

In regards to organizing the proposal in “the same order as the information is requested in this RFP”, which would be easier to review: Responding by following Section V. PROPOSAL CONTENTS, or more specifically following subsection C. Required Submittals? Is there a concern that certain sections might be redundant?

PACIFICORP: Please follow the order in Section V.

Should the IE anticipate its application being reviewed by the Oregon Public Utilities Commission?

PACIFICORP: Yes, each bid will be shared with the Oregon Commission staff for their review. Under the Oregon guidelines, PacifiCorp is issuing and executing the IE contract on the Commission’s behalf but the Commission staff will have a large role in selecting the winning bid and recommending approval of the IE to the Oregon Commission.

Section VI.A of the 2017R RFP requests three copies of the proposal. The work samples requested in V.C.8 would be voluminous. Do you need three copies of each work sample?

PACIFICORP: You can provide one hard copy of work samples and include those samples on a flash drive.

Is there an expectation for how many bids will be included in the final short list?

PACIFICORP: No. We are seeking at least 1,110 MW of wind in the 2017R RFP and would look at up to 1,270 MW if cost effective and provides benefits to our customers. The 2017R RFP is proposed for a geographically confined area related to our Wyoming transmission system which may limit the population of bids submitted.

It is noted in the IE RFP that the Independent Evaluator’s deadline to submit the IE’s Closing Report is 21 calendar days. Can this deadline be extended in the event there are more bids on the final short list than expected? For example, a 21 calendar day deadline for 2 to 5 final short list bids may be reasonable, but will be much harder to meet for a greater number of final short list bids.

PACIFICORP: The 2017R RFP has a very tight timeframe because it is aligned with other efforts by PacifiCorp including the construction of the Gateway West sub segment D2 line and repowering of several of PacifiCorp’s owned wind resources. We don’t anticipate modifying the schedule and bids should propose accordingly.

If modifications to the Professional Services Contract template are necessary, do these modifications need to be included in the Independent Evaluator proposal?

PACIFICORP: Yes. Please provide a redline of the contract template with your bid.

On page 12 of the RFP, Section V.C.10, PacifiCorp states that proposal responses are required to include a detailed response containing "Use of electronic platform for management of bid submittal, communication, and documentation of evaluation."

Question/Inquiry: please provide more detail on this requirement. Is the IE expected to independently develop/procure/configure this electronic platform, or does PacifiCorp have access to an existing electronic platform, and the IE will be expected to use that platform? If PacifiCorp provides the electronic platform, will the IE be responsible for providing IT assets to configure and manage the platform?

PACIFICORP: PacifiCorp does not have an electronic platform at this time. The company is looking for improved information transfer and communication capture as part of the 2017R RFP but has not selected an electronic platform. Bidders are asked to provide experience with such platforms and some firms may have a stand-alone system that they employ and would like to propose.

Article 8 of the Professional Services Template indicates that one test of consultant's credit is tangible net worth exceeding ten times the projected maximum liability. How is the projected maximum liability under the contract computed and how does it differ from the defined term "Net Replacement Costs"?

PACIFICORP: Maximum liability is the projected total cost under the agreement. Net Replacement Costs as defined is the cost to cover which would include incremental cost incurred to complete work and any additional company cost associated with securing and administering the work replacement.

How much will PacifiCorp require for Default Security pursuant to Article 9 of the Professional Services Template and how will this amount be calculated?

PACIFICORP: Default security amount will be calculated based on creditworthiness of the counterparty which is defined in Article 8 of the template agreement.

Section V.C.10 of the RFP indicates that we should provide our experience using "...electronic platforms for management of bid submittals, communication, and documentation of evaluation." The Scope of Work outlined in Section II does not indicate the need to provide an electronic platform as part of the requested IE services. Please confirm that the Scope of Work should not include an electronic platform.

PACIFICORP: PacifiCorp does not have an electronic platform at this time. The Company is looking for improved information transfer and communication capture as part of the 2017R RFP but has not selected an electronic platform. Bidders are asked to provide

experience with such platforms and some firms may have a stand-alone system that they would like to propose.

Has the Utah IE been identified and if so, can you provide the name of the company providing the Utah IE services?

PACIFICORP: Merrimack Energy. The Utah guidelines are similar but slightly different from Oregon guidelines. Both states will have IEs participating in the review of the draft 2017R RFP and oversight during the actual process. As such, coordination between IEs is important.

Page 12 section C 10 states that the response should contain "Use of electronic platform for management of bid submittal, communication and documentation of evaluation." Is the IE responsible for creating and maintaining this platform? It is not mentioned anywhere else in the scope of work or the RFP.

PACIFICORP: PacifiCorp does not have an electronic platform at this time but is looking for improved information transfer and communication capture but has not selected an electronic platform. Bidders are asked to provide experience with such platforms and some firms may have a stand-alone system to they would like to propose.

The RFP contemplates that PacifiCorp will submit self-build (benchmark) resources. In the past the IE has provided an assessment of the resources in a separate memo to the Commission. Will that be the process here, or will the IE include this in their Final Shortlist Report or another document?

PACIFICORP: Yes, that would be the process. IE completes separate scoring and assessment prior to the market bids being delivered.