’
2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Woody Debris Management Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Woody Debris Management Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Woody Debris Management Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Woody Debris Management Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR  97232
(503) 813-5513
Arianne,

Please find attached Klickitat County’s comments on the Woody Debris Management Plan. Thank you.

David McClure
Director
Klickitat County Natural Resources Department
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1              | Pg 4 - Section 1.4 Management Plan  
Background     | KCNRD         | What is the plan after the 5-year monitoring period following the dam breach? Is there a 5+ year contingent plan? Will the monitoring and assessment outlined in the Woody Debris Management Plan be transferred from PacifiCorp Energy to another entity? |                |          |                         |                         |
<p>| 2              | Pg 10 - Section 2 Larger Wood Processes and Removal | KCNRD         | It is understood that the removal of the large amount of sediment built up behind Condit Dam is a priority written into the WDMP, and that the flow of water carrying sediment may be impeded due to woody debris. However, once major sediment transport occurs post dam removal, woody debris within the channel and floodplain would aid in bank stability, reduce bed load transport, improve re-vegetation, and improve habitat quality and function. Wood that does not create human hazards and fish passage obstruction, post dam removal and major sediment transport, should remain. As stated on pg 24, table 2-2 of the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, most wood jams allow (fish) passage because of jam complexity. |                |          |                         |                         |
| 3              | Pg 13 - Section 3.2.1 Wood and Sediment Retention | KCNRD         | An inclusion of any historical information on woody debris or log jams would be beneficial. Certainly, given the location of the White Salmon River within a forested area, a buildup of wood would naturally occur. Current low wood amounts within the river are likely due to anthropogenic interactions. Over time, sediment retaining structures created out of wood form -- with vegetative growth, sediment will be retained over a long period of time -- useful to implement after following major sediment transport out of the system following dam removal. |                |          |                         |                         |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pg 15-20 - Section 4 Monitoring/Adaptive Management Recommendations</td>
<td>KCNRD</td>
<td>Who will conduct the monitoring and surveys mentioned throughout section 4 and will reports of the findings be made available to Klickitat County? This includes the monitoring for Stage One, Stage two, Stage Three, and Stage Four (Sections 4.1.1-4.1.4) Will Klickitat County be made aware of any management actions taken as a result of the monitoring surveys?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pg 15-20 - Section 4 Monitoring/Adaptive Management Recommendations</td>
<td>KCNRD</td>
<td>Is there a Quality Assurance Project Plan for the monitoring mentioned in Sections 4.1.1-4.1.4? What are the specific procedures?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pg 19 - Section 4.2 Large Wood Removal Methods and Disposal</td>
<td>KCNRD</td>
<td>Why not save wood smaller than 18 inches in diameter and 20-feet in length? This smaller wood is also very useful in wood habitat projects in conjunction with large wood.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pg 19 - Section 4.2 Large Wood Removal Methods and Disposal</td>
<td>KCNRD</td>
<td>Could the large wood salvaged and stored be kept for longer than 1 year? Potential projects utilizing this available wood may need more time to utilize it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pg 19 - Section 4.2 Large Wood Removal Methods and Disposal</td>
<td>KCNRD</td>
<td>If insufficient wood is salvaged for habitat restoration projects, will usable wood be provided by PacifiCorp?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pg 3 - Section 4.3.2 of Addendum WDMP</td>
<td>KCNRD</td>
<td>What specific large wood structures are proposed? Who will conduct and sponsor the projects?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Condit Decommissioning

**Woody Debris Management Plan**

**2/17/2011**

**Organization Reviewing Submittal:** Klickitat County Natural Resources Department - KCNRD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Responder Name</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>KCNRD</td>
<td>Pg 60, Item 151 of the Order accepting surrender of license, authorizing removal of project facilities and dismissing application for new license, issued December 16, 2010 states that the woody debris management plan's purpose is to provide for removal of woody debris to facilitate flow and sediment transport prior to reservoir draining, after the dam is removed, woody debris would be relocated and utilized for habitat restoration purposes within the former lakebed and downstream reach. Page 71 of the same document, Item 167, Ordering Paragraph L, numbers 2 and 3 state that the Woody Debris Management plan shall, at a minimum, include (2) a provision to relocate and utilize woody debris for habitat restoration within the former lakebed and downstream reach after removal is complete; and (3) an implementation schedule. A provision was mentioned within the WDMP for relocating woody debris, but nothing was provided regarding the utilization of that wood for habitat restoration within the former lakebed and downstream reach after dam removal. Furthermore, no implementation schedule was proposed for habitat restoration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:

Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/151/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

---

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
-Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
-Project Removal Design Report Addendum
-Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
-Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,

Scott
### Submittal Review Comment Log

**Project:** Condit Decommissioning  
**Submittal Title/Number:** Recreation Facility Removal and Improvement Plan  
**Date of Submittal:** 2/17/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/11/11 from Loree Randall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hello Loree, David and Travis,

Per order paragraph (W) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Recreation Facilities Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Recreation Facility Removal and Improvement Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at [http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html](http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html) under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Recreation Facility Removal and Improvement Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Recreation Facility Removal and Improvement Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 10, 2011, regarding the Recreation Facility Removal and Improvement Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

---

Hello Loree, David and Travis,

Per order paragraph (W) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Recreation Facilities Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Recreation Facility Removal and Improvement Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at [http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html](http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html) under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Recreation Facility Removal and Improvement Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Recreation Facility Removal and Improvement Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Randall, Loree' (ECY) [lora461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2011 11:40 AM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Olson, Todd; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: Condit - Reveg & Recreation Plans

We do not have any comments.

Thanks
Loree'

From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2011 9:56 AM
To: Randall, Loree' (ECY)
Cc: Olson, Todd; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: Condit - Reveg & Recreation Plans

Hi Loree,
Comments on the Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan and the Recreation Facilities Removal Plan were due yesterday. Please confirm that Ecology has no comments on those two plans so I can close the comment log. Thanks!

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
## Submittal Review Comment Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Klickitat County</td>
<td>No Comments per 3/8/11 email from Curt Dreyer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skamania County</td>
<td>No Comments received by 3/11/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDOT - John Hagedorn</td>
<td>Request additional information:</td>
<td>Details will be provided and coordinated between the project team and Washington DOT</td>
<td>JR Merit lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Detailed TCP for SR 14 in the event the bridge must be closed. Detour routes, signing, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• TCP for SR 14 for debris/sediment removal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• TCP for SR 141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• I see up to 15 months of TC slated for SR 141. What is this for?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• How much and what kind traffic will the project be generating on SR 14 and 141?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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Hello Karen and Curt,
Per order paragraph (E)(5) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a public safety plan for the period during removal activities. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

DATE: March 1, 2011

SENT BY: Arianne Poindexter, Project Coordinator

TO: Richard W (Rick) Wagner, Manager Public Projects, BNSF Railway Company, 2454 Occidental Ave S., Suite 1A, Seattle, WA 98134-1439, 206/625-6152 - Office

VIA: US Mail

Enclosed: Condit Hydroelectric Project: (CD)

3) Project Removal Design Report Addendum (20110218 Addendum PRDR.pdf)
4) Submittal Review Comments – Project Removal Plan (Submittal Review Comments - Project Removal Plan.xlsx)
5) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan May 2009 (Condit Public Safety Traffic Control Plan 052809.pdf)
6) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum (Public Safety Addendum.pdf)
7) Submittal Review Comments – Public Safety Plan (Submittal Review Comments - Public Safety Plan.xlsx)
8)

Remarks:
If you have any comments on these documents, please provide them by March 31, 2011. Please provide comments in the Submittal Review Comments Excel spreadsheets to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232.
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne  
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM  
To: Wagner, Richard W  
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,  
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail. 
Arianne

From: Hickey, Thomas  
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM  
To: Wagner, Richard W  
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review. I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]  
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM  
To: Hickey, Thomas  
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Dannie; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner  
BNSF Railway  
206.625.6152 - O
-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne  
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.

I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner  
Manager Public Projects  
BNSF Railway Company  
2454 Occidental Ave S.  
Suite 1A  
Seattle, WA 98134-1439  
206/625-6152 - Office  
206/625-6115 - Fax  
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION  
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Mr Denham –

To back up my voicemail left at your office number this morning:

BNSF accommodates many public projects with potential to impact our Property with protecting our Personnel and Operations foremost in our minds. Please understand that our intent is not to burden you with undo expense nor delay your Project which is sensitive to fish windows, but to understand that due diligence was performed by PacifiCorp and their agents in developing the demolition plans and all considerations were made to protect our bridge down stream from the Condit Dam.

We ask Agencies, Industries and individuals to give us adequate time (30 days) to review their projects’ plans with some projects finding advantages to include BNSF throughout their planning process. Please share with me any documentation that PacifiCorp has that indicates BNSF was contacted by your organization seeking review of the demolition plans prior to my call on or about February 24, 2011 requesting information regarding this Project.

Sincerely,

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2011 7:50 AM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Poindexter, Arianne; Sample, John; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniell; Frederick, Scott R
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick:

This is in response to your e-mail of March 8, attached below, seeking a meeting on reimbursement of BNSF’s cost to review Condit decommissioning plans and reports. PacifiCorp was happy to provide you with a CD of decommissioning materials and anticipates further discussions with you as a stakeholder identified in our Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan; but, PacifiCorp believes BNSF must bear its own cost to review and evaluate such information. As you can see from the materials
provided, estimated peak stream flow in the White Salmon River immediately after dam breach (11,600 cfs at the tunnel) is comparable or lower than flows experienced in 1964 (9,640 cfs), 1974 (15,300 cfs), 1977 (9,980 cfs), 1980 (10,300 cfs), 1982 (12,400 cfs), 1996 (45,200 cfs), and 2003 (10,900 cfs). Expected peak flow following breach is 25 percent of peak flow experienced during the flood of 1996. The peak flow occurring at breach will continually decrease as the reservoir elevation drops and average flow over the six hours required to drain the reservoir is expected to be equivalent to a 2-year recurrence flow event. The high flows in 1996 and 2003 did not harm BNSF’s bridge and we do not believe the bridge will be harmed by the flows expected after dam breach.

If you have specific questions about how PacifiCorp will decommission the dam, including the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan, please forward them to us. Additionally, if you feel BNSF’s bridge will be harmed by the type of decommissioning flows expected to occur (a 2-year recurrence flow event) please forward your analysis for our review.

Regards,

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com
work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.
Arianne

From: Hickey, Thomas
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review.
I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Hickey, Thomas
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniell; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick
--- Original Message ---
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com
Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.

I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner
Manager Public Projects
BNSF Railway Company
2454 Occidental Ave S.
Suite 1A
Seattle, WA  98134-1439
206/625-6152 - Office
206/625-6115 - Fax

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Tom,

I was able to access the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan. I am in need a few more details:

- Detailed TCP for SR 14 in the event the bridge must be closed. Detour routes, signing, etc.
- TCP for SR 14 for debris/sediment removal
- TCP for SR 141
- I see up to 15 months of TC slated for SR 141. What is this for?
- How much and what kind traffic will the project be generating on SR 14 and 141?

I have also asked Scott Wilcox, Acting WSDOT SWR Bridge Superintendent to address any concerns we may have with impacts to the SR 14 bridge.

Thank You

John Hagedorn
Maintenance & Operations Superintendent
WSDOT SW Region Area 4
Office 509-773-4533
Fax 509-773-6917

John & Dave,

We have DOT as a stakeholder in the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan for the project. Are you able to access the web site below to get a look at the plan?

Regards,
Tom

Tom.... For any questions you may have please call John Hagedorn (509-773-4533). He is WSDOT Area Maintenance Superintendent for this area.
-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.
I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner
Manager Public Projects
BNSF Railway Company
2454 Occidental Ave S.
Suite 1A
Seattle, WA 98134-1439
206/625-6152 - Office
206/625-6115 - Fax
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Hello Karen and Curt,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 10, 2011, regarding the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

---

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2011 11:29 AM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us)
Cc: P. Stephen (Steve) DiJulio (dijup@foster.com)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(5) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum

Hello Karen and Curt,

Per order paragraph (E)(5) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a public safety plan for the period during removal activities. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Curt Dreyer [CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us]
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 3:48 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(5) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum

Arianne,

The Klickitat County Planning Department doesn't have any comments at this time.

Curt Dreyer
Planning Director

-----Original Message-----
From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2011 9:17 AM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Curt Dreyer
Cc: P. Stephen (Steve) DiJ ulio (dijup@foster.com); Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(5) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum

Hello Karen and Curt,
Please be advised that comments are due on March 10, 2011, regarding the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.
Arianne

From: Poindexter, Arianne
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2011 11:29 AM
To: (witherspoon@co.skamania.wa.us); Curt Dreyer (CurtD@co.klickitat.wa.us)
Cc: P. Stephen (Steve) DiJ ulio (dijup@foster.com)
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - Surrender Order (E)(5) Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum

Hello Karen and Curt,
Per order paragraph (E)(5) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a public safety plan for the period during removal activities. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Project Removal Design Report as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 10, 2011. The Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan Addendum is attached for your review.
Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR  97232
(503) 813-5513
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Wagner, Richard W [Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2011 10:31 AM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniel; Frederick, Scott R; Berry, Ronald G
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

In order for BNSF to attempt to have comments back to PacifiCorp on the Condit Dam removal by your requested 03.31.2011 due date I will first need to confirm re-imbursement to BNSF Railway by PacifiCorp for outside consulting on the PacifiCorp’s plan review. To date I have had no substantial discussions with PacifiCorp on this issue. Please have PacifiCorp’s point of contact request a meeting through Outlook with me (phone or in-person) to discuss re-imbursement as soon as possible.

Further delays in this discussion could impact your Project schedule should BNSF Railway take exception to your plan.

Thanks.

Rick

---

From: Wagner, Richard W
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2011 9:02 AM
To: 'Poindexter, Arianne'
Cc: 'Olson, Todd'; 'Denham, Brent'; 'Hickey, Thomas'; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniel; Frederick, Scott R; Berry, Ronald G
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

I received a voicemail on my mobile from your office requesting a call back and I accidentally deleted it, would you mind asking the caller to call my office this morning to discuss? Sorry for the inconvenience.

Rick

---

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Arianne –

Got the CD today and will share it internally immediately. Please schedule a meeting Outlook Invite to discuss further the re-collectable billing for Engineering Services to PacifiCorp should the need arise. Please, “reply to all” when responding to my emails, so the BNSF employees I need in the loop remain in the loop.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

Rick,  
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.
Arianne
Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review.
I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Hickey, Thomas
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Danniell; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc'd Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM  
To: Wagner, Richard W  
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd  
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans".
Click on "Show All".

The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]  
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM  
To: Poindexter, Arianne  
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.
I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner
Manager Public Projects
BNSF Railway Company
2454 Occidental Ave S.
Suite 1A
Seattle, WA  98134-1439
206/625-6152 - Office
206/625-6115 - Fax
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
Poindexter, Arianne

From: Denham, Brent
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2011 8:10 AM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Olson, Todd; Poindexter, Arianne; Sample, John; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Dannel; Frederick, Scott R; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Mr. Wagner

I missed your call yesterday and left you a voice message this morning. Please feel free to contact me again at your convenience.

W. Brent Denham, PE
Director, Engineering & Project Management
Hydro Resources
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-6412

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2011 12:46 PM
To: Denham, Brent
Cc: Olson, Todd; Poindexter, Arianne; Sample, John; Shurson, John C; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Dannel; Frederick, Scott R; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Mr Denham –

To back up my voicemail left at your office number this morning:

BNSF accommodates many public projects with potential to impact our Property with protecting our Personnel and Operations foremost in our minds. Please understand that our intent is not to burden you with undo expense nor delay your Project which is sensitive to fish windows, but to understand that due diligence was performed by PacifiCorp and their agents in developing the demolition plans and all considerations were made to protect our bridge down stream from the Condit Dam.

We ask Agencies, Industries and individuals to give us adequate time (30 days) to review their projects’ plans with some projects finding advantages to include BNSF throughout their planning process. Please share with me any documentation that PacifiCorp has that indicates BNSF was contacted by your organization seeking review of the demolition plans prior to my call on or about February 24, 2011 requesting information regarding this Project.

Sincerely,

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
This is in response to your e-mail of March 8, attached below, seeking a meeting on reimbursement of BNSF’s cost to review Condit decommissioning plans and reports. PacifiCorp was happy to provide you with a CD of decommissioning materials and anticipates further discussions with you as a stakeholder identified in our Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan; but, PacifiCorp believes BNSF must bear its own cost to review and evaluate such information. As you can see from the materials provided, estimated peak stream flow in the White Salmon River immediately after dam breach (11,600 cfs at the tunnel) is comparable or lower than flows experienced in 1964 (9,640 cfs), 1974 (15,300 cfs), 1977 (9,980 cfs), 1980 (10,300 cfs), 1982 (12,400 cfs), 1996 (45,200 cfs), and 2003 (10,900 cfs). Expected peak flow following breach is 25 percent of peak flow experienced during the flood of 1996. The peak flow occurring at breach will continually decrease as the reservoir elevation drops and average flow over the six hours required to drain the reservoir is expected to be equivalent to a 2-year recurrence flow event. The high flows in 1996 and 2003 did not harm BNSF’s bridge and we do not believe the bridge will be harmed by the flows expected after dam breach.

If you have specific questions about how PacifiCorp will decommission the dam, including the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan, please forward them to us. Additionally, if you feel BNSF’s bridge will be harmed by the type of decommissioning flows expected to occur (a 2-year recurrence flow event) please forward your analysis for our review.

Regards,

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com
Frederick, Scott R
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Arianne –

Got the CD today and will share it internally immediately. Please schedule a meeting Outlook Invite to discuss further the re-collectable billing for Engineering Services to PacifiCorp should the need arise. Please, “reply to all” when responding to my emails, so the BNSF employees I need in the loop remain in the loop.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner
BNSF Railway
206.625.6152 - O
206.625.6115 - F
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 2:17 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent; Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
A CD containing the requested information is in today’s mail.
Arianne

From: Hickey, Thomas
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 5:12 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Denham, Brent
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick – Yes, we’ll get a disk to you and will provide follow-up responses to questions you may have after your review.
I cannot commit to reimbursements for consultants, so please do not incur any costs under the expectation of reimbursement. Further discussion would be required.

Arianne – Please forward the Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan – management plans plus JR Merit addendums.

Regards, Tom

From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Hickey, Thomas  
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd; Schram, Mike L; MacDonald, Dannie; Frederick, Scott R; Shurson, John C; Bellinger, Dave
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Tom –

The site or our servers are not allowing me to access the information; would you please forward to me a disk (at the mailing address below) with the information located on the site that you believe is pertinent to BNSF’s structure down river. BNSF may require further information in our review and approval of PacifiCorp demolition plans of the structure. BNSF may also require reimbursement by PacifiCorp for the review of their plans by outside consultants.

I have cc’d Mr Dave Bellinger of WSDOT (360.905.2190) as per your request below.

Thanks.

Rick

Rick Wagner  
BNSF Railway  
206.625.6152 - O  
206.625.6115 - F

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION
This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 5:11 PM
To: Wagner, Richard W
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Condit Dam Removal

Rick,
Here is a link to our web site that includes the management plans for Condit Decommissioning.


Click on "Decommissioning Management Plans". 
Click on "Show All".
The Project Removal Design Report and the Public Safety and Traffic Control Plan will be of particular interest. Our decommissioning contractor has also prepared addendums to the plans, with some additional details and/or clarifications on their approach. The addendum public safety is attached.

Can you send me the information for your contact at DOT?

Regards,
Tom

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Wagner, Richard W [mailto:Richard.Wagner@BNSF.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Underwood, WA - BNSF Bridge 72.8 Fallbridge Sub, Northwestern Lake Dam Removal

Ms Poindexter -

BNSF Railway would like information regarding the removal of the Northwestern Lake Dam on the White Salmon River above Underwood WA. Please call me to discuss this Project and any impacts it may have to our operations.

I am on my mobile through Monday PM, 206.604.8290.

Thanks.

Rick

Richard W (Rick) Wagner
Manager Public Projects
BNSF Railway Company
2454 Occidental Ave S.
Suite 1A
Seattle, WA 98134-1439
206/625-6152 - Office
CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This communication is confidential and may be protected by various privileges including the attorney-client and work-product privileges. It may also be protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521. Any unauthorized use of this information is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me at the number listed above and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gordie Kelsey</td>
<td>Developers pursuing a Road Haul Agreement in Klickitat County are required to evaluate the structural strength of the road using the attached methodology. The attached method will aid in evaluating both paved and gravel roads. The existing structural strength must be determined to evaluate what preventative measures are required prior to hauling.</td>
<td>PacifiCorp will modify the scope and incorporate the County guideline.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gordie Kelsey</td>
<td>I just re-read the plan and it appears that you covered our geotech guidelines.</td>
<td>So noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gordie Kelsey</td>
<td>The Dam removal project proposes to use two county roads as haul routes, Powerhouse Road &amp; Graves Road. These roads have minimal base to support traffic and a thin Bituminous Surface Treatment (BST) wearing surface. As previously discussed, these roads will need to be evaluated per the attached geotechnical guidelines.</td>
<td>So noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gordie Kelsey</td>
<td>A haul route agreement shall be developed based on the results of the geotechnical evaluation. If mitigation is necessary to support your construction activities, it shall be done in advance of the hauling operation.</td>
<td>So noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gordie Kelsey</td>
<td>In addition to the above, Graves Road is not currently wide enough for two vehicles to pass and there are no turn-outs. If this road is used for your hauling activities, it will be necessary to construct turn-outs at appropriate locations to maintain public safety.</td>
<td>So noted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**From:** Gordon Kelsey [GordonK@co.klickitat.wa.us]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 14, 2011 12:05 PM  
**To:** Poindexter, Arianne  
**Cc:** Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd  
**Subject:** RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Good Morning,

We have used the proposed method in the past and were not satisfied with the results. Most of the roads you propose to use were constructed to support passenger cars with an occasional truck. The method proposed by Kleinfelder does not evaluate the failure mechanism which is poor subgrade. The paved surfaces proposed for evaluation by Kleinfelder are BST surfaces which have no structural value. Portions of these roads are gravel/dirt and will need a different type of evaluation.

Developers pursuing a Road Haul Agreement in Klickitat County are required to evaluate the structural strength of the road using the attached methodology. The attached method will aid in evaluating both paved and gravel roads. The existing structural strength must be determined to evaluate what preventative measures are required prior to hauling.

Please take a look at the attached information and let me know what you think.

Thanks,

Gordie Kelsey  
Public Works Director/County Engineer  
Klickitat County  
509-773-4616

-----Original Message-----
**From:** Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 11, 2011 10:36 AM  
**To:** Gordon Kelsey  
**Cc:** Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd  
**Subject:** FOR REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Dear Mr. Kelsey,

Per the FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) “a road impact assessment for Klickitat County roads used during project removal to ascertain the extent of road design issues and probable damage to the roads, and to mitigate those impacts, due to project removal activities” requires consultation with Klickitat County.

Attached for your review and comment are two documents:

1. Figure 1 Klickitat County Road Survey.pdf
2. 20110209 Revised Pavement Assessment Scope – Condit Decommissioning.doc

Please return any comments or edits by February 18, 2011. Thank you for your prompt attention on this matter.

Arianne Poindexter  
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources  
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500  
Portland, OR 97232  
(503) 813-5513
Sorry, here it is.

Gordie Kelsey
Public Works Director/County Engineer
Klickitat County
509-773-4616

-----Original Message-----
From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 12:44 PM
To: Gordon Kelsey
Cc: Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Nothing was attached. Please re-send. Thanks.
Arianne

Good Morning,
We have used the proposed method in the past and were not satisfied with the results. Most of the roads you propose to use were constructed to support passenger cars with an occasional truck. The method proposed by Kleinfelder does not evaluate the failure mechanism which is poor subgrade. The paved surfaces proposed for evaluation by Kleinfelder are BST surfaces which have no structural value. Portions of these roads are gravel/dirt and will need a different type of evaluation.

Developers pursuing a Road Haul Agreement in Klickitat County are required to evaluate the structural strength of the road using the attached methodology. The attached method will aid in evaluating both paved and gravel roads. The existing structural strength must be determined to evaluate what preventative measures are required prior to hauling.

Please take a look at the attached information and let me know what you think.

Thanks,

Gordie Kelsey
Public Works Director/County Engineer
Klickitat County
509-773-4616
To: Gordon Kelsey  
Cc: Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd  
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Dear Mr. Kelsey,

Per the FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) “a road impact assessment for Klickitat County roads used during project removal to ascertain the extent of road design issues and probable damage to the roads, and to mitigate those impacts, due to project removal activities” requires consultation with Klickitat County.

Attached for your review and comment are two documents:

1. Figure 1 Klickitat County Road Survey.pdf
2. 20110209 Revised Pavement Assessment Scope – Condit Decommissioning.doc

Please return any comments or edits by February 18, 2011. Thank you for your prompt attention on this matter.

Arianne Poindexter  
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources  
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500  
Portland, OR 97232  
(503) 813-5513
Mr. Kelsey,
Attached is a revised scope for the pavement assessment to be completed per the FERC Surrender Order for the Condit Hydroelectric Project. The scope has been revised to reflect the methodology you provided. In addition, note that Wallace Road has been removed from the assessment as it is not anticipated to be used for hauling equipment or materials.

Please provide any comments by March 11, 2011.

Regards,

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com
Dear Mr. Kelsey,

Per the FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) “a road impact assessment for Klickitat County roads used during project removal to ascertain the extent of road design issues and probable damage to the roads, and to mitigate those impacts, due to project removal activities” requires consultation with Klickitat County.

Attached for your review and comment are two documents:

1. Figure 1 Klickitat County Road Survey.pdf
2. 20110209 Revised Pavement Assessment Scope – Condit Decommissioning.doc

Please return any comments or edits by February 18, 2011. Thank you for your prompt attention on this matter.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
I have attached a formal letter which outlines Klickitat County concerns. We have discussed all of the items on the list except one. After a field visit today I noticed that Graves Road is extremely narrow and will not accommodate two vehicles passing. A few turn-outs will be required to maintain public safety during your hauling operations.

Gordie Kelsey
Public Works Director/County Engineer
Klickitat County
509-773-4616

-----Original Message-----
From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2011 11:24 AM
To: Gordon Kelsey
Cc: Hickey, Thomas
Subject: RE: 2nd REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Hi Gordon,
I wanted to check if you will be submitting comments today?
Arianne

Mr. Kelsey,
Attached is a revised scope for the pavement assessment to be completed per the FERC Surrender Order for the Condit Hydroelectric Project. The scope has been revised to reflect the methodology you provided. In addition, note that Wallace Road has been removed from the assessment as it is not anticipated to be used for hauling equipment or materials.

Please provide any comments by March 11, 2011.

Regards,

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
From: Gordon Kelsey [mailto:GordonK@co.klickitat.wa.us]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 12:05 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Good Morning,

We have used the proposed method in the past and were not satisfied with the results. Most of the roads you propose to use were constructed to support passenger cars with an occasional truck. The method proposed by Kleinfelder does not evaluate the failure mechanism which is poor subgrade. The paved surfaces proposed for evaluation by Kleinfelder are BST surfaces which have no structural value. Portions of these roads are gravel/dirt and will need a different type of evaluation.

Developers pursuing a Road Haul Agreement in Klickitat County are required to evaluate the structural strength of the road using the attached methodology. The attached method will aid in evaluating both paved and gravel roads. The existing structural strength must be determined to evaluate what preventative measures are required prior to hauling.

Please take a look at the attached information and let me know what you think.

Thanks,

Gordie Kelsey
Public Works Director/County Engineer
Klickitat County
509-773-4616

-----Original Message-----
From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2011 10:36 AM
To: Gordon Kelsey
Cc: Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Dear Mr. Kelsey,
Per the FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) “a road impact assessment for Klickitat County roads used during project removal to ascertain the extent of road design issues and probable damage to the roads, and to mitigate those impacts, due to project removal activities” requires consultation with Klickitat County.

Attached for your review and comment are two documents:
1. Figure 1 Klickitat County Road Survey.pdf
2. 20110209 Revised Pavement Assessment Scope – Condit Decommissioning.doc

Please return any comments or edits by February 18, 2011. Thank you for your prompt attention on this matter.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
DATE: March 11, 2011

TO: Thomas Hickey, P.E.
PacifiCorp Energy, Hydro Engineering

FROM: Gordon Kelsey
Klickitat County, Public Works Department

RE: 2nd REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7)
Road Impact Assessment

The Dam removal project proposes to use two county roads as haul routes, Powerhouse Road & Graves Road. These roads have minimal base to support traffic and a thin Bituminous Surface Treatment (BST) wearing surface. As previously discussed, these roads will need to be evaluated per the attached geotechnical guidelines.

A haul route agreement shall be developed based on the results of the geotechnical evaluation. If mitigation is necessary to support your construction activities, it shall be done in advance of the hauling operation.

In addition to the above, Graves Road is not currently wide enough for two vehicles to pass and there are no turn-outs. If this road is used for your hauling activities, it will be necessary to construct turn-outs at appropriate locations to maintain public safety.
Fyi

From: Gordon Kelsey <GordonK@co.klickitat.wa.us>
To: Hickey, Thomas
Sent: Fri Mar 11 17:39:04 2011
Subject: RE: 2nd REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

I just re-read the plan and it appears that you covered our geotech guidelines.

Thanks,

Gordie Kelsey
Public Works Director/County Engineer
Klickitat County
509-773-4616

-----Original Message-----
From: Hickey, Thomas [mailto:Thomas.Hickey@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2011 5:21 PM
To: Gordon Kelsey
Subject: Re: 2nd REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Gordie, I did just a quick read, but can you please clarify...
Do you agree the revised plan includes the county geotech guidelines or do you think we missed on it?
Thanks, Tom

-----Original Message-----
From: Gordon Kelsey <GordonK@co.klickitat.wa.us>
To: Hickey, Thomas
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne; Jeff Hunter <JeffH@co.klickitat.wa.us>; Wayne Trosper <WayneT@co.klickitat.wa.us>
Sent: Fri Mar 11 17:12:02 2011
Subject: RE: 2nd REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

I have attached a formal letter which outlines Klickitat County concerns. We have discussed all of the items on the list except one. After a field visit today I noticed that Graves Road is extremely narrow and will not accommodate two vehicles passing. A few turn-outs will be required to maintain public safety during your hauling operations.

Gordie Kelsey
Public Works Director/County Engineer
Klickitat County
509-773-4616

-----Original Message-----
From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Hi Gordon,
I wanted to check if you will be submitting comments today?
Arianne

---

From: Hickey, Thomas
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 10:11 AM
To: ‘Gordon Kelsey’
Cc: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: RE: 2nd REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Resent with corrected due date – sorry...

Mr. Kelsey,
Attached is a revised scope for the pavement assessment to be completed per the FERC Surrender Order for the Condit Hydroelectric Project. The scope has been revised to reflect the methodology you provided. In addition, note that Wallace Road has been removed from the assessment as it is not anticipated to be used for hauling equipment or materials.

Please provide any comments by March 11, 2011.

Regards,

Thomas Hickey, P.E.
Hydro Engineering
PacifiCorp Energy
503-813-5685 (o)
thomas.hickey@pacificorp.com

---

From: Gordon Kelsey [mailto:GordonK@co.klickitat.wa.us]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 12:05 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Cc: Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd
Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Good Morning,
We have used the proposed method in the past and were not satisfied with the results. Most of the roads you propose to use were constructed to support passenger cars with an occasional truck. The method proposed by Kleinfelder does not evaluate the failure mechanism which is poor subgrade. The paved surfaces proposed for evaluation by Kleinfelder are BST surfaces which have no structural value. Portions of these roads are gravel/dirt and will need a different type of evaluation.
Developers pursuing a Road Haul Agreement in Klickitat County are required to evaluate the structural strength of the road using the attached methodology. The attached method will aid in evaluating both paved and gravel roads. The existing structural strength must be determined to evaluate what preventative measures are required prior to hauling.

Please take a look at the attached information and let me know what you think.

Thanks,

Gordie Kelsey
Public Works Director/County Engineer
Klickitat County
509-773-4616

-----Original Message-----
From: Poindexter, Arianne [mailto:Arianne.Poindexter@PacifiCorp.com]
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2011 10:36 AM
To: Gordon Kelsey
Cc: Hickey, Thomas; Olson, Todd
Subject: FOR REVIEW: Condit - FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) Road Impact Assessment

Dear Mr. Kelsey,
Per the FERC Surrender Order Paragraph (E)(7) “a road impact assessment for Klickitat County roads used during project removal to ascertain the extent of road design issues and probable damage to the roads, and to mitigate those impacts, due to project removal activities” requires consultation with Klickitat County. Attached for your review and comment are two documents:

1. Figure 1 Klickitat County Road Survey.pdf
2. 20110209 Revised Pavement Assessment Scope – Condit Decommissioning.doc

Please return any comments or edits by February 18, 2011. Thank you for your prompt attention on this matter.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
## Submittal Review Comment Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Number</th>
<th>Reference Page or Sheet No.</th>
<th>Reviewer Name</th>
<th>Review Comment</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Follow-up Action Person</th>
<th>Follow-up Complete? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>USFWS</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/4/11 from LouEllen Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>WDFW</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/7/11 from David Howe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>NMFS/NOAA</td>
<td>No Comments per email 3/10/11 from Scott Carlon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology</td>
<td>No Comments received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Per order paragraph (R) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Petroleum and Hazardous Substances Control Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at [http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html](http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html) under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR 97232
(503) 813-5513
From: LouEllyn_Jones@fws.gov
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2011 3:16 PM
To: Poindexter, Arianne
Subject: Comments on other Condit Plans

Erosion Control Plan - USFWS has no comments
Erosion Control Plan Access Road - USFWS has no comments
Sediment Assess Stabilization Management Plan - USFWS has no comments
Construction SPCC Plan - USFWS has no comments
Preliminary Plan for Sediment Management - USFWS has no comments

"Dreams are answers to questions
we haven't yet figured out how to ask."

-X-Files

Lou Ellyn Jones, Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Division of Conservation and Hydropower Planning
Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
510 Desmond Dr.
Lacey, WA 98503

telephone: 360-753-5822
fax: 360-753-9518
Louellyn_jones@fws.gov
Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

Per order paragraph (R) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Petroleum and Hazardous Substances Control Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.

To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.
Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter  
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources  
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500  
Portland, OR  97232  
(503) 813-5513
Arianne:

The WDFW does not have any comments. Thanks.

Dave Howe
Washington Dept. of Fish & Wildlife
Region 5 Habitat Program Manager
(360) 906-6729

Hello Consulting Parties,

Please be advised that comments are due on March 11, 2011, regarding the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum. If you are not planning to submit any comments, please respond to this email stating that. Thank you in advance.

Arianne

Per order paragraph (R) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Order Accepting Surrender of License, Authorizing Removal of Project Facilities, and Dismissing Application for New License issued December 16, 2010, for the Condit Decommissioning, PacifiCorp Energy must prepare a Petroleum and Hazardous Substances Control Plan. The Surrender Order requires consultation with you prior to submitting the plan(s) to FERC for their approval.

Previously, you have received all project management plans including the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan as approved by the Washington Department of Ecology as part of the 401 certification, and submitted to FERC and the service list on January 12, 2011. All management plans are also available on PacifiCorp’s website at [http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html](http://www.pacificorp.com/es/hydro/hl/condit.html) under the Decommissioning Management Plans section.
To facilitate timely implementation of project 2011 efforts, PacifiCorp Energy requests that you review and provide any comments on the document(s) updating the Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan utilizing the attached Submittal Review Comment Log by no later than March 11, 2011. The Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum is attached for your review.

Please provide any comments to Arianne Poindexter via email at Arianne.poindexter@pacificorp.com or via U.S. Mail at 825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500, Portland, OR 97232. Following receipt of your comments, PacifiCorp Energy will provide individual responses to each comment and identify how the final document will be modified to address comments. Any comment not accepted by PacifiCorp Energy will be so noted. The comment response matrix will be included in the final plan version submitted to the FERC.

If you have no comments, please send an email to me indicating that your agency has no comments as soon as you are able. This will expedite the consultation process and help assure timely submission of final plans to FERC.

Thank you for a timely review of the enclosed document. If you have any questions during your review please do not hesitate to call Todd Olson at (503) 813-6657.

Arianne Poindexter
PacifiCorp Energy - Hydro Resources
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 1500
Portland, OR  97232
(503) 813-5513
Thanks Arianne. I will not be reviewing the plans you listed below because we have seen them before, so no review on this go-around.

Have a weekend!

On 3/10/2011 10:43 AM, Poindexter, Arianne wrote:

Scott,
I have noted “No Comments” on the list of plans you provided below. I have noted your comments on the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan.

Will you be reviewing these plans?

Northwestern Lake Bridge Design (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
City of White Salmon Water Line Relocation (sent 2/15/11 via U.S. Mail, comments due 3/8/11)
Mt. Adams Orchard Water Supply Modification Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Erosion Control Plan (sent via email 2/18/11, comments due 3/11/11)
Woody Debris Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)
Revegetation and Wetlands Management Plan (sent via email 2/17/11, comments due today)

Arianne

Arianne: Since I have reviewed the below listed reports previously, I only did a brief review of them this time. I will not be reviewing any other reports. I do have two minor comments regarding the Aquatic Resources Protection Plan, these are:
(1) under section 1.4.1, last paragraph on page 4, the "Work Group" is referenced as the entity that modified the tule fall Chinook salvage plan and since NMFS was a party to that modification, which is different but not inconsistent with what NMFS' 2006 biological opinion listed as a possible salvage plan, it may be good to list the entities that make up the Work Group; and (2) under section 2.2, page 14, first two bullets, I suggest the edits shown below in redline/strikeout for clarity.

* The greatest impact to salmon and steelhead is expected to occur to Lower Columbia River (LCR) Chinook salmon, which will have entered the White Salmon River and spawned prior to dam breaching in October. Dam breaching will likely be lethal to these fish and any redds (or nest of eggs) present in the river. No LCR Chinook juveniles are expected to
be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching (NMFS, 2006). One year class of naturally-produced Chinook fry are expected to be lost. Significant recruitment and production of Chinook salmon is not expected until clean spawning gravels become reestablished in approximately three to five years (Ecology, 2007).

* Steelhead adults may be present in the White Salmon River at the time of breaching, but NMFS anticipates that most of the naturally spawning steelhead in the lower river at this time will be out-of-DPS (Distinct Population Segment) Skamania Stock summer steelhead, and not ESA-listed Middle Columbia River (MCR) steelhead (NMFS, 2006). Winter run steelhead may be able to access spawning sites upstream of the former reservoir area if passage through the cofferdam area is provided early enough in the spring. If passage is not provided by at least May or June, then one year class of these fish would be lost. Summer run steelhead returning the following year should be able to access suitable upriver habitat in the spring and early summer following breaching if passage through the cofferdam area has been re-established (Ecology, 2007).

Reports Reviewed by NMFS
- Surrender Order (Q), and (T) Aquatic Resources Protection Plan
- Project Removal Design Report Addendum
- Surrender Order (R) Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan Addendum
- Surrender Order (M) Sediment Assessment, Stabilization and Management Plan Addendum

Thank you,

Scott