

EXHIBIT E – ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT

Klamath Hydroelectric Project
(FERC Project No. 2082)

PacifiCorp
Portland, Oregon

Version: February 2004

Copyright © 2004 by PacifiCorp
Reproduction in whole or in part without the written consent of PacifiCorp is prohibited.

CONTENTS

E1.0 INTRODUCTION.....1-1
 E1.1 APPROACH TO RELICENSING THE KLAMATH HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT..... 1-1
 E1.2 PROPOSED PROJECT..... 1-3
 E1.3 ORGANIZATION AND CONTENTS OF EXHIBIT E..... 1-3

E2.0 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT2-1
 E2.1 PROJECT OVERVIEW AND LOCATION.....2-1
 E2.1.1 Existing Facilities2-1
 E2.2 CLIMATE2-2
 E2.3 TOPOGRAPHY2-2
 E2.4 GEOLOGY AND SOILS2-3
 E2.4.1 GEOLOGY AND PHYSIOLOGY.....2-3
 E2.4.2 KLAMATH RIVER GEOMORPHOLOGY.....2-4
 E2.5 DRAINAGE BASIN HYDROLOGY2-5
 E2.5.1 Klamath River from Link River Dam to Keno Dam.....2-5
 E2.5.2 Klamath River from Keno Dam to J.C. Boyle Reservoir.....2-5
 E2.5.3 J.C. Boyle Reservoir.....2-5
 E2.5.4 Klamath River from J.C. Boyle Dam to Copco Reservoir.....2-5
 E2.5.5 Copco No. 1 and No. 2 Reservoir Area.....2-6
 E2.5.6 Iron Gate Reservoir2-6
 E2.5.7 Klamath River Downstream of Iron Gate Dam.....2-6
 E2.6 BASIN WATER QUALITY2-7
 E2.6.1 Upper Klamath Lake2-7
 E2.6.2 Klamath River and Reservoirs.....2-8
 E2.7 FISH RESOURCES2-9
 E2.8 VEGETATION AND WILDLIFE.....2-14
 E2.9 CULTURAL RESOURCES.....2-17
 E2.10 LAND USE2-18
 E2.11 AESTHETICS2-19
 E2.12 RECREATION RESOURCES.....2-19
 E2.13 SOCIOECONOMIC SETTING2-20
 E2.14 INFORMATION SOURCES2-20

E3.0 WATER USE AND QUALITY.....3-1
 E3.1 EXISTING AND PROPOSED USES OF PROJECT WATERS3-2
 E3.1.1 Overview of Flow Regulation and Water Use in Project Vicinity3-2
 E3.1.2 Project Area’s Hydrologic Drainage Area and Flow Regime3-4
 E3.1.3 Review of Previous Studies on Hydrology and Flow Availability ...3-6
 E3.1.4 Existing Hydrology and Flow Availability3-7
 E3.1.5 Existing Uses of Water in the Project Area.....3-12
 E3.1.6 Proposed Uses of Waters in the Project Area.....3-40
 E3.1.7 PacifiCorp’s Project Water Rights3-40
 E3.2 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS WATER QUALITY INFORMATION.....3-45
 E3.2.1 Previous Water Quality Studies in the Proposed Project Area.....3-45
 E3.2.2 Analysis of Previous Water Quality Data3-55

E3.3 CURRENT WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS	3-81
E3.3.1 Upper Klamath Lake	3-85
E3.3.2 Lake Ewauna/Keno Reservoir	3-89
E3.3.3 River Reaches	3-93
E3.3.4 Reservoirs	3-103
E3.3.5 Klamath River below Iron Gate Dam	3-113
E3.3.6 Macroinvertebrates	3-115
E3.4 APPLICABLE REGULATIONS, STANDARDS, AND PLANS	3-130
E3.4.1 Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Clean Water Act), 33 USC §§ 1251-1387	3-130
E3.4.2 Endangered Species Act of 1973	3-136
E3.4.3 Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	3-136
E3.4.4 Land and Resource Management Plans	3-137
E3.4.5 Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife Policies Related to Water Use and Quality	3-139
E3.4.6 California Department of Fish and Game Policies Related to Water Use and Quality	3-139
E3.4.7 Klamath River Basin Compact	3-139
E3.5 REVIEW OF EXISTING CONDITIONS WITH APPLICABLE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS	3-139
E3.5.1 Water Temperature	3-140
E3.5.2 Dissolved Oxygen	3-147
E3.5.3 Hydrogen Ion Activity (pH)	3-150
E3.5.4 Nuisance Phytoplankton Growth (Oregon) and Biostimulatory Substances (California)	3-152
E3.5.5 Total Dissolved Gas	3-157
E3.5.6 Turbidity	3-158
E3.5.7 Toxic Substances	3-160
E3.5.8 Biocriteria	3-161
E3.5.9 Aesthetic Conditions	3-162
E3.5.10 Bacteria	3-163
E3.5.11 Fungi or Other Growths	3-163
E3.5.12 Tastes or Odors	3-164
E3.5.13 Sludge Deposits	3-164
E3.5.14 Objectionable Discoloration, Oily Sheens, or Floating Solids	3-165
E3.5.15 Radioisotopes	3-166
E3.5.16 Total Dissolved Solids	3-166
E3.5.17 Antidegradation	3-167
E3.6 CONSULTATION WITH AGENCIES, TRIBES, AND THE PUBLIC ON WATER USE AND QUALITY	3-167
E3.7 WATER QUALITY STUDIES	3-169
E3.7.1 Compilation and Assessment of Existing Water Quality Data (Study 1.1)	3-169
E3.7.2 Monitoring of Water Temperature and Water Quality Conditions in the Project Area (Study 1.2)	3-170
E3.7.3 Water Quality Analysis and Modeling Process (Study 1.3)	3-171
E3.7.4 Analysis of Project Effects on Hydrology (Study 1.4)	3-175

E3.7.5 Analysis of Project Effects on Sediment Transport and River Geomorphology Study 1.5).....	3-178
E3.7.6 Monitoring and Analysis of Water Quality During Project Maintenance Operations (Study 1.6)	3-184
E3.7.7 Fall 2002 Macroinvertebrate Study (Study 1.11).....	3-187
E3.7.8 Determination of Sediment Oxygen Demand in Selected Project Reservoirs (Study 1.13)	3-188
E3.7.9 Screening Level Determination of Chemical Contaminants in Fish Tissue in Selected Project Reservoirs (Study 1.14)	3-189
E3.7.10 Investigation of Klamath River Freshwater Bivalves in the J.C. Boyle Peaking Reach and Downstream of Iron Gate Dam (Study 1.19)	3-191
E3.7.11 Spring 2003 Macroinvertebrates Study (Study 1.20).....	3-192
E3.7.12 Analysis of Potential Project Effects on Water Quality Aesthetics (Study 1.22)	3-193
E3.7.13 Proposed Studies	3-194
E3.7.14 Outstanding Study Issues	3-194
E3.8 PROPOSED ENHANCEMENT MEASURES FOR WATER USE AND QUALITY.....	3-194
E3.8.1 East Side and West Side Facilities Decommissioning	3-194
E3.8.2 Instream Flow and Ramping Rate Measures.....	3-195
E3.8.3 Iron Gate Reservoir Low-level Withdrawal for Downstream Water Temperature Management	3-206
E3.8.4 Iron Gate Reservoir Oxygenation or Reaeration System	3-211
E3.8.5 Maintenance Scheduling	3-213
E3.8.6 Schedule and Costs for Implementation of Proposed Measures. ...	3-213
E3.9 CONTINUING IMPACT ON WATER USE AND QUALITY	3-214
E3.10 BASINWIDE WATER USE OF HEADWATERS AGREEMENTS OR COMMISSION ORDERS THAT BENEFIT THE PROJECT	3-215
E3.11 INFORMATION SOURCES	3-215
E4.0 FISH RESOURCES	4-1
E4.1 HISTORICAL FISH RESOURCES IN THE KLAMATH RIVER BASIN...4-2	4-2
E4.1.1 Project Study Area.....	4-2
E4.1.2 Overview	4-4
E4.1.3 Mainstem Klamath River	4-9
E4.1.4 Klamath River Reservoirs	4-30
E4.1.5 Klamath River Tributaries (within the Project Area).....	4-31
E4.2 EXISTING FISH RESOURCES AND FACTORS AFFECTING FISH RESOURCES	4-38
E4.2.1 Existing Fish Resources	4-38
E4.2.2 Factors Affecting Fisheries Resources	4-65
E4.3 ANADROMOUS FISHERIES.....4-115	4-115
E4.3.1 Anadromous Fish Passage.....	4-115
E4.3.2 Iron Gate Fish Hatchery	4-126
E4.4 FISHERIES MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK	4-133
E4.4.1 State Fish Management	4-133
E4.4.2 Federal Fish Management	4-135

E4.5 CONSULTATION WITH APPLICABLE AGENCIES, TRIBES, AND THE PUBLIC.....	4-138
E4.5.1 Anadromous Fish Passage/Re-introduction	4-140
E4.5.2 Instream Flows	4-141
E4.5.3 Flow Fluctuations	4-141
E4.5.4 Resident Fish Passage	4-142
E4.5.5 Geomorphology/Gravel Augmentation	4-143
E4.5.6 Fish Health/Disease	4-143
E4.5.7 Flow Management Downstream of Iron Gate Dam	4-143
E4.5.8 Iron Gate Hatchery	4-144
E4.6 STATUS OF FISH RESOURCES STUDIES.....	4-144
E4.6.1 Completed Studies.....	4-144
E4.6.2 Studies Currently Underway	4-145
E4.7 PROPOSED ENHANCEMENT MEASURES FOR FISH RESOURCES	4-147
E4.7.1 East Side and West Side Decommissioning.....	4-149
E4.7.2 Instream Flows and Ramping Rates	4-150
E4.7.3 Resident Fish Passage Upgrades	4-162
E4.7.4 Modify Copco Ranch Irrigation Diversions	4-164
E4.7.5 Gravel Augmentation	4-169
E4.7.6 Iron Gate Hatchery	4-171
E4.7.7 Maintenance Scheduling	4-173
E4.8 CONTINUING IMPACTS ON FISH RESOURCES	4-173
E4.9 INFORMATION SOURCES	4-174
E5.0 BOTANICAL AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES	5-1
E5.1 HISTORICAL TERRESTRIAL RESOURCES.....	5-1
E5.1.1 Botanical Resources	5-1
E5.1.2 Wildlife Resources	5-5
E5.2 EXISTING TERRESTRIAL RESOURCES AND FACTORS AFFECTING TERRESTRIAL RESOURCES	5-6
E5.2.1 Botanical Resources	5-8
E5.2.2 Wildlife Resources	5-45
E5.3 MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK FOR WILDLIFE AND BOTANICAL RESOURCES	5-89
E5.3.1 Federal Regulations and Management Responsibilities.....	5-89
E5.3.2 State Regulations and Management Responsibilities.....	5-94
E5.3.3 Other Agencies and Plans.....	5-97
E5.3.4 Tribes.....	5-97
E5.3.5 Existing Wildlife and Botanical Resource Management Plans.....	5-97
E5.4 CONSULTATION ON BOTANICAL AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES WITH APPLICABLE AGENCIES, TRIBES, AND THE PUBLIC.....	5-98
E5.5 TERRESTRIAL RESOURCES STUDIES CONDUCTED	5-99
E5.5.1 Vegetation Cover Type/Wildlife Habitat Inventory and Mapping.....	5-100
E5.5.2 Wetland and Riparian Plant Community Characterization	5-101
E5.5.3 TES and Culturally Sensitive Plant Surveys	5-102
E5.5.4 TES Wildlife Surveys.....	5-103
E5.5.5 Wildlife Movement/Habitat Connectivity Assessment	5-108
E5.5.6 Wildlife Habitat Association and Synthesis	5-111

E5.5.7 Noxious Weeds.....	5-114
E5.5.8 Grazing Assessment	5-115
E5.5.9 Spring-Associated Mollusks.....	5-116
E5.5.10 Terrestrial Resource Continuing Studies.....	5-118
E5.6 PROPOSED ENHANCEMENT MEASURES FOR BOTANICAL AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES	5-118
E5.6.1 Existing Measures	5-118
E5.6.2 Associated Measures	5-118
E5.6.3 Proposed Measures.....	5-118
E5.6.4 Decommissioning East Side and West Side Developments.....	5-139
E5.7 CONTINUING IMPACT ON BOTANICAL AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES	5-139
E5.7.1 Botanical Resources	5-140
E5.7.2 Wildlife Resources	5-151
E5.8 INFORMATION SOURCES	5-160
E6.0 CULTURAL RESOURCES	6-1
E6.1 EXISTING CULTURAL RESOURCES AND FACTORS AFFECTING CULTURAL RESOURCES	6-1
E6.1.1 Environmental Context.....	6-1
E6.1.2 Archaeological Resources	6-11
E6.1.3 Inventory of Prehistoric-Period Cultural Resources.....	6-35
E6.1.4 Inventory of Historical-Period Cultural Resources	6-35
E6.1.5 Traditional Cultural Properties and Ethnology.....	6-35
E6.1.6 Effects to Cultural Resources from Processes Related to Geomorphology	6-55
E6.1.7 Historical Resources	6-61
E6.2 SITES LISTED OR DETERMINED ELIGIBLE FOR INCLUSION IN THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.....	6-74
E6.2.1 Archaeological Resources	6-74
E6.2.2 Historical Resources.....	6-90
E6.2.3 Historic Hydroelectric Resources.....	6-95
E6.2.4 Ethnographic Resources	6-115
E6.3 CULTURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK.....	6-115
E6.3.1 Agencies and Tribes with Cultural Resources Management Responsibilities	6-115
E6.3.2 Existing Cultural Resource Management Plans	6-117
E6.4 CONSULTATION WITH APPLICABLE AGENCIES, TRIBES, AND THE PUBLIC ON CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	6-117
E6.5 CULTURAL RESOURCES STUDIES	6-117
E6.5.1 Previously Conducted Studies.....	6-117
E6.5.2 Studies Conducted for Relicensing	6-120
E6.5.3 Studies Currently Underway	6-121
E6.5.4 Proposed Studies	6-122
E6.5.5 Outstanding Study Issues	6-122
E6.6 PROPOSED CULTURAL PROTECTION, MITIGATION AND ENHANCEMENT MEASURES.....	6-122
E6.6.1 Historic Properties Management Plan (HPMP)	6-123

E6.6.2 Maintain Historic Hydroelectric Facilities Integrity	6-124
E6.6.3 Protect Archaeological Resources.....	6-128
E6.6.4 Protecting Traditional Cultural Properties.....	6-129
E6.7 IMPACT OF CONTINUED PROJECT OPERATION ON CULTURAL RESOURCES	6-129
E6.7.1 Impacts on Historic Hydro Resources.....	6-129
E6.7.2 Impacts on Archaeological Resources.....	6-130
E6.7.3 Impacts on Traditional Cultural Properties	6-130
E6.8 INFORMATION SOURCES	6-130
E7.0 RECREATION RESOURCES	7-1
E7.1 EXISTING RECREATION RESOURCES AND FACTORS AFFECTING RECREATION RESOURCES	7-2
E7.1.1 Recreation Facilities on Project Lands and Impoundments and Downstream Water Affected by the Proposed Project	7-25
E7.1.2 Regional Overview of Public Lands Near the Project.....	7-38
E7.1.3 Recreational Use and Demand	7-41
E7.1.4 National Wild and Scenic Rivers, Wilderness Areas, and Trails....	7-48
E7.1.5 Factors Affecting Recreation.....	7-50
E7.2 RECREATION RESOURCES MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK.....	7-51
E7.2.1 Federal and State Agencies and Tribes with Recreation Management Responsibility in the Proposed Project Vicinity	7-51
E7.2.2 Federal and State Plans Affecting Recreation Resources in the Proposed Project Vicinity	7-55
E7.3 CONSULTATION WITH APPLICABLE AGENCIES, TRIBES, AND THE PUBLIC ON RECREATION RESOURCES	7-64
E7.3.1 Recreation Opportunity Measures	7-65
E7.3.2 Recreation and Public Safety Measures	7-66
E7.4 RECREATION RESOURCE STUDIES	7-66
E7.4.1 Previously Conducted Studies.....	7-66
E7.4.2 Completed Studies as Part of Relicensing.....	7-66
E7.4.3 Proposed Studies	7-100
E7.4.4 Outstanding Study Issues	7-100
E7.5 EXISTING AND PROPOSED ENHANCEMENT MEASURES FOR RECREATION RESOURCES	7-101
E7.5.1 Existing Measures	7-101
E7.5.2 Proposed Measures.....	7-102
E7.6 CONTINUING PROJECT EFFECTS ON RECREATION RESOURCES	7-111
E7.6.1 Flow-Related Project Effects on Recreation Resources.....	7-111
E7.6.2 Non-Flow-Related Project Effects on Recreation Resources.....	7-119
E7.7 INFORMATION SOURCES	7-120
E8.0 LAND MANAGEMENT AND AESTHETICS.....	8-1
E8.1 EXISTING LAND USE AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES AND FACTORS AFFECTING LAND USE AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES	8-2
E8.1.1 Land Use and Management in the Project Vicinity.....	8-2
E8.1.2 Aesthetic and Visual Resources	8-26

E8.2 WETLANDS AND FLOODPLAINS	8-75
E8.2.1 Wetlands	8-76
E8.2.2 Floodplains	8-76
E8.3 LAND MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK	8-83
E8.3.1 Agencies and Tribes with Land Management Responsibility	8-83
E8.3.2 Existing Land Management Plans	8-83
E8.4 CONSULTATION WITH APPLICABLE AGENCIES, TRIBES, AND THE PUBLIC ON LAND USE AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES	8-107
E8.5 LAND USE AND AESTHETIC RESOURCE STUDIES	8-108
E8.5.1 Studies Conducted for Relicensing	8-108
E8.5.2 Proposed Studies	8-108
E8.5.3 Outstanding Study Issues	8-108
E8.6 EXISTING AND PROPOSED ENHANCEMENT MEASURES FOR LAND USE AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES	8-108
E8.6.1 Proposed Measures for Land Use and Management	8-108
E8.6.2 Proposed Measures for Aesthetic and Visual Resources	8-108
E8.6.3 Public Access Provisions and Protection of Recreation and Aesthetic Values of Impoundments and Their Shorelines.....	8-109
E8.6.4 PacifiCorp's Policy on Developing Shoreline Facilities on Project Lands and Waters	8-113
E8.6.5 Project Roads Inventory Analysis and Roads Management.....	8-115
E8.7 CONTINUING IMPACT ON LAND AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES	8-115
E8.8 INFORMATION SOURCES	8-116
E9.0 SOCIOECONOMIC RESOURCES.....	9-1
E9.1 EXISTING SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS	9-1
E9.1.1 Population.....	9-2
E9.1.2 Housing.....	9-6
E9.1.3 General Economic Development.....	9-10
E9.1.4 Specific Economic Development	9-25
E9.1.5 Local Fiscal Conditions	9-37
E9.1.6 Public Services	9-38
E9.1.7 Infrastructure	9-39
E9.1.8 Environmental Justice	9-39
E9.1.9 Property Value.....	9-39
E9.2 AFFECTED SOCIOECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	9-40
E9.2.1 Description of the Proposed Project.....	9-40
E9.2.2 Assessment of Project Impacts	9-43
E9.2.3 Regional Economic Impacts.....	9-43
E9.2.4 Other Regional Effects	9-46
E9.2.5 Environmental Justice	9-47
E9.2.6 Net Social Benefits	9-47
E9.3 CONSULTATION WITH APPLICABLE AGENCIES, TRIBES, AND THE PUBLIC	9-55
E9.4 SOCIOECONOMIC STUDIES	9-59
E9.4.1 Previously Conducted Studies.....	9-59

E9.4.2 Studies Currently Underway	9-60
E9.4.3 Proposed Studies	9-60
E9.4.4 Outstanding Study Issues	9-60
E9.5 INFORMATION SOURCES	9-61

Appendices

E-6A	Area of Potential Effect
E-6B	Regulatory Analysis of Ethnographic Riverscape
E-6C	Integration Report
E-6D	Historic Context Statement
E-6E	Request for Determination of Eligibility
E-6F	HPMP Outline, Proposed PM&E Measures, and Associated Costs
E7-A	Draft Recreation Resource Management Plan
E-8A	Representative KOP Photographs—Facilities
E-8B	Representative KOP Photographs—Operations
E-8C	Draft Outline Project Roads Inventory Analysis and Roads Management Report

Tables

E2.6-1	A summary of Oregon and California water quality criteria for key water quality constituents for the Klamath Basin in the vicinity of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project.	2-10
E2.8-1	Major plant community types along the Klamath River in the Project area.....	2-15
E3.1-1.	Reservoir total storage capacities (ac-ft) as a percent of mean annual runoff (MAR) for facilities in the Upper Klamath River basin.	3-5
E3.1-2.	USGS flow gauging data for the Klamath River and Fall Creek in the Project area.	3-8
E3.1-3.	Klamath River water year classifications.	3-11
E3.1-4.	Mean annual discharge (cfs) and water year types since 1990 at USGS gauges in the Project area.	3-12
E3.1-5.	Upper Klamath Lake characteristics.	3-14
E3.1-6.	Lake Ewauna/Keno reservoir physical and operational characteristics.	3-16
E3.1-7.	Lake Ewauna/Keno reservoir inflow locations and quantities.	3-17
E3.1-8.	J.C. Boyle reservoir physical and operational characteristics.	3-21
E3.1-9.	Number of days of J.C. Boyle Development peaking and spill operations by year based on recent water years of hourly data.	3-22
E3.1-10.	Copco No. 1 reservoir physical and operational characteristics.	3-26
E3.1-11.	Number of days of Copco development peaking and spill operations by year	3-28
E3.1-12.	Iron Gate reservoir physical and operational characteristics.	3-33
E3.1-13.	Klamath River flows at Iron Gate dam stipulated in USBR’s Klamath Irrigation Project 2003 Operations Plan (April 10, 2003)	3-34
E3.1-14.	Other USGS flow gauging data for the Klamath River and major tributaries downstream of the Project area.	3-39
E3.2-1.	Mean and range (in parentheses) of observed values for water quality parameters, Salt Caves Project, J.C. Boyle reservoir inflow and outflow sites, and surface and bottom waters, March 1984-February 1985.	3-48

E3.2-2.	Mean and range (in parentheses) of observed values for water quality parameters, Salt Caves Project, Klamath River mainstem sites below J.C. Boyle dam, March 1984-February 1985.	3-49
E3.2-3.	Mean and range (in parentheses) of observed values for water quality parameters, Salt Caves Project, Klamath River tributary sites below J.C. Boyle dam, March 1984-February 1985.	3-50
E3.2-4.	The most frequently sampled sites in the Klamath River basin between 1950 and 2001.	3-57
E3.2-5.	Most frequently measured constituents in the Klamath River basin, 1950-2001.	3-58
E3.2-6.	Frequently sampled sites in the Klamath River basin used for site comparisons.	3-60
E3.2-7.	Sites used for temporal analysis of Klamath River historical data.	3-71
E3.2-8.	Correlation coefficients (R2) for third-order polynomial fit to Klamath River water quality data.	3-71
E3.3-1.	Sample site locations and number of dates sampled for water quality data in the Project area.	3-83
E3.3-2.	Location and dates of temperature data collection at sites in the Project area. ...	3-84
E3.3-3.	Descriptive statistics for Klamath Straits Drain (Site KS01).	3-91
E3.3-4.	Comparison water quality constituents measured at the surface (1 m) and bottom (7 m) of J.C. Boyle reservoir near the dam (KR22478).	3-93
E3.3-5.	Change in average water temperature between sites in the Klamath River during peaking and nonpeaking operations.	3-94
E3.3-6.	Comparison of means of monthly water quality constituents in Copco and Iron Gate reservoirs.	3-106
E3.3-7.	Results of Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric analysis of variance for selected constituents at sites above and below Iron Gate dam.	3-113
E3.3-8.	Water quality constituents at sites sampled downstream from Iron Gate dam...	3-114
E3.3-9.	Fall 2002 and spring 2003 lotic and lentic macroinvertebrate sampling transects by reach or area.	3-116
E3.3-10.	Standard list of benthic macroinvertebrate metrics used in this analysis.	3-117
E3.3-11.	Significant differences in macroinvertebrate metrics between adjacent mainstem reach for fall 2002 sampling data.	3-123
E3.3-12.	Significant differences in macroinvertebrate metrics between adjacent mainstem reach for spring 2003 sampling data.	3-124
E3.3-13.	Paired t-test comparisons of fall 2002 and spring 2003 macroinvertebrate metrics by reach.	3-125
E3.3-14.	Distinct macroinvertebrate metrics indicating impaired conditions at Lake Ewauna.	3-127
E3.4-1.	summary of Oregon and California water quality criteria for key water quality constituents for the Klamath Basin in the vicinity of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project.	3-130
E3.5-2.	Summary values for dissolved oxygen measured at various locations in the Project area in 2000 through 2003.	3-149
E3.5-3.	Summary of pH values measured in the Klamath River in Oregon between Link dam and Copco reservoir in 2000 through 2003.	3-150
E3.5-4.	Summary of pH values measured in the Klamath River in California between the Oregon border and the Shasta River in 2000 through 2003.....	3-151

E3.5-5. Average chlorophyll concentration in excess of the ODEQ action level measured between May and October in the Klamath River in 2000 through 2003 (µg/L).....	3-153
E3.5-6. The average concentration of nitrate nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen, and total phosphorus (mg/L as the element) in water entering the Project area.....	3-154
E3.5-7. Turbidity (NTU) data collected in the Project area in 2000 through 2003.....	3-159
E3.5-8. Summary of survey responses citing negative reaction to water quality in the Project area, 2001.....	3-162
E3.5-9. Maximum Contaminant Level – Radioactivity.....	3-166
E3.7-1. River reaches and representation in the modeling framework.....	3-173
E3.8-1. Locations of simulated selective withdrawal release points for Iron Gate reservoir.....	3-208
E3.8-2. Costs of proposed measures for water use and quality.....	3-213
E4.1-1. River reaches, reservoirs, and major tributaries proceeding downstream within the Klamath Hydroelectric Project study area.....	4-3
E4.1-2. Common and scientific names of fish species known to occur in the Klamath River and reservoirs upstream of Iron Gate dam and that likely occur in the Klamath River drainage system downstream of Iron Gate dam.....	4-5
E4.1-3. Estimated fish periodicity on the Klamath River.....	4-25
E4.1-4. Upstream migrating redband trout captured in Spencer Creek weir, 1991.....	4-32
E4.2-1. Summary of field sampling efforts in Klamath River reaches.....	4-39
E4.2-3. Fish species collected, all methods, all seasons, J.C. Boyle bypass reach.....	4-39
E4.2-4. Catch per unit effort (fish per hour) by near-shore backpack electrofishing: J.C. Boyle bypass reach, fall 2001.....	4-40
E4.2-5. Catch per unit effort (fish per hour) by near-shore backpack electrofishing for each season, segments combined: J.C. Boyle bypass reach, 2002.....	4-40
E4.2-6. Catch per unit effort (fish per hour) by near-shore backpack electrofishing: J.C. Boyle bypass reach, 2002.....	4-42
E4.2-7. Fish species collected, all methods, all seasons: J.C. Boyle peaking reach.....	4-43
E4.2-8. Catch per unit effort (fish per hour) by near-shore backpack electrofishing: J.C. Boyle peaking reach, fall 2001.....	4-44
E4.2-9. Catch per unit effort (fish per hour) by near-shore backpack electrofishing for each season, segments combined: J.C. Boyle peaking reach, 2002.....	4-44
E4.2-10. Catch per unit effort (fish per hour) by near-shore backpack electrofishing: J. C. Boyle peaking reach, 2002.....	4-45
E4.2-11. Comparison of total catch and catch per unit effort during drift-boat electrofishing in Oregon and California segments: J. C. Boyle peaking reach, fall 2002.....	4-46
E4.2-12. Fish species collected, all methods all seasons: Copco No. 2 bypass reach, 2001-2002.....	4-49
E4.2-13. Catch per unit effort (fish per hour) by backpack electrofishing: Copco No. 2 bypass reach, 2001-2002.....	4-49
E4.2-14. Backpack electrofishing results: Fall Creek, 2001-2002.....	4-50
E4.2-15. Sampling gear and targeted life stages: J.C. Boyle, Copco, and Iron Gate reservoirs, 1998 and 1999.....	4-51
E4.2-16. Number of fish collected in J.C. Boyle reservoir by gear type during 1998 and 1999.....	4-52

E4.2-17. Number of fish collected in Copco reservoir by gear type during 1998 and 1999.....	4-55
E4.2-18. Number of fish collected in Iron Gate reservoir by gear type during 1998 and 1999.....	4-58
E4.2-19. Differences in streambed wetted perimeter (WP) for total habitats and riffle-only habitats between two run-of-river conditions and the current peaking-cycle base flow.....	4-80
E4.2-20. Keno and J.C. Boyle peaking reaches, fish catch per-hour by backpack electrofishing for all seasons and segments combined.	4-81
E4.2-21. Keno reach segments and J.C. Boyle peaking reach, fish catch per-hour by backpack electrofishing.	4-82
E4.2-22. Keno and J.C. Boyle peaking reaches seasonal fish catch per-hour by backpack electrofishing.	4-83
E4.2-23. Peaking reach fish stranding and entrapment observations, 2002.	4-88
E4.2-24. Peaking reach fish stranding and entrapment observations, 2003.	4-89
E4.2-25. Condition factors (K) of redband trout caught in 2002.....	4-97
E4.2-26. Instream flows below Iron Gate dam.....	4-104
E4.2-27. Species composition of J.C. Boyle, Copco, and Iron Gate reservoirs by family group as found by trammel net, trap net, trawl, and seine sampling in 1998 and 1999 by PacifiCorp and Oregon State University.....	4-113
E4.2-28. Estimated percent turbine-induced fish mortality by fish size class at Klamath Project powerhouses.....	4-113
E4.3-1. Iron Gate fish hatchery production goals and constraints.....	4-127
E4.7-1. Estimated Costs of Project Proposed Enhancement Measures.....	4-148
E4.7-2. Difference in wetted perimeter of the J.C. Boyle peaking reach under current and proposed minimum flows.	4-154
E4.7-3. Iron Gate Dam flows, by time step, (values in CFS) for water year type.....	4-159
E4.7-4. Proposed instream flow and ramp rate measures for river reaches affected by the Klamath Hydroelectric Project.....	4-160
E4.7-5. Iron Gate fish hatchery production goals and constraints.....	4-172
E5.2-1 Acreage of cover types in each study area section of the Klamath River Hydroelectric Project study area.....	5-9
E5.2-2 TES plant, fungi, bryophyte, and lichen species potentially occurring in the Klamath Hydroelectric Project study area.	5-35
E5.2-3 Number of TES plant species occurrences by study area section.....	5-42
E5.2-4 Noxious weed and non-native invasive plant species potentially occurring in the study area.	5-43
E5.2-5 The status, abundance, and location of waterfowl species observed in the study area.	5-51
E5.2-6 Number of passerine species and most common species by cover type.....	5-54
E5.2-7 RFS relative abundance (detections per survey) in riparian and wetland plots by study area section.....	5-56
E5.2-8 Amphibian and reptile observations in the study area by study area section and habitat.....	5-59
E5.2-9 TES wildlife species occurring or potentially occurring in the Klamath Hydroelectric Project study area.....	5-66
E5.2-9 TES wildlife species occurring or potentially occurring in the Klamath Hydroelectric Project study area.....	5-74

E5.2-10	Habitat/species matrix for TES wildlife detected during 2002-2003 terrestrial studies.	5-75
E5.2-11	Bald eagle territories and nesting status through 2003 in the general vicinity of the Klamath River Hydroelectric Project.	5-78
E5.2-12	Mesohabitat availability (percent of reach) in the J.C. Boyle bypass and peaking reaches.	5-80
E5.6-1	Summary of PacifiCorp’s proposed protection, mitigation, and enhancement (PM&E) measures for terrestrial resources associated with the Klamath River Hydroelectric Project.	5-120
E5.6-2	Proposed implementation schedule.	5-138
E5.6-3	Summary of costs for PacifiCorp’s proposed PM&E measures for terrestrial resources (2003 dollars).	5-139
E5.7-1	Acreage occupied or disturbed by Project facilities and PacifiCorp-owned recreation sites.	5-140
E5.7-2	Length (miles) of Project transmission lines in each cover type.	5-145
E5.7-3	Average change in varial zone width (feet) between 3,000 and 400 cfs.	5-149
E6.1-1	Summary of chronology and cultural history in the upper Klamath River region.	6-12
E6.1-2	Summary of radiocarbon dates in the upper Klamath River region.	6-19
E6.2-1	NRHP recommendations and justification for prehistoric sites and site components.	6-77
E6.2-2	NRHP recommendations and justification for historic-period sites and site components.	6-92
E6.2-3	Historic project structures, date of construction, and NRHP evaluation.	6-111
E6.5-1	Results of the Oregon and California record searches.	6-117
E7.1-1	Summary of acceptable and optimal flow ranges for Klamath River recreation opportunities.	7-67
E7.4-1	Recreation facilities comparison of Project reservoirs with lakes or reservoirs in the region.	7-75
E7.4-2	Comparison of recreation features on regional lakes/reservoirs with proposed Project reservoirs.	7-76
E7.4-3	Comparison between statewide (CA and OR) demand and percent participation in activities within the proposed Project area.	7-78
E7.4-4	National and regional trends in outdoor recreation activity participation (2000 to 2030).	7-81
E7.4-5	Population estimates and forecasts for selected areas of California and Oregon where visitors originated.	7-82
E7.5-1	Proposed recreation resource measures.	7-102
E8.1-1	Key observation points (KOPs).	8-71
E8.1-2	Summary of visual resource analysis results.	8-77
E8.3-1	Agencies with jurisdiction over Project lands or Project-adjacent lands.	8-83
E8.3-2	Summary of relevant land use and resource management plans.	8-85
E8.3-3	Land use and resource management plans that were reviewed and determined to not be applicable.	8-91
E8.6-1	Shoreline and FERC perimeter shoreline measurements.	8-109
E8.6-2	Shoreline ownership summary.	8-110
E8.6-3	Estimate for shoreline buffer acquisition.	8-113
E8.6-4	Klamath dock inventory.	8-115

E9-1	Year 2000 upstream region population by county.....	9-2
E9-2	Year 2000 downstream region population by county.....	9-2
E9-3	Estimated population (in thousands) and predicted long-term population trends, 1970 to 2040.	9-3
E9-4	Race and ethnic distributions by individual county and by region, 2000 census. ...	9-4
E9-5	Race and ethnic distributions (percent) by county and community within the 5-mile buffer area, 2000 census.	9-4
E9-6	Housing stock, vacancy, and substandard information within the 50-mile buffer area, 2000 census.	9-7
E9-7	Housing stock, vacancy, and substandard housing information within the 5-mile buffer area, 2000 census.	9-8
E9-8	Historical total employment, 1980 to 1999, with average growth rates.	9-10
E9-9	1999 employment by industry for individual counties (thousands of jobs).....	9-11
E9-10	1999 employment by industry for regions (thousands of jobs).	9-12
E9-11	Employment by industry by community within the 5-mile buffer area, 2000 census.....	9-14
E9-12	Employment by industry by community within the 5-mile buffer area, 1990 census.....	9-16
E9-13	1999 Personal income measures.....	9-20
E9-14	Income measures by community within the 5-mile buffer area, 2000 census.	9-21
E9-15	Census 2000 income measures for American Indian population by county and region.	9-23
E9-16	Distribution of low-income American Indian population within the 5-mile buffer area, 2000 census.	9-24
E9-17	Annual recreation use and the associated expenditures of nonlocal visitors in the Upper Klamath River area.	9-26
E9-18	Average annual recreation use and the associated expenditures of nonlocal visitors in the Lower Klamath River area ¹ by buffer area, and total expenditures by all visitors combined.	9-27
E9-19	Estimates of KMZ coastal community personal state income impacts of the troll ocean salmon fishery by port area.	9-32
E9-20	Estimates of Yurok and Hoopa Valley reservation Indian gillnet harvest.....	9-35
E9-21	PM&E resource area investments.....	9-48
E9-22	Estimated project costs (2003\$).....	9-49
E9-23	Klamath River subsistence take of fall and spring Chinook salmon (1990-2001).9-53	
E9-24	Net present value of social benefits.	9-54

Figures

E3.1-1.	Annual hydrographs of average daily flows for the period 1967-2001 at four gauging stations on the Klamath River in the Project area.	3-9
E3.1-2.	Annual hydrographs of average daily flows in the Klamath River at Keno (USGS Gauge No. 11509500) for the period 1967-1999, a dry year (1992), and a wet year (1999).	3-10
E3.1-3.	Estimated monthly median flow values for Fall Creek based on available data from USGS Gauge 11512000 for the period of record April 1934 to September 1959.	3-10

E3.1-4.	Daily average Upper Klamath Lake elevation and estimated discharge in Link River for Water Years 1999-2001.	3-15
E3.1-5.	Daily average Keno reservoir elevation and estimated reservoir inflow and outflow for Water Years 1999-2001.	3-16
E3.1-6.	Lake Ewauna/Keno reservoir inflow locations.	3-17
E3.1-7.	Keno reservoir elevation and hourly discharge below Keno dam during October and November 1998.	3-18
E3.1-8.	RMA-2 model output of hourly flow for 2000 and 2001 in the Keno reach of the Klamath River (at Keno dam) for existing conditions and a hypothetical without-Project scenario (WOP).	3-19
E3.1-9.	Daily average J.C. Boyle reservoir elevation and estimated reservoir inflow and outflow for Water Years 1999-2001.	3-20
E3.1-10.	Hourly discharge below the J.C. Boyle powerhouse during Water Year 1999. ..	3-21
E3.1-11.	Hourly J.C. Boyle reservoir elevation and estimated reservoir inflow and outflow during July 1-7, 1999.	3-22
E3.1-12.	RMA-2 model output of hourly flow for 2000 and 2001 in the Klamath River above the J.C. Boyle powerhouse for existing conditions and a hypothetical without-Project scenario (WOP).	3-24
E3.1-13.	RMA-2 model output of hourly flow for 2000 and 2001 in the Klamath River at stateline for existing conditions and a hypothetical without-Project scenario (WOP).	3-25
E3.1-14.	Daily average Copco No. 1 reservoir elevation and estimated inflow and outflow discharge for Water Years 1999-2001.	3-26
E3.1-15.	Daily average spillway and turbine discharges from the Copco No. 1 dam and powerhouse for Water Years 1999-2001.	3-27
E3.1-16.	Hourly Copco No. 1 reservoir elevations and Copco No. 1 powerhouse discharge during June 22-July 10, 1995.	3-28
E3.1-17.	RMA-2 model annual time series output of hourly flow in the Klamath River in the Copco No. 2 bypass reach for 2000 (top plot) and 2001 (bottom plot) for existing conditions and a hypothetical without-Project scenario (WOP).	3-30
E3.1-18.	RMA-2 model annual time series output of hourly flow in the Klamath River below the Copco No. 2 powerhouse in 2000 (top plot) and 2001 (bottom plot) for existing conditions and a hypothetical without-Project scenario (WOP)	3-31
E3.1-19.	Daily average Iron Gate reservoir elevation and estimated reservoir inflow and outflow for Water Years 1999-2001.	3-32
E3.1-20.	Daily average spillway and turbine discharges from the Iron Gate dam and powerhouse for Water Years 1999-2001.	3-33
E3.1-21.	RMA-2 model output of hourly flow for 2000 and 2001 in the Klamath River below Iron Gate dam for existing conditions and a hypothetical without-Project scenario (WOP).	3-36
E3.1-22.	RMA-2 model output of hourly flow for 2000 and 2001 in the Klamath River at Seiad Valley for existing conditions and a hypothetical without-Project scenario (WOP).	3-37
E3.1-23.	Daily average Iron Gate and Seiad Valley discharge for Water Years 1999-2001	3-38
E3.1-24.	Contribution of flow measured at Klamath River below Iron Gate dam (and key tributaries and accretions) to flow measured at Klamath River near the mouth (at Klamath, California).	3-39

E3.2-1. Distribution of water quality sampling sites identified in the Klamath River basin from 1950-2001.	3-56
E3.2-2. The number of water quality samples collected in the Klamath River basin below Upper Klamath Lake, 1950 to 2001.	3-59
E3.2-3. Median values for specific conductance ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) and alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO_3) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-62
E3.2-4. Median values for dissolved oxygen (mg/L) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-63
E3.2-5. Median values for ammonia nitrogen (mg/L as N) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-64
E3.2-6. Median values for nitrate nitrogen (mg/L as N) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-65
E3.2-7. Median values for orthophosphate phosphorus (mg/L as P) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-66
E3.2-8. Median values for total phosphorus (mg/L) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-66
E3.2-9. Median values for total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN, mg/L) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-67
E3.2-10. Median values for biochemical oxygen demand (BOD, mg/L) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-67
E3.2-11. Median values for turbidity (NTU) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-68
E3.2-12. Median values for chlorophyll a ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$) measured at various sites in the Klamath River between 1950 and 2001.	3-69
E3.2-13. Median values for pH (units) measured at various sites in the Klamath River. ..	3-69
E3.2-14. Ammonia nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in Link River near the mouth in 1950 through 2001. A third order polynomial curve is fitted to the data.	3-73
E3.2-15. Nitrate nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in the Klamath River downstream of the Klamath River fish hatchery in 1950 through 2001.	3-73
E3.2-16. Nitrate nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in the Klamath River near Seiad in 1950 through 2001.	3-74
E3.2-17. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in Link River near the mouth in 1950 through 2001.	3-74
E3.2-18. Chlorophyll a values ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$) measured in Link River near the mouth (RM 5) in 1950 through 2001.	3-75
E3.2-19. Orthophosphate phosphorus values (mg/L as P) measured in the Klamath Straits Drain in 1950 through 2001.	3-75
E3.2-20. Dissolved oxygen values (mg/L) measured at various sites in the Klamath River in 1950 through 2001.	3-76
E3.2-21. Turbidity values (NTU) measured in the Klamath River downstream of the Klamath River fish hatchery in 1950 through 2001.	3-76
E3.2-22. Turbidity values (NTU) measured in the Klamath River near the mouth in 1950 through 2001.	3-77
E3.2-23. Nitrate nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in the Klamath River downstream of the Klamath River fish hatchery in 1950 through 2001.	3-78
E3.2-24. Nitrate nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in the Klamath River near Seiad in 1950 through 2001.	3-78

E3.2-25. Nitrate nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in the Klamath River near the mouth in 1950 through 2001..... 3-79

E3.2-26. Nitrate nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in Link River near the mouth in 1950 through 2001..... 3-79

E3.2-27. Total Kjeldahl nitrogen values (mg/L as N) measured in the Klamath River downstream of the Klamath River fish hatchery in 1950 through 2001..... 3-80

E3.2-28. pH (units) measured in the Klamath River near the mouth in 1950 through 2001. 3-80

E3.3-1. PacifiCorp and USBR water quality sampling sites in the Klamath River, 2000-2003. 3-82

E3.3-2. Observed total phosphorus, chlorophyll *a*, and pH values during 1991-1999 . . . 3-87

E3.3-3. Upper Klamath Lake mean total phosphorus concentrations 3-88

E3.3-4. Isopleth diagram for water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH in Lake Ewauna and Keno reservoir for June 2002. 3-90

E3.3-5. Isopleth diagram for water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and pH in Lake Ewauna and Keno reservoir for August 2002. 3-92

E3.3-6. Spatial trends in water temperature residual in the Klamath River between Link dam and the Shasta River. 3-94

E3.3-7. Discharge measured at the USGS gauge below the J.C. Boyle powerhouse in 2002 during peaking operation (top) and during nonpeaking flow (bottom). . 3-95

E3.3-8. Water temperatures measured above and below the J.C. Boyle powerhouse during peaking operation (top) and during nonpeaking flow (bottom) during 2002. 3-96

E3.3-9. Water temperatures measured in the Klamath River above Shovel Creek (KR20645) during periods of peaking operation (July, top) and during nonpeaking discharge (October, bottom) in 2002. 3-97

E3.3-10. Box plot showing the distribution by site of total phosphorus (top plot) and orthophosphate phosphorus (bottom plot) values measured in the Project area during 2000-2003. 3-98

E3.3-11. Box plot showing the distribution by site of nitrogen values measured in the Project area during 2000-2003. 3-99

E3.3-12. Concentration of nutrients measured at various sites in the Project area, June 18, 2002. 3-101

E3.3-13. Concentration of nutrients measured at various sites in the Project area, June 18, 2002. 3-102

E3.3-14. Isopleth diagram of water temperature for J.C. Boyle, Copco, and Iron Gate reservoirs for 2000 through 2003. 3-104

E3.3-15. Hourly water temperature measurements from Copco (top) and Iron Gate (bottom) reservoirs..... 3-105

E3.3-16. Isopleth diagram of dissolved oxygen for J.C. Boyle, Copco, and Iron Gate reservoirs for 2000-2003. 3-107

E3.3-17. Oxidation-reduction values measured in Copco (KR19874) and Iron Gate (KR19019) reservoirs at depths > 10 m in 2002 and 2003. 3-108

E3.3-18. Ammonia nitrogen and orthophosphate phosphorus measured at depths > 10 m in Copco (KR19874) and Iron Gate (KR19019) reservoirs in 2000 through 2003. 3-109

E3.3-19. Daily average water temperature data from below Iron Gate dam and Iron Gate reservoir, showing the correspondence between the temperature in the reservoir

at a 10-foot depth and the temperature in the Klamath River below Iron Gate dam	3-110
E3.3-20. Orthophosphate phosphorus and ammonia nitrogen measured in the outflows from Copco (KR19645) and Iron Gate (KR18973) reservoirs, and at depths > 10 m in Copco (KR19874) and Iron Gate (KR19019) reservoirs in 2000 through 2003.	3-111
E3.3-21. Box plot showing the distribution by month of chlorophyll <i>a</i> values measured at the river sites (top) and in the reservoirs (Copco and Iron Gate, bottom) of the Project area during 2000-2003.	3-112
E3.3-22. Hourly water temperature measured in the Klamath River upstream of Shovel Creek (KR20645) and just downstream of Iron Gate dam (KR18973).	3-114
E3.3-23. Total macroinvertebrate density (numbers/m ²) by sampling location for fall 2002 and spring 2003 studies.	3-119
E3.3-24. Total richness (number of species) by sampling location for fall 2002 and spring 2003 studies.	3-119
E3.3-25. Shannon diversity index values by sampling location for fall 2002 and spring 2003 studies.	3-120
E3.3-26. Total EPT richness (number of EPT species) by sampling location for fall 2002 and spring 2003 studies.	3-120
E3.3-27. Macroinvertebrate functional groups by sampling location for fall 2002 (top plot) and spring 2003 (bottom plot) studies.	3-121
E3.3-28. Macroinvertebrate dominant taxa (% of total taxa) by sampling location for fall 2002 (top plot) and spring 2003 (bottom plot) studies.	3-122
E3.3-29. Macroinvertebrate drift in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach of the Klamath River during a peaking cycle on September 12, 2002.	3-126
E3.5-1. Seven-day average daily maximum temperature measured in the Klamath River just above J.C. Boyle reservoir (RM 228), at the USGS gauge below J.C. Boyle Dam (RM 221), and at the California border (RM 204).	3-141
E3.5-2. Top panel: Temperature difference between Keno dam and above J.C. Boyle reservoir under existing conditions.	3-142
E3.5-3. Seven-day average daily maximum temperature in the Klamath River at J.C. Boyle dam (RM 224.3) under existing conditions in 2000 compared to a hypothetical without-Project scenario.	3-143
E3.5-4. Seven-day average daily maximum temperature in the J.C. Boyle bypass reach just above the powerhouse (RM 221) under existing conditions in 2000 compared to a hypothetical without-Project scenario.	3-144
E3.5-5. Seven-day average daily maximum temperature in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach just below the powerhouse (RM 220) under existing conditions in 2000 compared to a hypothetical without-Project scenario.	3-144
E3.5-6. Seven-day average daily maximum temperature in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach just above Copco reservoir (RM 204) under existing conditions in 2000 compared to a hypothetical without-Project scenario.	3-145
E3.5-7. Daily average temperature (top) measured in the Link River below Link dam (KR25344) and in the Klamath River below Iron Gate dam (KR18973), and the difference in daily average temperature between the two sites (bottom).	3-146
E3.5-8. Distribution of values of dissolved oxygen, as percent saturation at sea level, measured at sites in the Klamath River in 2000 through 2003.	3-148

E3.5-9. Distribution of dissolved oxygen values measured at various depths in Iron Gate reservoir during 2000 through 2002.	3-149
E3.5-10. Distribution of pH values measured in Iron Gate and Copco reservoirs in 2000 through 2003.	3-152
E3.5-11. Seasonal changes in diversion flow to the Klamath Irrigation Project and total nitrogen and phosphorus in the Klamath River.	3-155
E3.5-12. Change in nutrient concentration in the Klamath River between Link dam and below Iron Gate dam.	3-156
E3.7-1. Designated river reaches and reservoirs.	3-173
E3.8-1. Model simulation results for various instream flow releases from J.C. Boyle dam to the J.C. Boyle bypass reach. Results are shown for water temperature (top), dissolved oxygen (middle), and ammonia (bottom).	3-199
E3.8-2. Model simulation results for flow in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach assuming various instream flow releases from J.C. Boyle dam to the J.C. Boyle bypass reach.	3-202
E3.8-3. Model simulation results for water temperature in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach assuming various instream flow releases from J.C. Boyle dam to the J.C. Boyle bypass reach.	3-203
E3.8-4. Model simulation results for dissolved oxygen in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach assuming various instream flow releases from J.C. Boyle dam to the J.C. Boyle bypass reach.	3-204
E3.8-5. Model simulation results for ammonia in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach assuming various instream flow releases from J.C. Boyle dam to the J.C. Boyle bypass reach.	3-205
E3.8-6. WQRRS simulated release flows for Run 1.	3-209
E3.8-7. CE-QUAL-W2 simulated mean daily water temperature for Iron Gate reservoir releases for Run 6 (Jan. 1-Dec. 31, 2000).	3-210
E3.8-8. CE-QUAL-W2 simulated release flows for Run 6 (Jan. 1-Dec. 31, 2000).	3-210
E3.8-9. RMA-11 simulated longitudinal profile of mean daily water temperature for September 30, 2000, under selective withdrawal conditions for Run 6.	3-211
E3.8-10. CE-QUAL-W2 simulated mean daily dissolved oxygen for Iron Gate reservoir release (Jan. 1-Dec. 31, 2000).	3-212
E3.8-11. RMA-11 simulated longitudinal profile of mean daily dissolved oxygen for September 30, 2000, under selective withdrawal conditions for Run 6.	3-213
E4.1-1. Downstream movement of redband trout in Spencer Creek (1990-1991).	4-33
E4.1-2. Migration of spawning rainbow trout from Klamath River into Shovel Creek, April 20 to June 10, 1982. (Beyes, 1984)	4-34
E4.1-3. Average fork length of age 0+ emigrants trapped near mouth of Shovel Creek, California, 1982. (Beyes, 1984)	4-35
E4.1-4. Downstream migration of immature rainbow trout from Shovel Creek into the Klamath River, 1982.	4-36
E4.2-1. Rainbow trout fry, juvenile and adult site-specific use/availability HSC used in initial PHABSIM analysis for the Klamath River Project.	4-67
E4.2-2. Rainbow trout fry, juvenile and adult envelope HSC used in initial PHABSIM analysis for the Klamath River Project.	4-68
E4.2-3. Rainbow trout juvenile and adult HSC used in initial PHABSIM analysis for Fall Creek, Klamath River Project (Source Bucks/Grizzly Creeks – TRPA 1991).	4-69

E4.2-4.	Sucker, juvenile and adult HSC used in initial PHABSIM analysis for the Klamath River Project. UNFFR and LNFFR – upper and lower North Fork Feather River.....	4-70
E4.2-5.	Habitat index simulation for redband trout fry, juvenile, and adult in the J.C. Boyle bypass reach using Upper Klamath River site-specific curves and envelope curves.....	4-72
E4.2-6.	Habitat index simulation for juvenile and adult suckers in the J.C. Boyle bypass.....	4-73
E4.2-7.	Habitat index simulation for redband trout fry, juvenile and adult in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach based on envelope HSC.....	4-73
E4.2-8.	Habitat index simulation for juvenile and adult suckers in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach.....	4-74
E4.2-9.	Habitat index simulations for rainbow trout fry, juvenile and adult in the Copco No. 2 bypass reach using envelope HSC curves.....	4-75
E4.2-10.	Habitat index simulation for juvenile and adult suckers in the Copco No. 2 bypass reach.....	4-75
E4.2-11.	Habitat index simulation for rainbow trout juvenile and adult in the Fall Creek bypass. Juvenile and adult curves from Bucks Creek Thomas R. Payne & Associates 1991).....	4-76
E4.2-12.	Wetted perimeter vs. discharge for all habitat types in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach.....	4-79
E4.2-13.	Wetted perimeter vs. discharge for riffle habitat in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach.....	4-80
E4.2-14.	Index densities of rainbow trout fry (<50 mm FL) in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach according to location, index site, and sampling period. Approximate locations of landmarks are indicated.....	4-86
E4.2-15.	Klamath River stage reduction comparison between J.C. Boyle gauge and surveyed data at lower Frain Ranch, July 14, 2003.....	4-90
E4.2-16.	Klamath River stage reduction comparison between J.C. Boyle gauge and surveyed data at mouth of Shovel Creek, August 20, 2003.....	4-91
E4.2-17.	Redband trout length frequency from 1979 to 1982 ODFW angler surveys.....	4-92
E4.2-18.	Length frequency of trout: all seasons (2002) angling.....	4-93
E4.2-19.	Age distribution of redband trout by reach.....	4-94
E4.2-20.	Average back-calculated length-at-age for redband trout.....	4-95
E4.2-21.	Average growth (mm/yr) of redband trout caught in Klamath River: Keno and J.C. Boyle peaking reaches.....	4-96
E4.2-22.	Hour by stage reduction (all months).....	4-100
E4.2-23.	Hour by stage reduction, January-June.....	4-101
E4.3-1.	Fall Chinook adult returns to the mainstem Klamath River below Iron Gate dam. Includes natural spawners only. Source: CDFG (2003).	4-124
E4.3-2.	Chinook production at Iron Gate fish hatchery, 1965-2001.....	4-129
E4.3-3.	Coho production at Iron Gate fish hatchery, 1965-2001.....	4-129
E4.3-4.	Steelhead production at Iron Gate fish hatchery, 1965-2001.....	4-130
E4.3-5.	Adult returns of salmon and steelhead to Iron Gate fish hatchery, 1964 to 2002.....	4-132
E4.7-1.	J.C. Boyle - Synchronous Bypass Valve.....	4-155
E4.7-2.	J.C. Boyle Development Gulper System.....	4-165
E4.7-3.	Spring Creek - Canal Screen and Fish Ladder.....	4-167

E5.1-1	Terrestrial resources study area	5-3
E5.2-1	Inundation duration of vegetation communities at J.C. Boyle reservoir.	5-25
E5.2-2	Inundation duration of vegetation communities at J.C. Boyle peaking reach.	5-25
E5.2-3	Discharge for reed canarygrass (PHAARU) cover classes (1 = less than 5 percent, 2 = 6 to 25 percent, 3 = 26 to 50 percent, 4 = 51 to 75 percent, and 5 = 76 to 100 percent) and vegetation communities in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach within the varial zone dominated by reed canarygrass. Discharge is positively related to river stage (i.e., elevation).	5-26
E5.2-4	Inundation duration for reed canarygrass (PHAARU) cover classes (1 = less than 5 percent, 2 = 6 to 25 percent, 3 = 26 to 50 percent, 4 = 51 to 75 percent, and 5 = 76 to 100 percent) and vegetation communities dominated by reed canarygrass in the J.C. Boyle peaking reach within the varial zone. Inundation is negatively related to elevation.....	5-27
E5.2-5	Inundation duration of vegetation communities at Copco reservoir.....	5-28
E5.2-6	Inundation duration of vegetation communities at Iron Gate reservoir.....	5-29
E5.2-7	Inundation duration of vegetation communities at Iron Gate-Shasta reach.....	5-30
E5.6-1	Proposed terrestrial PM&E site conceptual descriptions.....	5-123
E5.6-2	Proposed wildlife bridge locations for J.C. Boyle canal.....	5-131
E5.6-3	Conceptual design (cross-sections) of small and large wildlife bridges proposed for the J.C. Boyle canal.....	5-134
E6.1-1	Generalized paleoclimate trends in the Intermountain West.	6-8
E6.1-2	Approximate territories of the Klamath/Modoc, Shasta, Karuk, Hoopa, and Yurok Tribes along the Klamath River Corridor.	6-37
E7.1-1	Project Recreation Sites and Study Area	7-3
E8.1-1	Land ownership.....	8-3
E8.1-2	Zoning.....	8-27
E8.1-3	Existing land use.....	8-49
E8.2-1	Floodplains—Klamath Falls and Siskiyou County	8-79
E9-1	Historical unemployment rates for upstream counties, California and Oregon, 1992–2001.....	9-18
E9-2	Historical unemployment rates for downstream counties, California and Oregon, 1992–2001.....	9-19
E9-3	Historical per capita nominal incomes for Upper Klamath counties, California and Oregon, 1992–2001.....	9-20
E9-4	Historical per capita nominal incomes for Lower Klamath counties, California and Oregon, 1992–2001.....	9-21
E9-5	Historical salmon landing for KMZ port areas in thousands of fish.....	9-29
E9-6	Historical salmon landing for KMZ port areas in thousands of pounds.....	9-30
E9-7	West coast non-Indian ocean commercial salmon annual ex-vessel price trends (2001 dollars).	9-31
E9-8	Total historical commercial fisheries landings for KMZ port areas.....	9-33

E1.0 INTRODUCTION

E1.1 APPROACH TO RELICENSING THE KLAMATH HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

PacifiCorp has chosen to pursue a Traditional Licensing Process (TLP) in relicensing its Klamath Hydroelectric Project (Project). The Traditional Licensing Process is a three-stage consultation process that involves the public in developing a license application for an existing hydroelectric project. First stage includes initial review of the project and any proposed modifications, and the determination of studies. The Traditional Licensing Process for the Project was initiated in December 2000 by the distribution of the Notice of Intent and First Stage Consultation Document (FSCD). The formal comments of stakeholders to the FSCD produced over 175 letters and conveyed broad ranging concerns with (1) the adequacy of the study plans, (2) PacifiCorp's decision not to study dam decommissioning, and (3) the level of collaboration in developing study plans. In response to these comments, PacifiCorp revised its proposed study plans and redistributed them in the form of a draft Second Stage Consultation document. Stakeholder response was again vigorous and reiterated the concerns expressed in the first round of comments. Appendix E-1A of this Exhibit includes a matrix of combined comments and responses to the above referenced documents.

Second stage consultation involves completing the studies agreed to during the first stage, deciding on appropriate protection, mitigation, and enhancement (PM&E) measures, and preparing and reviewing a draft application. PacifiCorp's approach to this stage has been to conduct a "Traditional Plus" process. Given the complexity and controversy of fish and water resources issues within the Klamath basin, PacifiCorp initially established two technical work groups to provide input on the development and execution of aquatic studies. In response to strong stakeholder interest and concerns, in March 2002 PacifiCorp elected to expand the collaboration concept beyond aquatic resources to all resources to be addressed in Exhibit E. Since that time, PacifiCorp has engaged in a robust collaborative effort with over 40 stakeholders participating monthly in week-long facilitated meetings to develop study plans, review study results, and develop reasonable and defensible PM&E measures.

Collaboration with stakeholders has followed an agreed upon Process Protocol and included monthly meetings of a Plenary Group, various resource working groups, and on occasion, working subgroups that meet regarding specific issues. Resource work groups include Water Quality, Aquatics, Fish Passage, Terrestrial, Recreation (includes land use and visual issues), Cultural, and Socioeconomics. With the exception of the Cultural Work Group, all stakeholders were invited to participate in any meeting. Due to the sensitive nature of cultural information, participation in the Cultural Working Group was limited to Tribal representatives, PacifiCorp, and appropriate federal and state agencies. Appendix E-1A of this exhibit includes a comprehensive report on the collaborative effort to date entitled *PacifiCorp Consultation Record for Relicensing the Klamath Hydroelectric Project*.

The change in the level of collaboration during the second stage extended the timeline on adopting and executing study plans; therefore, many studies were not yet completed and documented in Exhibit E of the Draft License Application (DLA), and a few (including fish passage modeling) are outstanding in this final license application. Given the outstanding status of some studies in the DLA and the need to address Project impacts and PM&E measures more collectively, the draft Exhibit E did not address PM&Es or continuing Project impacts as is usual

in the second stage of the licensing process. Outstanding study results, Project impacts, and probable PM&E measures continued to be discussed with stakeholders on a monthly basis while the draft Exhibit E was being reviewed by FERC and stakeholders and while this final Exhibit E was being developed.

Over the course of the 90-day comment period (which was extended by several days to accommodate a few stakeholders), PacifiCorp received 60 letters totaling 1539 individual comments. Public comments and PacifiCorp responses are documented in Appendix E-1A.

As of December 2003, 38 study plans were approved. Nine study plans did not receive approval and remained outstanding:

- Study Plan 1.7: Evaluation of Ramping Effects on Fish Downstream of Link Dam, Keno Dam, J.C. Boyle Dam, J.C. Boyle Powerhouse, Copco No. 2 Dam, and Iron Gate Dam.
- Study Plan 1.9: Fisheries Investigations.
- Study Plan 1.10: Fish Passage Planning and Evaluation.
- Study Plan 1.12: Instream Flow Analysis Study Plan.
- Study Plan 1.16: Evaluation of Effects of Flow Fluctuations on Aquatic Resources within the J.C. Boyle Peaking Reach.
- Study Plan 1.17: Investigation of Trout and Anadromous Fish Genetics in the Klamath Hydroelectric Project Area.
- Study Plan 1.18: Description of Migratory Behavior of Juvenile Salmon Smolts and Estimation of Success through Reservoirs using Radio-Telemetry Techniques in the Klamath Basin, 2004- Initial Study.
- Study Plan 1.23: Sampling of Fisheries in Project Riverine and Reservoir Areas.
- Study Plan 7.2: High Level Socioeconomic Analysis of the Landscape Options – Phase 2.
- Study Plan 7.3: Analysis of Effects of Differences Between the Proposed Project and the Current Project on the Socioeconomic Environment – Phase 3.

Key agreements and outstanding issues are summarized by resource area and study in Appendix E1-A, Consultation Record.

The third stage is initiated with this final license application, which incorporates information from the first and second stages, and is being submitted to FERC. The submittal of this final license application triggers FERC to conduct an independent environmental review of the Project and the involved stakeholders to provide comments and mandatory terms and conditions through the NEPA process.

E1.2 PROPOSED PROJECT

In the course of study and in the interim between the draft license application and this final application, PacifiCorp has made a few changes in the proposed Project. The newly proposed Project includes removing from service the East Side and West Side developments and removing FERC boundaries associated with these developments. The Keno Development and associated FERC boundary have also been removed since this facility does not have installed generation or substantially benefit generation at PacifiCorp's downstream hydroelectric developments. The existing Spring Creek diversion is proposed for inclusion with the Fall Creek Development. In some areas, Project FERC boundaries have been expanded to incorporate additional recreation areas and recreational access and terrestrial areas.

While this section of Exhibit E may include some information on facilities and lands no longer proposed as part of the Project, it should be noted that this information is included for context only. The impact assessment relates only to the Project currently proposed. The impact assessment includes a cursory review of the Spring Creek diversion and associated FERC boundaries. PacifiCorp will collaborate with stakeholders to identify an appropriate scope of study for this newly proposed Project modification.

For a more detailed description of the proposed Project, including proposed FERC boundaries, please refer to Exhibits A and G of this final license application.

E1.3 ORGANIZATION AND CONTENTS OF EXHIBIT E

Exhibit E is organized into nine sections as generally cited and sequenced in Title 18 Section 4.51(f). Exceptions to federal code organization include the addition of this introduction (Section E1) and a section on socioeconomics (Section E9). Section E2 of Exhibit E provides a general description of the Project setting. Sections E3 through E9, including Water Use and Quality, Fish Resources, Wildlife and Botanical Resources, Cultural Resources, Recreation Resources, Land Management and Aesthetics, and Socioeconomics, generally outline the following information for each resource category: existing setting and factors affecting the setting; regulatory management framework; consultation with stakeholders; study status (previously conducted studies, studies currently underway, proposed studies, and outstanding study issues); proposed PM&E measures; and continuing Project impacts (excluding socioeconomics).

E2.0 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

This section of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No. 2082) (Project) Exhibit E describes the locale of the Project as stipulated in Title 18 Section 4.51 (f) (1) of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations:

The applicant must provide a general description of the environment of the project and its immediate vicinity. The description must include general information concerning climate, topography, wetlands, vegetative cover, land development, population size and density, the presence of any floodplain and the occurrence of flood events in the vicinity of the project, and any other factors important to an understanding of the setting.

E2.1 PROJECT OVERVIEW AND LOCATION

In the course of study and in the interim between the draft license application and this final application, PacifiCorp has made a few changes in the proposed Project. The newly proposed Project includes removing from service the East Side and West Side developments and removing FERC boundaries associated with these developments. The Keno Development and associated FERC boundary have also been removed since this facility does not have installed generation or substantially benefit generation at PacifiCorp's downstream hydroelectric developments. The existing Spring Creek diversion is proposed for inclusion with the Fall Creek Development. In some areas, Project FERC boundaries have been expanded to incorporate additional recreation areas and recreational access and terrestrial areas.

While this section of Exhibit E may include some information on facilities and lands no longer proposed as part of the Project, it should be noted that this information is included for context only. The impact assessment relates only to the Project currently proposed.

For a more detailed description of the proposed Project, including proposed FERC boundaries, please refer to Exhibits A and G of this final license application.

E2.1.1 Existing Facilities

The Klamath Hydroelectric Project area is located on the Upper Klamath River in Klamath County (south-central Oregon) and Siskiyou County (north-central California). The nearest principal cities are Klamath Falls, Oregon, located at the northern end of the Project area; Medford, Oregon, 45 miles northwest of the downstream end of the Project; and Yreka, California, 20 miles southwest of the downstream end. Figure A2.1-1 of Exhibit A provides a map of the Project area.

The current Project consists of six generating developments on the Klamath River between river mile (RM) 190 and RM 254. It also includes a re-regulation dam with no generation facilities along the mainstem of the upper Klamath River and a generating facility on Fall Creek, which is a tributary to the Klamath River at about RM 196. The major Project developments are as follows:

- The East Side (3.2 megawatt [MW]) and West Side (0.6 MW) powerhouses are the most upstream facilities, located near RM 254 within the city limits of Klamath Falls, Oregon. They are associated with Link River dam, which is owned by the U.S. Bureau of

Reclamation (USBR) and currently operated by PacifiCorp under USBR's directives. The power plants and water conveyance system are owned and operated by PacifiCorp. PacifiCorp proposes to exclude these facilities under the new license application.

- Keno dam is a diversion and re-regulating facility with no generation capability. At RM 233, it is 20 miles downstream of Link River dam. The Keno dam and reservoir buffer flows downstream from the effects of USBR project inflow and outflow changes. Since there is no generation capability with this facility, PacifiCorp proposes eliminating it from FERC jurisdiction.
- J.C. Boyle dam, reservoir, and powerhouse (80 MW) are in Oregon. The dam is at RM 224.7 and the powerhouse is several miles downstream at RM 220.4.
- The Copco hydroelectric facilities are in California. Copco reservoir supplies the Copco No. 1 powerhouse (20 MW) at RM 198.6 and Copco No. 2 powerhouse (27 MW) at RM 196.8. The Copco No. 2 reservoir is small, located immediately downstream of the Copco No. 1 dam. Because it has no active storage, the Copco No. 2 powerhouse operates as a "slave" to Copco No. 1.
- The Fall Creek powerhouse (2.2 MW) is located on a small Klamath River tributary that flows into the upper end of Iron Gate reservoir.
- Iron Gate dam, reservoir, and powerhouse (18 MW) comprise the farthest downstream (RM 190) development. The dam is operated to meet monthly river flow needs for the Klamath River downstream of the Project.

E2.2 CLIMATE

Temperatures in the Project area range from below freezing during the winter to 100 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) during the summer. The higher elevation, upstream part of the Project area is generally cooler than the downstream Iron Gate and Copco areas. Average annual precipitation is 18.2 inches at Copco reservoir, although higher elevation areas in the surrounding mountains can receive more than 50 inches on average. Annual precipitation in Klamath Falls is 13.3 inches.

Precipitation in the Project area occurs primarily as rain, mostly during the fall and winter, with occasional afternoon thunderstorms occurring in the summer. During the winter, snow is common, particularly in the higher elevations (i.e., above the canyon rim and east to Klamath Falls). Historically, annual precipitation patterns define distinct dry and wet cycles that are closely related to runoff on the river. The most recent climatic trends include wet periods from 1885 to 1915 and 1940 to 1975, and dry periods from 1915 to 1940 and 1975 to 1994. Gauged runoff and flow patterns on the river closely reflect these climatic cycles. General decreases in runoff and discharge during the last 20 years also coincide with a generally decreasing trend in precipitation amounts. The peak of the natural annual hydrograph in the area is dominated by spring snowmelt.

E2.3 TOPOGRAPHY

The Klamath River is one of only three rivers that bisect the Cascade Mountain Range, flowing from the high desert interior of Oregon through California's coastal rain forest to the Pacific

Ocean. The Klamath River begins at the outlet of Upper Klamath Lake in Oregon at elevation 4,139 feet mean sea level (msl) and flows southwest approximately 260 miles to the Pacific Ocean at Requa, California. Most of the inflow to the upper Klamath River Basin enters Upper Klamath Lake via the Sprague, Williamson, and Wood Rivers. Upper Klamath Lake is a shallow, regulated natural lake, which serves as a storage reservoir for extensive, irrigated lands (approximately 250,000 acres) in the basin.

From Upper Klamath Lake, water flows into the head of the upper Klamath River, called Link River, at the City of Klamath Falls. Downstream of Link River, the river flows through Keno reservoir (the upper area is known as Lake Ewauna), which is the diked channel of what was once part of Lower Klamath Lake. Keno dam controls water level in the reservoir. Much of the former Lower Klamath Lake has been diked and reclaimed for farming. An extensive array of canals feeds water to and from the river and the farmland. The Lost River diversion and other major irrigation drains enter Keno reservoir.

At Keno, Oregon, the river enters the area often referred to as “the Klamath River canyon” at elevation 4,000 feet. The river in the Keno reach is free flowing for 3 miles of canyon downstream from Keno dam, at which point it enters the J.C. Boyle reservoir (elevation 3,800 feet). Spencer Creek is a small but important trout-producing tributary that enters the J.C. Boyle reservoir. From the J.C. Boyle dam, the river is free flowing for the remaining 22 miles of canyon before entering Copco reservoir in northern California (elevation 2,600 feet). Copco reservoir is about 4.3 miles long and averages about 0.5 mile wide. Shovel Creek is another small, but important trout-producing tributary that enters the river near the downstream end of the canyon.

Downstream of the Copco area, the Klamath River flows through a short section of canyon before entering Iron Gate reservoir (elevation 2,330 feet msl). Iron Gate reservoir is about 4.5 miles long and averages about 0.3 mile wide. The outflow from Iron Gate then flows unimpounded over the remaining 190 miles to the ocean. Fall Creek is a relatively small tributary that enters the Klamath River near the upstream end of Iron Gate reservoir. PacifiCorp’s Fall Creek powerhouse facility (elevation 2,500 feet msl) is located on the tributary about 0.8 mile upstream from the reservoir. Jenny Creek is another small tributary that enters Iron Gate reservoir about 2 miles downstream of the mouth of Fall Creek. Additional flows to the Fall Creek diversion dam are provided from Spring Creek, a tributary of Jenny Creek.

E2.4 GEOLOGY AND SOILS

E2.4.1 Geology and Physiology

Thick volcanic deposits underlie the Project area. Slopes are generally stable, except for talus slopes and landslide prone areas along steeper hillslopes and canyon walls. Three basic rock formations crop out in the Project area and consist predominantly of two volcanic rock types: andesitic tuff and basaltic lavas. Two main soil types occur in the Project area: a gravelly clay loam about 17-40 inches deep on steeper slopes and a well-drained colluvium gravelly loam about 15-60 inches deep on floodplain and terrace surfaces.

Physiography in the Project area varies considerably. The Klamath Basin lies (from east to west and north to south) within the Modoc Plateau, Cascade Range, Klamath Mountains, and

Northern Coast Range physiographic provinces. Most of the basin lies within the Klamath Mountains and the Southern Cascades provinces. The Klamath Hydroelectric Project area and its immediate vicinity lie within the Modoc Plateau and Southern Cascade provinces.

Climatic changes, highly permeable rocks, regional faulting, and volcanism have disrupted drainage patterns in this province. The poorly developed modern drainage has resulted in the formation of lakes and large, closed, sediment-filled basins. Regional faulting is causing subsidence of the valley floor and perhaps influencing sediment transport characteristics of the rivers and streams in this area. These factors are currently causing subsidence of the valley floor that contains Upper Klamath Lake. Therefore, this area is sensitive to the effects of recent deformation on regional groundwater conditions, and on surface and subsurface flow.

The Klamath River crosses several faults between the Upper Klamath Lake and Copco reservoir that were active during the last 10,000 years. Numerous earthquakes with magnitudes of greater than 4.0 have been recorded around the southern end of Upper Klamath Lake since 1964. The most recent significant event in the area had a magnitude of 5.9. It occurred in 1993 near Klamath Falls and was the largest felt in Oregon since 1872.

The Klamath River has maintained its antecedent course across the rising Cascade chain and has cut a well-defined deep canyon through volcanic rocks from near Klamathon (RM 184) upstream to the California-Oregon border. The well-rounded topography of the region and the deeply incised canyons are indicative of the erodibility of the volcanic rocks associated with the Cascades.

The presence of two Pleistocene cinder cones and associated lava flows at the downstream end of Copco reservoir, as well as extensive Holocene lake deposit along the margins of Copco reservoir suggest damming of the Klamath River at that site. The extensive valley-fill alluvium upstream of Copco reservoir probably is also a result of volcanic damming of the river that induced upstream backwater, and a subsequent reduction in channel slope. The Klamath River has incised through this lava dam and the upstream Holocene alluvial deposits over time.

E2.4.2 Klamath River Geomorphology

The Klamath River in the Project area has a bedrock (canyon) dominated channel composed predominantly of a step pool or riffle pool morphology, with minor alluvial reaches. The river is considered non-alluvial and sediment supply limited, with a steep, high-energy, coarse bedded channel that follows a course of convenience (Ayres Associates, 1999). The planform of the river has changed little over time. Pools, riffles, rapids, bars, flows, splits, and side channels have not changed location, nor significantly increased or decreased in size and shape (Ayres Associates, 1999). Most of the limited change that has occurred is attributed to localized sedimentation zones in proximity to tributary inflow points that provide a source of coarse sediment contribution.

The Klamath River's channel shape and physical character is determined by local geologic characteristics and by infrequent, high magnitude flow events. The river has always undergone extreme droughts and floods. Changes in the river's flow regime resulting from basin-wide water projects have produced no significant channel geomorphic impacts (Ayres Associates 1999).

E2.5 DRAINAGE BASIN HYDROLOGY

While the Project proposed in this license application extends from J.C. Boyle Reservoir to Iron Gate dam, hydrology descriptions are provided above J.C. Boyle Reservoir to Upper Klamath Lake to provide a context for basin hydrology.

E2.5.1 Klamath River from Link River Dam to Keno Dam

The upstream-most section of the river in the Project vicinity extends from Link River dam to Keno dam (approximately RM 254 to RM 233). It is generally characterized as a relatively low-gradient, wide, and slow-moving section of the river. The Link River channel is mostly bedrock, and at lower flows it breaks into smaller braided channels. It is the site of the former natural Klamath Falls, which was not a typical waterfall, but rather a high-gradient cascade. Habitat in Keno reservoir (Lake Ewauna), from just downstream of Link River to Keno dam, more closely resembles a widened section of the river than a lake, due to its channelization for agricultural reclamation. The Lost River and ADY Canals, operated and maintained by the USBR, discharge to the river in Lake Ewauna.

E2.5.2 Klamath River from Keno Dam to J.C. Boyle Reservoir

From Keno dam to J.C. Boyle reservoir (approximately RM 233 to RM 228), the river runs through a canyon area with a relatively high gradient of 50 feet per mile. The channel is generally broad with rapids, riffles, and pocket water among rubble and boulders (ODFW, 1997).

E2.5.3 J.C. Boyle Reservoir

The J.C. Boyle reservoir (approximately RM 228 to RM 225) is a shallow impoundment behind J.C. Boyle dam. The upstream part of the reservoir is surrounded by a low-gradient, gently sloping shoreline; downstream from the Highway 66 bridge, the reservoir begins to deepen as the canyon narrows again. The upper end of the reservoir contains a large amount of macrophytes during the summer and several fairly large shoreline wetland areas.

E2.5.4 Klamath River from J.C. Boyle Dam to Copco Reservoir

The section of the Klamath River from J.C. Boyle dam to Copco reservoir extends about 20 miles (RM 225 to RM 204) in a deep, undeveloped canyon and is characterized by relatively steep gradient (35 feet per mile). The J.C. Boyle powerhouse location at approximately RM 220 represents a point at which this section can be divided into two reaches with different hydrologic conditions due to Project operations.

E2.5.4.1 J.C. Boyle Bypass Reach

In the approximately 5-mile-long river reach between the J.C. Boyle dam and powerhouse, flows are affected both by diversions to the powerhouse and by input of about 220 cubic feet per second (cfs) to the channel from large springs. This bypass reach has a steep gradient of approximately 100 feet per mile and is made up of a series of rapids, runs, and pools among large boulders. Compared to the reach downstream from the powerhouse, flows here are relatively stable. Beginning about 0.5 mile downstream of the dam, cool, clear groundwater from the

springs begins to augment flow from the dam. With the springs' contribution, summer flows are a relatively constant 320 cfs at the downstream end of this reach.

E2.5.4.2 J.C. Boyle Peaking Reach

In the river reach downstream of the J.C. Boyle powerhouse, full flows are reintroduced to the river by powerhouse outflows. In contrast to the upstream bypass reach, flows vary and fluctuate over short periods in this full flow reach, due to load-factoring operations at the powerhouse. Flows may range from approximately 320 cfs to as much as 3,170 cfs daily during the summer and fall, depending on Project inflow. These daily peak flows are extensively utilized by the local whitewater rafting industry. In the winter and spring and during high flow events, when flows are in excess of the turbines' capacity, the powerhouse generates continuously and water spills at the dam. This full flow reach is also characterized by an overall more moderate gradient than the bypass reach (35 feet per mile), but is nonetheless steep in several areas in comparison with other sections of the Project area.

E2.5.5 Copco No. 1 and No. 2 Reservoir Area

Copco reservoir is located in a canyon area between approximately RM 204 and RM 199. The reservoir is quite large and deep compared to the Keno and J.C. Boyle reservoirs. It contains several coves with more gradual slopes. Large areas of thick aquatic vegetation are common in shallow areas. Nearshore riparian habitat is generally lacking, due to the cliff-like nature of shorelines, and only very small isolated pockets of wetland vegetation exist. Water quality in the reservoir during the summer is generally degraded, as large blooms of *Aphanizomenon flos aquae* occur annually and surface water temperatures are warm.

Copco No. 2 reservoir (forebay), and a roughly 1.3-mile-long bypass reach, extends from approximately RM 197 to RM 199 in a narrow canyon area. The impoundment is small and water quality is generally the same as in Copco No. 1 reservoir. The bypass reach has a steep gradient similar to the river reaches upstream, and the channel is comprised of boulder, large rock, and pool habitat. The riparian area in this reach is well developed.

E2.5.6 Iron Gate Reservoir

Iron Gate reservoir is located in a relatively steep canyon area between approximately RM 190 and RM 197. Similar to Copco reservoir, Iron Gate reservoir is large and deep compared to the Keno and J.C. Boyle reservoirs. Iron Gate reservoir has a few coves with more gradual slopes. Large areas of thick aquatic vegetation are common in shallow areas. Nearshore riparian habitat is generally lacking, except at the mouths of Jenny Creek and Camp Creek, where well-developed riparian habitat occurs. Due to the cliff-like nature of shorelines, only very small isolated pockets of wetland vegetation exist around the perimeter of the reservoir. Water quality in the reservoir during the summer is generally quite poor, as large blooms of *Aphanizomenon flos aquae* occur annually and surface water temperatures are warm.

E2.5.7 Klamath River Downstream of Iron Gate Dam

Iron Gate dam at RM 190 is the facility farthest downstream in the Klamath Hydroelectric Project system. Downstream of Iron Gate dam, the Klamath River has variable channel

morphology shaped by local geologic characteristics and infrequent, high-magnitude flow events. The river has always undergone extreme droughts and floods.

E2.5.7.1 Fall Creek

Fall Creek is a relatively small tributary that enters the Klamath River near the upstream end of Iron Gate reservoir. PacifiCorp's Fall Creek powerhouse facility is located on the tributary about 0.8 miles upstream from the reservoir. Fall Creek is spring fed, and water quality is consistently better than in the Klamath River and reservoirs. In fact, Fall Creek provides a municipal water supply to the City of Yreka. The bypass reach between the diversion and the powerhouse is about 1.2 miles long. A falls is located less than 0.2 mile upstream of the powerhouse. Upstream of the falls, the bypass reach is relatively low in gradient, and contains cobble/silt substrate and a healthy riparian fringe of oak shrub. Downstream of the falls, the bypass reach consists of a boulder-dominated channel in a steep canyon section. Downstream from the Fall Creek powerhouse to Iron Gate reservoir, the channel again is fairly low in gradient and is well shaded with trees. The creek enters a wetland area at its confluence with the reservoir.

E2.6 BASIN WATER QUALITY

In general, results from both past and current water quality sampling efforts indicate that water quality conditions in the upper Klamath River are often degraded with respect to several parameters. The principal factors that influence regional water quality conditions include climate, runoff, and irrigation return from surrounding agricultural, range, and marsh lands, and the effects of impoundment by existing lakes and reservoirs (i.e., Upper Klamath Lake, Keno, J.C. Boyle, Copco, and Iron Gate reservoirs) (City of Klamath Falls, 1990; Kann and Walker, 1999; Campbell, 1999; Deas, 2000). Such factors affect water temperature, DO, dissolved solids, sediments, turbidity, nutrients (primarily nitrogen and phosphorus), and bacteria.

E2.6.1 Upper Klamath Lake

Upper Klamath Lake is hypereutrophic: both its water and its sediment are extremely rich in nutrients. Rivers to the lake carry large quantities of dissolved and particulate organic matter from the many marshes in the drainage basin. High levels of phosphorus are derived from natural springs, as well as from runoff from agricultural areas. Resuspension of bottom sediment may be another major source of phosphorus loading to Upper Klamath Lake. In fact, it has been estimated that this "internal" loading might contribute as much as 80 to 85 percent of the total phosphorus load (Kann and Walker, 1999).

The lake can experience large phytoplankton blooms, particularly of the cyanobacterium *Aphanizomenon flos aquae* from May through September. Diatoms also bloom at several times during the year and lake sediments are diatom rich. The lake's hypereutrophic nutrient enrichment is the primary cause of the massive algae blooms. The blooms and subsequent die-offs result in elevated pH and cycles of supersaturated and low dissolved oxygen concentrations. Fish die-offs have occurred in recent years and have been attributed in part to poor water quality conditions.

Summertime pH values typically exceed 9.5 and periodically exceed 10.0 (Kann et al., 1999). Water temperatures in the lake can approach 30°C near the surface, and temperatures of 22°C to 24°C are common in the upper 1 to 2 meters of water. At the same time, DO concentrations are

often supersaturated in the upper part of the water column, but concentrations of less than 2.0 mg/L can occur near the bottom (Martin and Saiki, 1999).

E2.6.2 Klamath River and Reservoirs

The water that discharges downstream from Upper Klamath Lake contains high nutrient concentrations and high organic matter, and therefore has a high oxygen demand. The ODEQ characterizes the water of the upper Klamath River as being of poor quality from high water temperature, low DO, high ammonia, high pH, and high levels of algae and nutrients (Cude, 1996). As the primary source of inflow to the Klamath Hydroelectric Project, this enriched water can exacerbate water quality conditions within and downstream of Project reservoirs and powerhouses.

In general, water temperatures in the Klamath River in the Project area during winter are near 1°C, begin increasing in March and April, and are above 15°C from late May through September. Water temperatures are typically above 20°C in July and August; DO concentrations typically range from 6 to 9 mg/L; pH values usually vary from 7 to 8.5.

Water temperatures in the Klamath River in the Project area generally respond to climatological changes. Seasonal temperature changes are prominent in the Klamath River. Water temperatures during winter are near 1°C. Water temperatures exceed 15°C (60°F) in early May and 20°C (68°F) from late May through October. Water temperature may approach 27°C (80°F) for up to 10 days per year in mid-July through early August, concurrent with the annual peak in regional air temperatures. Although the Klamath River supports coldwater biota, water temperatures can exceed stress thresholds for extended periods during the summer.

Isolation of the upper basin from the moderating coastal weather and the somewhat lower elevation of the middle basin, compared to the surrounding terrain, combine to contribute to unusually high water temperatures in the Klamath River. For example, the 7-day mean of the maximum daily water temperature can reach 25°C in the Keno reach just above the Project area. The 7-day mean of the maximum daily water temperature is slightly less at river sites downstream from J.C. Boyle dam, at the Oregon-California border, and downstream from Iron Gate dam. The lower temperatures downstream are probably due to a combination of factors, including greater shading and faster water transport in the canyon, moderating effects of the reservoirs, and significant spring inflow downstream of J.C. Boyle dam.

Large diel fluctuations in water temperatures have been observed in the river segment between J.C. Boyle dam and Copco reservoir. The City of Klamath Falls (1990) measured diel water temperatures that fluctuated by as much as 7°F during August in the river near the Oregon-California border. These fluctuations were attributed to a combination of factors, including daytime solar warming, mid-day load-factoring operations at the J.C. Boyle Project, and substantial accretion (approximately 220 cfs) of cool groundwater inflow directly to the river channel between the J.C. Boyle dam and powerhouse. Thus, these springs provide for significant cooling of downstream waters during summertime low flow periods. By comparison, relatively little diel fluctuation in water temperatures has occurred during other sampling periods (e.g., fall, winter, and spring). During these times, temperatures are naturally cooler, and continuous operation of the J.C. Boyle powerhouse and/or spill at the dam maintains consistent downstream river flows.

J.C. Boyle, Copco, and Iron Gate reservoirs show differences in the occurrence of thermal stratification with depth. The degree of stratification is greatest, and period of stratification longest, in Iron Gate reservoir. Conversely, the degree of stratification is least, and period of stratification shortest, in the J.C. Boyle reservoir. Iron Gate reservoir stratifies as early as April and continues through late October. The thermocline typically occurs at a depth of 50-60 feet by the end of summer. Water temperature differences between the surface and the bottom during peak stratification can range from 18 to 19°C. By comparison, the temperature differentials in Copco and J.C. Boyle reservoirs range from 9 to 12°C and from 3 to 6°C, respectively. Stratification appears to be rather consistent from year to year.

During stratification, there is an inverse relationship between DO and depth. Surface DO can be 9-14 mg/L and bottom values can be less than 5 mg/L. Both Copco and Iron Gate reservoirs are consistently anoxic in the hypolimnion during the summer. The pH values typically range from 6 to 9 in the Project reservoirs. However, pH values greater than 9 have been recorded during algal blooms.

The Klamath River is listed as water quality limited by both Oregon and California under Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act. Specific constituents and locations included on the 303(d) list are summarized in Table E2.6-1. The Clean Water Act requires that Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limits and implementation plans be developed to control pollutant loading sources and achieve compliance with water quality standards and objectives in water bodies on the 303(d) list. TMDLs and waste load allocations are currently being developed for the Klamath River in Oregon. ODEQ is preparing information as part of a TMDL study that addresses water quality in the Klamath River between Upper Klamath Lake and Keno dam. TMDLs for temperature, nutrients, and dissolved oxygen are scheduled to be established for the Klamath River in California by December 31, 2007.

E2.7 FISH RESOURCES

The fisheries resources in the Project vicinity are diverse, consisting of a mixture of warmwater and coldwater species, anadromous and resident fish, and some federally listed threatened and endangered species. At least 28 fish species are known to occur upstream of Iron Gate dam, and 44 fish species are known to occur downstream of Iron Gate dam. Many of these species are non-native. As would be expected, the reservoirs contain most of the warmwater and introduced species and the river sections support most of the coldwater native species, although this varies somewhat by Project reach.

The anadromous fishery consists of coho salmon, chinook salmon, steelhead trout, and Pacific lamprey. Coho salmon are part of the northern California/southern Oregon stock that is listed as a federally threatened species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Chinook salmon are part of the Klamath/Trinity River stock, which has spring run and fall run fish, although only the fall-run chinook migrate up to Iron Gate dam. Steelhead trout are part of the Klamath Mountain Province stock, which is a candidate species under the ESA. The Pacific lamprey is also a federal candidate species.

Table E2.6-1. A summary of Oregon and California water quality criteria for key water quality constituents for the Klamath Basin in the vicinity of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project. Refer to OAR 340-041-0001 through -0061 and -0180 through -0185 (Oregon) and to the Water Quality Control Plan For The North Coast Region (California) for details on water quality criteria.

Constituent	Oregon Criteria	California Criteria	Included in Final 2002 303(d) List? ¹						
			UKL	EWA	KEO	BOY	KRA	KRB	KRC
Dissolved oxygen	At DEQ discretion, for waters designated for cool-water aquatic life, 30-day (D) mean min 6.5 mg/L, 7-D min mean 5.0 mg/L, and absolute min 4.0 mg/L At DEQ discretion, for waters designated for cold-water aquatic life, 30-D mean min 8.0 mg/L, 7-D min mean 6.5 mg/L, and absolute min 6.0 mg/L. Not less than 11.0 mg/L in active spawning areas used by resident trout species unless the minimum spatial median intergravel dissolved oxygen is 8.0 mg/L or more, in which case the criterion is 9.0 mg/L.	Minimum of 7.0 mg/L above Iron Gate dam and 8.0 mg/L below Iron Gate dam 50% lower limit ² of 10.0 mg/L above and below Iron Gate dam	Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes

Table E2.6-1. A summary of Oregon and California water quality criteria for key water quality constituents for the Klamath Basin in the vicinity of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project. Refer to OAR 340-041-0001 through -0061 and -0180 through -0185 (Oregon) and to the Water Quality Control Plan For The North Coast Region (California) for details on water quality criteria.

Constituent	Oregon Criteria	California Criteria	Included in Final 2002 303(d) List? ¹						
			UKL	EWA	KEO	BOY	KRA	KRB	KRC
Temperature	<p>7-day average maximum (max) not to exceed 20°C in waters designated for redband trout.³</p> <p>Designated cool water habitat may not be warmed more than 0.3°C above ambient temperatures unless a greater increase would not reasonably be expected to adversely affect fish or other aquatic life.</p> <p>If the natural thermal potential of a waterbody is determined to exceed the applicable criterion, the natural thermal potential becomes the applicable criterion.</p> <p>A cumulative temperature increase of 0.3°C above the applicable criterion is allowed in all waters.</p>	<p>Shall not be altered unless demonstrated that such alteration does not adversely affect beneficial uses.</p> <p>At no time shall temperature be increased by more than 5°F above natural receiving water temperature.</p>		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nuisance phytoplankton growth (Oregon) and nutrients (California)	<p>If chlorophyll-<i>a</i> exceeds an action level of 0.015 mg/L⁴, ODEQ may conduct studies to determine impacts, causes, and control strategies. Where natural conditions exceed the action level, the action level may be modified to an appropriate value.</p>	<p>Waters shall not contain biostimulatory substances in concentrations that promote aquatic growths sufficient to cause nuisance or adverse effects.</p>	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes
pH	<p>Values shall not fall outside the range of 6.5-9.0.⁵</p>	<p>Values shall not fall outside the range of 7.0-8.5.</p>	Yes	Yes	Yes				

Table E2.6-1. A summary of Oregon and California water quality criteria for key water quality constituents for the Klamath Basin in the vicinity of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project. Refer to OAR 340-041-0001 through -0061 and -0180 through -0185 (Oregon) and to the Water Quality Control Plan For The North Coast Region (California) for details on water quality criteria.

Constituent	Oregon Criteria	California Criteria	Included in Final 2002 303(d) List? ¹						
			UKL	EWA	KEO	BOY	KRA	KRB	KRC
Toxic substances (including ammonia)	Shall not exceed criteria listed in OAR 340-041-0033, Table 20.	All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.			Yes (ammonia)				
Turbidity (NTU)	Except for certain limited duration activities, no more than a 10% increase above natural background levels, as measured relative to a control point immediately upstream of the turbidity causing activity.	No more than 20% increase above natural background levels (except as otherwise allowed by permit or waiver)							
Total dissolved gas	Shall not exceed 110% saturation ⁶ Shall not exceed 105% saturation in water < 2-ft in depth.								
Total dissolved solids or specific conductance	Unless otherwise authorized by ODEQ, specific conductance shall not exceed a guideline value of 400 micromhos (measured at 77°F) at the Oregon-California border (RM 208.5).	90% and 50% upper limits of 425 and 275 micromhos, respectively, above Iron Gate dam. 90% and 50% upper limits of 350 and 275 micromhos, respectively, below Iron Gate dam.							
Taste and odor	The creation of tastes or odors deleterious to aquatic life, the potability of drinking water or the palatability of fish or shellfish may not be allowed	Shall not contain taste or odor producing substances that impart undesirable taste or odors to fish flesh or adversely affect beneficial uses.							
Color	Objectionable discoloration may not be allowed	Waters free of coloration that adversely affects beneficial use							

Table E2.6-1. A summary of Oregon and California water quality criteria for key water quality constituents for the Klamath Basin in the vicinity of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project. Refer to OAR 340-041-0001 through -0061 and -0180 through -0185 (Oregon) and to the Water Quality Control Plan For The North Coast Region (California) for details on water quality criteria.

Constituent	Oregon Criteria	California Criteria	Included in Final 2002 303(d) List? ¹						
			UKL	EWA	KEO	BOY	KRA	KRB	KRC
Floating material	Objectionable floating solids are not allowed	Shall not contain floating solids, liquids, foams or scum that adversely affect beneficial uses							
Naturally occurring conditions	Less stringent natural conditions that exceed a numeric criterion become the standard.								

¹ UKL: Upper Klamath Lake; EWA: Klamath Lake to Lake Ewauna; KEO: Link River to Keno dam; BOY: J.C. Boyle reservoir; KRA: Keno dam to the California border; KRB: Oregon border to Iron Gate dam; KRC: Iron Gate dam to Scott River. Oregon's current (2002) Section 303(d) list is based on Oregon's water quality standards as they existed before the December 2003 revision and renumbering of the standards.

² 50% and 90% upper and lower limits represent the 50th and 90th percentile values, respectively, of monthly means for a calendar year.

³ Exceedances of temperature criteria are not violations if they occur during the warmest 7-day period of the year that exceeds the 90th percentile of the 7-day average daily max air temperature calculated in a yearly series over the historic record.

⁴ Calculated from a minimum of three depth-integrated samples collected over three consecutive months at a minimum of one representative site.

⁵ Exceedance of this criterion is not a violation if it occurs in waters impounded by dams existing on January 1, 1996, provided all practicable measures have been taken to bring pH into compliance.

⁶ Exceedances of total dissolved gas criteria are not violations if they occur when stream flow exceeds the 10-year, 7-day average flood.

These anadromous species occur only in the Klamath River downstream from Iron Gate dam, because there are no facilities at the dam to pass fish to the upper river. However, there are land-locked populations of lamprey upstream from the dam. A fish hatchery located at Iron Gate dam, operated by California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), collects and supplements all three anadromous salmonids to the river system (only the fall run of chinook). At least one life stage of at least one of the anadromous species is present in the river at any given time of year.

The resident salmonids include rainbow/redband and brown trout, although rainbows are much more prevalent and brown trout are rarely observed. In the upper areas of the Project, rainbow trout have been identified to be a subspecies of rainbows called redband trout. Redband rainbow trout are listed as a state species of concern in Oregon (ODFW, 1997). Brown trout are an introduced species, but were present in the upper Klamath River before the dams were built and were stocked in Copco reservoir as recently as 1973.

A large warmwater fishery also exists in the basin. A vast majority of these fish consists of non-natives and includes many game species, such as largemouth bass, crappie, yellow perch, and various panfish. There are also several non-game species, such as Tui and blue chubs, which are native and very abundant throughout the Project area. The introduced species occur mostly, but not entirely, in the reservoirs. All of the gamefish provide excellent recreational opportunities, particularly bass, for which yearly fishing tournaments are held. None of these species has special state or federal status.

Another important subset of the Project fishery comprises the four sucker species found in the reservoirs and river reaches. These species are the Klamath largescale and smallscale suckers and the shortnose and Lost River suckers. All four species are native to the basin, and the shortnose and Lost River suckers are listed as federally endangered species under the ESA. The largescale and smallscale suckers are considered riverine species, whereas the shortnose and Lost River suckers are obligatory lake or reservoir dwellers (Desjardins and Markle, 1999). However, the shortnose and Lost River suckers do use streams and rivers for spawning.

E2.8 VEGETATION AND WILDLIFE

The Klamath Hydroelectric Project is located near the confluence of several mountain ranges (Siskiyou/Klamath and Cascade) and within several different ecoregions or physiographic provinces, resulting in a diverse mix of flora and fauna. In Oregon, the Oregon Diversity Plan (ODFW, 1993) refers to these ecoregions as the East Slope Cascades (the Basin and Range physiographic province in Franklin and Dyrness [1973]) and the West Slope Cascades (the High Cascades physiographic province in Franklin and Dyrness [1973]). In California, the Project is within the Southern Cascades and the Modoc Plateau physiographic provinces (Ayres Associates 1999). It is also within the Cascade-North Sierra floristic region of the California floristic province (Barbour and Major, 1988).

Vegetation in the general Klamath Project area varies widely from east to west, but transitions are gradual because of the influences of altitude, aspect, and topography. Overall, the western end of the area, which includes Iron Gate and Copco reservoirs, is relatively dryer than the eastern portion, which is higher in elevation and somewhat cooler. Vegetation within the Project area is relatively distinctive within the following two Project segments: (1) the canyon area

between J.C. Boyle dam and the eastern end of Copco reservoir, and (2) Copco and Iron Gate reservoirs.

J.C. Boyle reservoir has relatively flat, open topography, with wetlands along some edges. From J.C. Boyle dam to the eastern end of Copco reservoir, the Klamath River cuts through several vegetation zones as it bisects the Cascade Range, forming a steep canyon. Montane vegetation typical of the Cascades is mixed with high desert and interior valley plant communities. The dominant plant communities include a mosaic of pine, oak, and mixed conifer. Ponderosa pine and Oregon white oak are the dominant tree species. The upper canyon is more mesic and densely forested than the lower canyon, which widens and becomes drier.

As in other areas in the West, plant communities in the Project vicinity have been adversely affected by a number of anthropogenic activities. Factors contributing to degraded conditions and a decline of the original vegetation mosaic include cattle grazing, exclusion of fire, and the introduction of exotic plants and noxious weeds. Recent studies identified a total of 14 noxious weed species and 112 infestations in the Project vicinity. Significant changes to vegetation have included replacement of native perennial grasses by introduced annual or biennial grasses and forbs and development of over-mature shrub fields. These conditions typify the Copco and Iron Gate areas of the Project.

Table E2.8-1 summarizes the major cover types found within the Project area and identifies their general locations.

Table E2.8-1. Major plant community types along the Klamath River in the Project area.

Plant Community Type	Key Characteristics	General Location
Mixed conifer forest	Mesic wooded communities with a significant oak component and an annual and/or perennial grassland understory.	Northeastern part of study area where it occupied narrow river bottomland, mesic drainages, and north-facing slopes.
Pine/juniper community	Xeric communities located in rocky generally steep inaccessible areas dominated by a variety of species including a significant shrub component.	Several sites located near eastern part of study area and a narrow band on the southern part on the north canyon rim.
Pine/oak forest community	Coniferous forests with little shrub and grass component. Understory is typically a sterile bed of needles.	Southwestern part of study area on dry slopes and benches above the river and below the north canyon wall.
Oak forest community	Oak woodlands commonly associated with ponderosa pine and either annual or perennial grass understories.	Throughout the study area on dry, steep slopes and adjacent to the mixed conifer forest community.
Oak/shrub communities	Mixed shrub communities including wedgeleaf ceanothus, mountain mahogany and bitterbrush communities generally with an annual grass understory and an oak component.	Throughout the study area on terrain varying from steep canyon walls to gentle slopes and benchlands.
Oak grassland community	An open oak savannah without significant shrub or tree cover with the exception of juniper and oak in some areas.	Southwestern portion of the study area adjacent to oak/shrub communities.
Meadow community	Irrigated or subirrigated meadows created for cattle grazing by clearing shrub species.	Southwestern part of study area on benches above the river.

Table E2.8-1. Major plant community types along the Klamath River in the Project area.

Plant Community Type	Key Characteristics	General Location
Riparian community	Areas adjacent to perennial streams or on river islands with moist-site species.	Narrow band along most of the river and some portions of reservoir shorelines.
Steppe	Scattered grasses, forbs, and ponderosa pine in shallow, rocky soils.	Only occurs on basalt flat above the canyon rim.
Rock outcrops and talus	Large outcrops and cliffs with little or no vegetation.	Scattered throughout the upper and lower portions of the study area. Most prominent in the canyon section.

Approximately 54 percent of the Project study area is forested, with other common cover types including upland shrub (10 percent), upland herbaceous (9 percent), agricultural (11 percent), and aquatic habitat (10 percent). Riparian communities (about 1 percent), wetlands (over 4 percent), and barren habitats (less than 2 percent) are the least abundant cover types in the study area.

Wildlife diversity in the Project area is high, reflecting the variety of habitats that occur. Because the area crosses the Cascade Range, species occurring on both the east and west slopes are found. Wildlife diversity has been adversely affected by a number of human-related activities, including timber harvest, fire and fire suppression, small-scale water development, roads, livestock grazing, infestations of exotic plants and noxious weeds, hydroelectric development on the Klamath River, and general human development activities (BLM, 1996a).

Approximately 30 mammal species are known to occur in the Project area. Some of the most common species include: furbearers such as muskrat, gray fox, beaver, and river otter; big game such as mule deer, black-tailed deer, elk, black bear, and cougar; and other non-game mammals such as spotted skunk, Belding ground squirrel, yellow-bellied marmot, deer mouse, house mouse, harvest mouse, montane vole, pacific shrew, little brown bat, and northern pocket gopher.

Approximately 174 bird species are known to occur within the Project area. The Klamath Basin contains extensive marshes that support large numbers of water birds. ODFW considers this area to be one of the most important water bird areas in the state (Puchy and Marshall, 1993). Thompson et al. (1970) estimated that about 80 percent of the waterfowl in the Pacific Flyway migrate through or breed in the Klamath basin. Puchy and Marshall (1993) identified Upper Klamath Lake and the marshes along the Klamath River south of the lake as major areas of water bird use. Peak numbers of water birds, mostly ducks, geese, and swans, occur from late October to early November. Areas that receive the highest levels of waterfowl use include Upper Klamath Lake and the nearby state and federal wildlife refuges. Just upstream of the Project area is Link River and Keno reservoir (Lake Ewauna), which are located immediately downstream of Upper Klamath Lake. The ODFW Klamath Wildlife Area (north and west of Midland, Oregon) is adjacent to Keno reservoir. The USFWS Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) and Bear Valley NWR are in the vicinity of the Project. Because of its location, the Project area undoubtedly also receives a good deal of waterfowl use. However, marshes adjacent to the river provide more favorable habitat during most periods and are probably used more heavily by waterfowl than the river. Waterfowl may use sections of the Klamath River near ODFW's Miller

Island Wildlife Management Area later into the winter than they use the refuges, because the river stays open after the refuge waters freeze. When the river does freeze, most of the waterfowl move south to the California refuges. The river usually thaws by mid-January and waterfowl start showing up in the refuge area again (R. Anglin, ODFW, pers. comm.). During the summer, common species on the Klamath River include various species of ducks and geese, double-crested cormorant, American white pelican, American coot, several terns (Forster's, Caspian, and black), great egret, grebes (western, pied-billed, and eared), and black-crowned night-heron (Puchy and Marshall, 1993).

Raptor species common in the upper reach of the canyon are those species that prefer open country and that are relatively tolerant of human presence. One of the largest concentrations of bald eagles in the lower 48 states occurs in the Klamath Basin area. Upland game birds include ring-necked pheasants and California quail.

Of the 107 TES species of wildlife potentially occurring within the Project area, only 5 species—the bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), western snowy plover (*Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus*), northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*), Canada lynx (*Lynx canadensis*), and gray wolf (*Canis lupus*)—are federally listed as threatened or endangered.

E2.9 CULTURAL RESOURCES

The Klamath Hydroelectric Project area is rich in Native American history and archaeological sites as well as Euroamerican historic sites. Identified site types within the Project area include, but are not limited to, hydroelectric facilities, historic ranch sites, prehistoric/ historic house-pit villages, lithic scatters, campsites, and ceremonial areas.

Cultural resources in the Project area include Native American traditional cultural properties. Traditional cultural properties are places often associated with cultural traditions of Native American groups and may or may not be associated with visible remains or deposits. Examples might include traditional resources gathering locations, places associated with significant events in mythology, cemeteries, and ethnohistoric habitation sites.

The hydroelectric dams and powerhouses in the Project area are enduring, tangible products of the historical development and technological refinement of water-generated power. Early hydroelectric projects played an instrumental role in the process by which homes, businesses, and industries were modernized with the now ubiquitous commodity of electric energy.

Project facilities were constructed from the early 1900s to 1962, thereby making most facilities older than 50 years, one of the criteria for historic status.

The Fall Creek development was built in 1903, making it one of PacifiCorp's oldest hydroelectric developments. Project structures old enough (≥ 50 years) to be considered for the NRHP include Copco Nos. 1 and 2, and Fall Creek.

Today the Klamath-Modoc and Shasta Tribes (Mack, 1993) claim the area of the Upper Klamath River Canyon as former territory. The canyon stretches approximately 25 miles from the western edge of the Klamath Basin, near Keno, Oregon, into California and is the result of the river's entrenchment through the southern Cascades (Mack, 1993). Ethnographic accounts differ in their placement of tribal boundaries at the time of Euro-American contact; however, the Project area is

near traditional territorial borders of the Klamath and Shasta tribes. It has been suggested that the Shasta occupied the lower half of the Project area in protohistoric times. The evidence includes early explorer accounts, as well as the fact that several of the pioneering European American settlers married Shasta women (FERC, 1990b; Gehr, 1986, 1988). The Shasta Tribe continued using Project area sites for ceremonial purposes into the early twentieth century.

The modern day Klamath tribal territory includes land along the Klamath River from Upper Klamath Lake, extending southwest near the town of Keno. The Klamath Tribes traditionally were made up of Klamath, Modoc, and Yahooskin Snake Paiute people. Together they controlled 22 million acres of land in south central Oregon and northern California, providing abundantly for their needs and respective cultures. However, in the mid-nineteenth century, contact with invading Europeans decimated the populations through disease and war and resulted in a treaty reserving to the tribes a diminished land base of 2.2 million acres (O'Connell, 1999). In the 1950s, Congress adopted a termination policy over the objections of almost all the tribes and Indian organizations of the day. The Klamath tribes were terminated from federal status in 1954. The primary emphasis of the policy was to remove most of the federal responsibilities guaranteed to the tribes by treaty. The treaty guarantees had been bought and paid for with tribal cessions that surrendered over 18 million acres of prime timber and farmlands plus social services. Once terminated, the tribes were cut off, not only from the land, but also from valuable social services (O'Connell, 1999). The federal government took control of the Klamath Indian Reservation and it was converted into the Winema National Forest. In August of 1986, after years of struggle and court battles, the Klamath tribes were restored to federal status when President Reagan signed the Klamath Restoration Act into law (Haynal, 1994).

The native people known as the Shasta historically were living in numerous villages along the Klamath Canyon and in the upper part of the Bear Creek Valley at the time of contact with Euro-Americans (Winthrop, 1999). Early travelers came through this territory on their way to other places, living off the land, spreading disease, and pillaging the resources of native peoples. The resulting ill will culminated in a series of battles that ended in the relocation of the survivors to distant reservations, and ultimately, changed their way of life forever (Winthrop, 1999).

The Shasta subsequently managed to maintain a presence in the Shasta Valley and the Klamath-Iron Gate area. Like other native people in the region, the Shasta developed a highly sophisticated understanding of the environment and its resources. Today, Shasta descendants continue to use the area to gather various traditional materials, such as basketry supplies and medicinal plants.

Lastly, the Karuk, Hoopa, and Yurok tribes are located well downstream of the Project (both geographically and traditionally), and are primarily interested in Project-related fisheries issues. However, they also have an interest in cultural resources management relicensing issues.

E2.10 LAND USE

Primary land uses in the area of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project include agriculture/grazing, timber production, residential development, and recreation.

Development near the J.C. Boyle dam and powerhouse, is limited to an occasional residence, recreational facilities, powerline corridors, and unimproved access roads. Land use is primarily timber production, with some ranching/grazing activities, and recreation.

Crossing the border from Oregon to California, Copco Nos. 1 and 2 are the next developments along the river. The area is rural, with limited residential development along the shoreline of the reservoir. Powerline corridors, paved access roads, and recreation facilities, in addition to the powerhouses and dams, are present in the landscape. The Fall Creek Fish Hatchery, northwest of the Copco No. 2 diversion dam, is near Copco Village.

The most downstream Project development includes Iron Gate dam and powerhouse. Paved access roads, recreational facilities, limited recreation development, and a fish hatchery are the predominant features at this location. Ancillary structures in the area include a penstock, wood pole transmission lines, and railroad tracks/right-of-way. The area is rural, with limited forested land and ranching/grazing activities.

E2.11 AESTHETICS

The upper Klamath River canyon downstream of the J.C. Boyle powerhouse has been classified by the BLM as Scenic Quality A, the highest scenic classification on BLM-managed lands. The scenic value is due to a combination of unique landform, diverse vegetation, water, and lack of negative cultural modifications.

The steep-walled, layered basalt canyon is the predominant visual element in the region. Its steep slopes with large rock outcroppings form vertical cliffs, talus slopes, and rockslides. The Klamath River itself enhances the visual variety within the canyon; as it flows through the deep gorge, it changes from slack, slow-flowing water in the wider areas of the canyon to a rushing torrent of cascading whitewater in narrower sections. This variety of flow greatly enhances the Klamath River's scenic value.

The area's remoteness and steep topography provide visitors with an uncrowded and natural aesthetic experience not usually available at the more popular and famous national parks, monuments and rivers in the region.

E2.12 RECREATION RESOURCES

Popular recreation activities in the Project area include flatwater and whitewater boating, hiking, bird watching, camping, picnicking, lake and bank fishing, rafting and swimming. Recreation sites in the Project vicinity include the Link River Bird Sanctuary and Small Game Refuge, Pioneer Park, Sportsman's Park, Topsy Recreation Site, Frain Ranch, Mallard Cove, Copco Cove, Fall Creek Park, Jenny Creek Recreation Site, Wanaka Springs, Camp Creek, Juniper Point, Mirror Cove and Iron Gate Hatchery. Iron Gate reservoir has the highest concentration of recreation sites of all the developments associated with the Klamath Hydroelectric Project. PacifiCorp also provides six fishing access points along the lower portion of the Klamath River reach between J.C. Boyle dam and Copco reservoir. Also provided is one river access site and interpretive opportunities at Iron Gate Fish Hatchery.

Immediately downstream of J.C. Boyle dam, the Klamath River enters a series of rugged deep gorges and narrow canyons. In 1994, the section of river between the powerhouse and the

California State line was designated a National Wild and Scenic River by the U.S. Secretary of the Interior. The designation request was made by the Governor of Oregon under Section 2(a)(ii) of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (NWSRA); under this designation, BLM, the current landowner, manages the river in cooperation with the State of Oregon. The primary purpose of the designation was to identify and “preserve free-flowing rivers in their natural condition for the use and enjoyment of the public” (NPS, 1994).

E2.13 SOCIOECONOMIC SETTING

The Project area is located within Klamath County and Jackson County, Oregon, and Siskiyou County, California. Other counties within the Project vicinity that comprise the socioeconomic setting include Curry County, Oregon, and Humboldt and Del Norte Counties, California. Within the six-county study area, the total population is 464,507. The three counties that comprise the upstream (generally above Iron Gate dam) region (Klamath, Siskiyou, and Jackson) have a combined population of 289,345. The combined population of the downstream region (Curry, Del Norte, and Humboldt) is 175,162. The upstream region contains more than 60 percent of the socioeconomic study area population, with Jackson County, Oregon comprising almost 40 percent of the total study area population. The 5-mile buffer study area for the upstream counties has a population of 57,869, representing about 20 percent of the upstream county population total.

The largest racial group in the study area is white, representing over three-fourths of the population in the study area. The American Indian population constitutes the second largest racial group in all but Jackson County.

The study area has adequate housing as indicated by high vacancy rates. Vacancy rates above 5 percent are generally thought to indicate surplus of housing units available for rent.

For the communities within the 5-mile buffer study area, the Services and Retail trade sectors account for about two-thirds of the industry employment.

Most of the communities in the 5-mile buffer study area have unemployment rates that are higher than those reported at the county or state level. Tribal authorities report unemployment rates as high as 40 percent within the tribal community (Waddell, 2002)

E2.14 INFORMATION SOURCES

Anglin, R. 2003. Wildlife Biologist; Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, Oregon; conversation on February 19, 2003, with Jacob Verschuyt, Wildlife Ecologist, EDAW, Inc.

Ayres Associates. 1999. Geomorphic and Sediment Evaluation of the Klamath River, California, Below Iron Gate Dam. Fort Collins, Colorado.

Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 1996a. Topsy/Pokegama Landscape Analysis: June 24, 1996, edition. U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Klamath Falls Resource Area Office, Klamath Falls, Oregon.

- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 1996b. National Register of Historic Places Nomination Form, Upper Klamath River Canyon Archaeological District. Klamath Falls Resource Area, Lakeview District, Klamath Falls, Oregon.
- Campbell, S.G. 1999. Water Quality and Nutrient Loading in the Klamath River from Keno, Oregon, to Seiad Valley, California, During 1996–1997. M.S. Thesis, Colorado State University, Denver.
- City of Klamath Falls. 1990a. Application for 401 Water Quality Certification, Salt Caves Hydroelectric Project No-Dam Alternative, FERC Project No. 10199-000. Submitted to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality by the City of Klamath Falls.
- City of Klamath Falls. 1990b. Application for License: Salt Caves Hydroelectric Project, Project No. 10199: Response to License Additional Information Requests Dated December 27, 1989. Items 3 and 4 and Appendix A and B. Submitted to the FERC by the City of Klamath Falls.
- Cude, C. 1996. Oregon Water Quality Index Report for the Klamath Basin Water Years 1986–1995. Oregon DEQ., Laboratory Division, Portland, Oregon.
- Deas, M.L. and G.T. Orlob. 1999. Assessment of Alternatives for Flow and Water Quality Control in the Klamath River below Iron Gate Dam. Presented at the Third Klamath Basin Ecosystem Restoration Research and Restoration Coordination Meeting, March 9-11, 1999, Klamath Falls, Oregon.
- Desjardins, M. and D.F. Markle. 1999. Distribution and Biology of Suckers in Lower Klamath Reservoirs. 1999 Final Report. Submitted to PacifiCorp, Portland, Oregon, by Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). 1990a. Final Environmental Impact Statement: Salt Caves Hydroelectric Project (FERC 10199-0000). Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Office of Hydropower Licensing. Washington, D.C.
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). 1990b. Exhibit E—Final Environmental Impact Statement: Salt Caves Hydroelectric Project (FERC 10199-0000). Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Office of Hydropower Licensing. Washington, D.C.
- Gehr, E. 1986. Congruence of Ethnohistoric and Archaeological Settlement Models in the Klamath River Canyon: The Eastern Shasta and the Lost Klamath Village of Laik'elmi. Presented at the Second Annual California Indian Conference, Berkeley, California.
- Gehr, E. 1988. Old Man Who Walks with Coyote. Taken from Final Environmental Impact Statement, Salt Caves Hydroelectric Project (FERC 10199-000). Volume 1, Main Text. Washington, D.C.
- Haynal, P.M. 1994. References Cited. In: Heidenreich, C. 1967. From Early Termination through Restoration and Beyond: Modern Klamath Cultural Identity. Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Oregon, Eugene.

- Kann, J. and W. Walker. 1999. Nutrient and Hydrologic Loading to Upper Klamath Lake, Oregon, 1991–1998. Report prepared for the Klamath Tribe.
- Mack, J.M. 1993. Girdled Trees: Possible Evidence for the Location of an Earth Lodge Cult Site in the Southern Cascades. Presented at the 46th Annual Meeting of the Northwest Anthropological Conference, Bellingham, Washington. 10 pp. (newer versions available).
- Martin, B. and M. Saiki. 1999. Effects of Ambient Water Quality on the Endangered Lost River Sucker in Upper Klamath Lake, Oregon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 128:953-961.
- National Parks Service (NPS). 1994. Klamath Wild & Scenic River Eligibility Report and Environmental Assessment: Klamath River, Oregon. State of Oregon Application, Section 2(a)(ii), National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. Pacific Northwest Region, Seattle, Washington.
- O'Connell, M.P. 1999. Memorandum: Klamath Tribes and Klamath Termination Act. Prepared for PacifiCorp, Portland, Oregon.
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW). 1997. Klamath River Basin, Oregon, Fish Management Plan. Klamath Falls, Oregon.
- Puchy, C.A. and D.B. Marshall. 1993. Oregon Wildlife Diversity Plan. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, Oregon.
- Thompson, K.E., J.E. Lauman, and J.D. Fortune. 1970. Fish and Wildlife Resources of the Klamath Basin, Oregon, and Their Water Requirements. Federal Aid to Fish Restoration Completion Report. Fisheries Stream Flow Requirements Project F-69-R-6, Job Number 5. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, Oregon.
- Waddel, Tom. 2002. Personal communication. September 3, 2002.
- Winthrop, K. 1999. Draft: Environmental History: Bear Creek and Klamath-Iron Gate Watersheds. Bureau of Land Management, Medford District. Medford, Oregon.