

**PacifiCorp's
Request for Proposals (“RFP”)
for
Independent Evaluator for PacifiCorp's
2017R Renewable Resource
Request for Proposals**

Issued: **June 1, 2017 Thursday**
Proposals Due: **June 15, 2017 Thursday**
5:00 PM Pacific Prevailing Time

Issuing Entity: PacifiCorp

PacifiCorp
Attn: Resource & Commercial Strategy
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 600
Portland OR 97232

Email: rfp_2017OIE@pacificorp.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....	4
A.	Purpose.....	5
B.	Background.....	5
C.	Contract Term and Amendments.....	5
D.	Estimated Schedule of IE RFP Events.....	5
E.	Reservation of Rights.....	6
F.	Solicitation Addenda.....	6
G.	Proprietary Information.....	6
II.	SCOPE OF WORK.....	7
A.	Deliverables.....	7
1.	IE Assessment of RFP Design.....	7
2.	Status Report.....	7
3.	IE Closing Report.....	7
B.	Other Activities.....	8
III.	PACIFICORP’S PROPOSED 2017R RFP TIMELINE.....	10
IV.	MANDATORY MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS.....	11
V.	PROPOSAL CONTENTS.....	11
A.	Qualifications.....	12
B.	Bidder Staff Organization.....	12
C.	Required Submittals.....	12
D.	Cost Proposal Requirements.....	13
VI.	PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS AND SUBMISSION.....	13
A.	Questions.....	13
B.	Submission of Bids.....	13
VII.	SCORING CRITERIA.....	14
A.	Understanding of the Scope of Work.....	14
B.	Demonstrated Ability of Bidder to Perform Proposed Work.....	14
C.	Soundness, Professionalism and Feasibility of the Bidder’s Methodology.....	14
D.	Price Proposal.....	15
VIII.	PROCESS SELECTION.....	15
A.	Evaluation.....	15

B. Selection Notification 15

IX. CONTRACT INFORMATION 15

A. Professional Services Contract..... 15

Attachments

- A Bidder Pricing Proposal
- B Professional Services Contract
- C Oregon Competitive Bidding Guidelines

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

PacifiCorp is seeking to acquire up to approximately 1,270 megawatts (MW) of wind resources with a commercial operation date of no later than December 31, 2020, through its 2017 Renewable (2017R) Request for Proposals (RFP). The 2017R RFP will be issued, consistent with analysis in PacifiCorp's 2017 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) showing all-in customer benefits with the addition of the Aeolus to Bridger/Anticline transmission infrastructure and 1,100 megawatts of new wind resources to PacifiCorp's system. PacifiCorp will evaluate, based on bids submitted into the 2017R RFP, the level of wind procurement that maximizes customer benefits.

As stated in its 2017 IRP, PacifiCorp identified action plans to add at least 1,100 MW of new wind resources at costs that reflect the full value of federal production tax credits (PTCs) and that can achieve commercial operation by December 31, 2020. Federal tax extender legislation passed in late 2015 provides an opportunity for qualifying renewable energy projects to receive the full value of the federal PTC available under Section 45 of the Internal Revenue Code.¹

PacifiCorp is seeking proposals for competitively priced incremental wind projects that can interconnect to and/or deliver energy and capacity to PacifiCorp's Wyoming transmission system, as facilitated by a new 140 mile 500 kV transmission line running from the Aeolus substation near Medicine Bow, Wyoming to the Jim Bridger power plant (sub-segment D2 of the Energy Gateway West transmission project)². Proposals for new wind resources must demonstrate, to PacifiCorp's satisfaction, and as determined in its sole discretion, that the proposed project can achieve commercial operation no later than December 31, 2020.

Projects must be discrete generating assets that can be individually metered and remotely monitored. The minimum project size is 20.0 MW. PacifiCorp is not setting a maximum size limit for projects submitted into the 2017R RFP, but PacifiCorp will only consider projects that demonstrate a unique value opportunity for its customers, without compromising reliability. Proposed projects must be capable of interconnecting to PacifiCorp's Wyoming transmission system as facilitated by the Energy Gateway West sub-segment D2 addition, or be capable of delivering energy into PacifiCorp's Wyoming transmission system on a firm basis.

In the 2017R RFP, PacifiCorp will consider proposals for the following structures:

1. "Build-Transfer" structures whereby the bidder develops the project, assumes responsibility for construction, and ultimately transfers the asset to PacifiCorp upon or prior to the in-service date, all pursuant to the terms of an Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement (APSA). Projects bid under this structure must be designed and constructed materially compliant with PacifiCorp's specifications. Under this structure, the bidder is responsible for all development, design, wind-turbine supply, balance of plant (BOP) equipment, construction, and commissioning.
2. Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) for a 20-year term with exclusive ownership by PacifiCorp of any and all environmental attributes associated with all energy generated.³ PPA structures can, but

¹ As recently extended by Congress, the federal PTC currently provides a \$24 tax credit for each MWh of production from a qualifying renewable energy facility that begins construction (as interpreted by applicable guidance of the Internal Revenue Service) before January 1, 2017. The value of the PTC is reduced by 20% from projects beginning construction each year thereafter until it expires completely beginning on January 1, 2020.

² The proposed Gateway Segment D2 is a single-circuit 500 kV transmission line running approximately 140 miles from the planned Aeolus substation to a new annex substation (Anticline) near the existing Bridger substation in western Wyoming. For additional information, go to the interactive Gateway project map at <http://www.gatewaywestmaps.com/>.

³ As the term, Environmental Attributes, is defined in the pro-forma transaction documents for this RFP.

are not required to, include an option for PacifiCorp to purchase the project during, or at end of the contract term, to retain site value for PacifiCorp's customers.

To the extent bidders propose variations of a build-transfer structure or a PPA, such proposals will be considered at PacifiCorp's sole discretion. PacifiCorp reserves the right to reject non-compliant bids.

PacifiCorp will submit multiple self-build ownership bid options (benchmark resources), which the independent evaluator (IE) should take into account when developing its bid.

PacifiCorp is not bound to accept any bids and may cancel this solicitation at its own discretion.

A. PURPOSE

The purpose of this solicitation is to assist Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) Staff in recommending an IE for PacifiCorp's 2017R RFP for the Commission's consideration. PacifiCorp will contract directly with the Commission-selected IE using **Attachment A - PacifiCorp Professional Services Contract**. The IE must be independent of the utility and potential bidders, and also be experienced and competent to perform all IE functions identified in Oregon's competitive bidding guidelines.

B. BACKGROUND

The Commission issued guidelines on competitive bidding for requests for proposals for Major Resource acquisitions, where Major Resources are defined as those of duration greater than five years and quantities greater than 100 MW.⁴ The 2017R RFP is subject to these guidelines, attached to this solicitation in **Attachment B – Competitive Bidding Guidelines**.

Under the Commission's Competitive Bidding Guidelines, an IE must be used in each Major Resource RFP to help ensure that all offers are treated fairly and consistently. The IE is tasked with ensuring the 2017R RFP bid evaluation and selection are also consistent the guidelines.

C. CONTRACT TERM AND AMENDMENTS

The IE contract is anticipated to be for an initial term of nine (9) months, with the option to renew on a month to month basis until the IE's participation in the 2017R RFP process is completed. The IE must be available according to the schedule established by the Commission.

D. ESTIMATED SCHEDULE OF IE RFP EVENTS

Milestone	Date
Open OR RFP Docket, Initiate IE RFP Process	06/01/2016
OR IE Bids Due	06/15/2016
OR Commission Staff Report Recommending IE Selection	07/06/2017
OR Commission Public Meeting Approving IE	07/11/2017

⁴ Order No. 06-446, UM-1182.

E. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

PacifiCorp reserves the right in its sole discretion to:

- Amend this RFP for any reason or cancel this solicitation without liability if cancellation would be in the public interest;
- Reject any or all Proposals received in response to this RFP, without liability, if such rejection would be in the public interest. PacifiCorp is not responsible for any costs incurred by the bidder in connection with submitting proposals, and all bidders who submit a proposal do so solely at their own expense;
- Waive any minor irregularity, informality, or non-conformance with the provisions or procedures set forth in this RFP, and to seek clarification of each proposal if necessary;
- Contact any or all references submitted with the proposal.

F. SOLICITATION ADDENDA

PacifiCorp may revise this RFP prior to the RFP closing date. PacifiCorp will not waive, alter, modify, supplement or amend the terms of this RFP in any manner except by written addenda issued by PacifiCorp in the same manner as the original RFP was advertised. Any purported changes, additions, interpretations or clarifications to the RFP that are issued in any manner other than as described above will not be effective, and the bidder shall not rely upon such information.

G. PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

1. All information submitted by a bidder will be considered public information unless the bidder requests that information be treated as confidential, and the information is considered exempt under ORS 192.501 or 192.502. If a bidder declares any information contained in its bid submittal to be confidential, the bidder must specifically identify those sections as containing “Confidential Information” and briefly explain how and why the information is exempt from disclosure to the public in accordance with ORS 192.501 or 192.502. Specifically, any documents submitted and any documents exchanged between the parties that contain Confidential Information shall be marked on the outside as containing Confidential Information, and each page upon which Confidential Information appears must be marked as containing Confidential Information. The Confidential Information should be clearly identifiable to the reader wherever it appears.
2. All copies submitted, as well as the original proposal, must be marked in this manner. The request must also include the name, address, and telephone number of the person authorized by the bidder to respond to any inquiries by PacifiCorp concerning the confidential status of the materials. PacifiCorp agrees to treat such information as confidential and to submit such information to the Commission, or commissions, and other parties in accordance with a protective order.
3. In addition, the bidder agrees that certain Commission-authorized entities must be allowed to review such materials.

II. SCOPE OF WORK

A. DELIVERABLES

The 2017R RFP is being issued to pursue a time-sensitive economic opportunity driven by availability of PTCs. Consequently, the scope of work has a condensed and rigorous schedule, which bidders should fully consider in their proposals.

1. IE ASSESSMENT OF RFP DESIGN

- a. PacifiCorp will file an initial draft 2017R RFP with the Commission after selection of the IE to allow an opportunity for IE review of stakeholder comments and the IE evaluation and feedback on the draft 2017R RFP before the final draft RFP approval process is completed. This process will ensure that the final draft RFP reflects any comments received by both stakeholders and the IE without delaying the timeline for selection of a final shortlist of bids. The selected IE will complete a thorough assessment of the 2017R RFP design eight (8) calendar days after PacifiCorp awards the contract to the selected IE.⁵ The assessment should address the evaluation criteria, methods and computer models as well as the pro forma contracts included with the 2017R RFP. The assessment also should review the adequacy, accuracy and completeness of all solicitation materials to ensure compliance with the Commission's competitive bidding requirements and consistency with accepted industry standards and practices.
- b. IE will participate in joint discussions, as needed, with Utah's independent evaluator regarding final comments on the 2017R RFP to ensure a single final draft RFP is agreed and submitted to the Oregon and Utah Commissions for approval.

2. STATUS REPORT

The IE will be required to file two status reports with the Commission:

- a. First Status Report: The IE will file its first status report seven (7) calendar days after bidder eligibility screening is completed, noting any unresolved issues that could impair the equity or appropriateness of the solicitation process and including issues which are raised at the RFP pre-bid conference.
- b. Second Status Report: The IE will file its second status report fourteen (14) calendar days after PacifiCorp completes its initial short list (ISL) evaluation and selects an initial shortlist of bids. The IE will need to assess PacifiCorp's analysis and bid-selection process, including an assessment of PacifiCorp's rationale for bid elimination, as applicable. This second status report will be provided to the Commission under seal or on a highly confidential basis.

3. IE CLOSING REPORT

The closing report is due twenty-one (21) calendar days after PacifiCorp has selected the final short-list of bids.⁶ As part of the closing report, the IE will make its detailed bid scoring and evaluation results available to PacifiCorp, Commission Staff, and non-bidding parties in the 2017R RFP docket, subject to the terms of a protective order.⁷ The closing report will provide the IE's detailed assessment of PacifiCorp's selection of the final short-list of bids, including all aspects of

⁵ See Guideline 6. The assessment should take into account the Commission's goals (page 2 of the order) and the three criteria for RFP approval (Guideline 7) and specifically address Guidelines 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 13, as well as issues raised by stakeholders.

⁶ Use of the term "bids" shall include market bids and company self-build ownership options unless the reference clearly refers to an alternative.

⁷ See Guideline 11.

the solicitation process and the IE's involvement, observations, conclusions and recommendations. The reasons and basis for a) ranking market bids and benchmark resource options, b) selecting a market bids or a benchmark resource options, and c) rejecting market bids or benchmark resource options, are to be fully detailed in the IE's closing report.

The closing report will also include an analysis of whether or the extent to which:

- a. the resources selected minimize long-term costs for PacifiCorp's retail customers taking into consideration overall system costs and risks,
- b. the solicitation process was fair,
- c. PacifiCorp's benchmark resource options were considered and evaluated consistent with the Commission's competitive bidding guidelines,
- d. screening factors and weights were applied consistently and comparably to all market bids,
- e. credit and security requirements, liquidated damages provisions, warranties and other similar requirements were appropriately applied to bid evaluation and appropriately affected the outcome of the solicitation process,
- f. all reasonably available data and information necessary and in order for a potential bidder to submit a bid was provided,
- g. the IE was provided with or given access to all data, information and models relevant to the solicitation process in order to permit full and timely scoring, testing and verification of assumptions, models, inputs, outputs, and results,
- h. confidentiality claims and concerns between the IE and PacifiCorp were resolved in a manner that preserved confidentiality as necessary, yet permitted dissemination and consideration of all information reasonably necessary for the bidding process to be conducted fairly and thoroughly, and
- i. the evaluation was performed consistent with Commission-approved competitive bidding guidelines.

The report also will include results from tasks listed below:⁸

- a. **Scores for bids** – The IE's independent scoring of all or a sample of the bids to determine whether the selections for the initial and final short-lists are reasonable.
- b. **Evaluation of risks and disadvantages of benchmark resource options** - The IE's independent evaluation of the unique risks and advantages associated with the benchmark resource options, including the regulatory treatment of costs or benefits related to actual construction cost and plant operation differing from what was projected for the RFP.
- c. **Comparison of utility and IE scores** – Comparison between PacifiCorp's and the IE's scoring and evaluation of the competing bids and benchmark resource options, following a meeting(s) with PacifiCorp to attempt to reconcile and resolve any scoring differences. Include an explanation of the reconciliation process and any remaining differences. In the closing report, the IE will be required to disclose any conflict of interest regarding any of the actual RFP bidders.

B. OTHER ACTIVITIES⁹

1. Confer with Commission Staff as needed on the IE's duties.¹⁰ These discussions are anticipated to be performed in person, by phone and by e-mail.

⁸ Guidelines 10(d) and 10(e)

⁹ Meetings with Commission Staff will occur in Salem, OR unless otherwise directed. Meetings with PacifiCorp will occur in Portland, OR unless otherwise directed.

¹⁰ Guideline 5

2. In consultation with Commission Staff, participate in additional meetings with parties, hosted by Staff, related to final short-list selection or any request for acknowledgment of the final short-list.
3. Participate in the pre-bid conference (anticipated within 10 calendar days after notice of intent to bid submittals are due) and make a presentation to communicate the IE role in the 2017R RFP process. Participate in any additional pre-bid conferences.
4. Review and comment on PacifiCorp's screening process for bidder eligibility.
5. Participate in any Commission public meeting (if any) related to the Commission's consideration of RFP approval, based on the IE's assessment of the 2017R RFP design.
6. Monitor all aspects of the solicitation process from the RFP issuance through the final shortlist of bids, including the following:
 - a. Submission of detailed scores for benchmark resource options to the IE and Commission prior to the opening of market bids
 - b. Bidder eligibility screening
 - c. Communications between bidders and PacifiCorp before and after proposals are due
 - d. Any requested bidder updates (along with any updates to benchmark resource options)
 - e. Any RFP amendments issued by PacifiCorp
 - f. Evaluation and ranking of responses
 - g. Selection of the initial shortlist of bids
 - h. Selection of the final shortlist of bids
 - i. Monitoring the solicitation process and discussions with bidders during the period between the final shortlist determination and any acknowledgement of the final shortlist.

The IE may be requested by Commission Staff to perform additional monitoring for the period between any acknowledgement process and contract finalization. Such a request will be made by the Commission Staff to PacifiCorp directing PacifiCorp to issue a revised scope of work and request an incremental cost estimate from the IE, which, if acceptable to the Commission Staff, will result in an amended contract with the IE.

7. Audit the evaluation process and validate that evaluation criteria, methods, models, and other solicitation processes have been applied as approved by the Commission and consistently and appropriately applied to all bids and benchmark resource options. Verify assumptions, inputs, outputs and results are appropriate and reasonable.
8. Verify the basis for selection of the initial shortlist of bids.¹¹
 - a. Verify that the price score is calculated as the ratio of the bid's projected total cost per megawatt-hour to forward market prices, using real-levelized or annuity methods.
 - b. Verify that the non-price score is based on resource characteristics identified in PacifiCorp's acknowledged IRP (e.g., resource term, type, development, etc.) and conformance to the standard form contracts attached to the RFP. (Note: The utility must allow bidders on the final short-list to negotiate

¹¹ Guideline 9(a)

mutually agreeable final contract terms that are different from ones in the standard form contracts.^{12, 13)}

9. Verify the basis for selection of the final shortlist of bids.¹⁴
 - a. Verify the results of modeling the effect of candidate resources on overall system costs and risks.
 - b. Verify that the portfolio modeling and decision criteria used to select the final shortlist of bids are consistent with the modeling and decision criteria used to develop PacifiCorp’s acknowledged IRP Action Plan.
10. Advise PacifiCorp and Commission Staff of any issue that might reasonably be construed to affect the integrity of the solicitation process and provide PacifiCorp an opportunity to remedy the defect identified. Advise Commission Staff of significant changes or unresolved issues as they arise.
11. Independently score benchmark resource options and all or a sample of the market bids to determine whether the selections for the initial and final shortlists are reasonable. Based on an initial sample of market bids, the IE should use its judgment regarding whether independent scoring of all bids is appropriate, in consultation with Commission Staff.
12. Independently evaluate the unique risks and advantages associated with the benchmark resource options, including the regulatory treatment of costs or benefits related to actual construction cost and plant operation differing from what was projected for the RFP.
13. Compare the IE’s and PacifiCorp’s scoring and evaluation of the competing bids and benchmark resource options and attempt to reconcile and resolve any scoring differences.
14. Participate in Commission proceedings on acknowledgment of the final short-list of bids, if PacifiCorp requests such acknowledgment.¹⁵ Participation would include oral comments at a Commission public meeting or hearing.
15. Participate in any additional meetings with parties on request.

III. PACIFICORP’S PROPOSED 2017R RFP TIMELINE

The table below contains PacifiCorp’s proposed 2017R schedule. Dates are subject to change.

Milestone	Type of Milestone	Date	Number of days
2017 IRP filed (Includes RFP Action Item)	IRP	04/04/2017	-
Review OR IE RFP draft with OR Commission staff	Reg (OR)	05/25/2017	51
Open OR RFP docket, Initiate IE RFP process	Reg (OR)	06/01/2017	7
OR IE bids due	Reg (OR)	06/15/2017	14
Training on Code of Conduct / Benchmark vs. Evaluation Team	RFP	06/15/2017	-
OR Commission Public Meeting Approving IE	Reg (OR)	07/11/2017	26
File draft RFP with OR Commission	Reg (OR)	07/17/2017	6
OR IE files report on draft RFP	Reg (OR)	07/25/2017	8

¹² Guideline 6

¹³ PacifiCorp’s 2017 IRP acknowledgement process is expected to be finalized before the 2017R RFP process ends.

¹⁴ Guideline 9(b)

¹⁵ Guideline 13

Milestone	Type of Milestone	Date	Number of days
OR stakeholder comments on draft RFP	Reg (OR)	08/08/2017	14
OR Commission public meeting approving RFP	Reg (OR)	08/22/2017	14
RFP issued to market	RFP	08/25/2017	3
Notice of Intent to Bid due	RFP	09/06/2017	12
Bidder's Conference	RFP	09/13/2017	7
Benchmark bids due	RFP	10/06/2017	23
RFP bids due	RFP	10/13/2017	7
Bid eligibility screening completed	RFP	10/20/2017	7
IEs' review of bid eligibility / IE files 1st status report	Reg (OR)	11/03/2017	14
Initial Shortlist (ISL) evaluation/scoring completed	RFP	11/22/2017	19
IEs' Review of ISL completed	RFP	12/06/2017	14
ISL Price Update	RFP	12/13/2017	7
OR Commission public meeting 2017 IRP acknowledgement	IRP	12/19/2017	6
UT Commission order on 2017 IRP acknowledgement	IRP	01/08/2018	20
Final Shortlist (FSL) evaluation completed	RFP	01/08/2018	-
IE's review of FSL completed	RFP	01/15/2018	7
FSL filed with OR Commission for acknowledgement	Reg (OR)	01/16/2018	1
OR IE files RFP closing report	Reg (OR)	02/15/2018	30
OR stakeholders comments on IE closing report	Reg (OR)	02/22/2018	7
OR Commission public meeting acknowledging FSL	Reg (OR)	03/13/2018	19
OR Commission FSL acknowledgement order	Reg (OR)	03/20/2018	7
Execute agreements	RFP	04/16/2018	27

IV. MANDATORY MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

For this IE RFP, the Commission has directed that the IE must be independent of the utility and potential bidders.¹⁶ The following are minimum requirements that must be demonstrated by Bidders:

1. Bidder shall be experienced and competent to perform all IE functions identified in the competitive bidding guidelines.
2. Bidder shall disclose all business conducted with PacifiCorp or its affiliates¹⁷, past or present.
3. Bidder shall re-confirm, upon receipt of the bidder list, that the bidder has no conflict of interest with any of the bidders or their affiliates.
4. Bidder shall disclose any conflict, or potential conflict of interest, that might arise during the course of the project, including any potential bidders in PacifiCorp's 2017R RFP.
5. Bidder shall demonstrate its experience and competence in assessment, evaluation and monitoring related to competitive bidding for electricity supplies.

V. PROPOSAL CONTENTS

Bidders must include the following in their proposal:

¹⁶ Order No. 06-446

¹⁷ Unless directed by the Commission otherwise, a PacifiCorp "affiliate" shall be limited to Berkshire Hathaway Energy and its subsidiaries.

A. QUALIFICATIONS

The bidder shall provide all information deemed necessary to fully demonstrate the bidder's qualifications as required under Article IV above.

B. BIDDER STAFF ORGANIZATION

Each proposal shall explain the bidder's staff organization and responsibility hierarchy of staff to be assigned to the 2017R RFP. Such assignments and responsibilities shall be broken down and described by task. The bidder shall highlight illustrations of relevant prior experience on similar projects.

C. REQUIRED SUBMITTALS

Detailed response containing:

1. A complete narrative of the bidder's assessment of the work to be performed, the bidder's ability and approach, and the resources necessary to fulfill the requirements of this RFP. This should demonstrate the bidder's understanding of the IE's performance expectations. Clearly indicate any options or alternatives proposed.
2. A specific point-by-point response by task number (e.g., "A1"), in the order listed in the detailed scope of work, to each requirement in the RFP.
3. –Bidders must provide a cost proposal that includes all-inclusive fixed costs for each task in the detailed scope of work by pricing area, as specified in Attachment A.
4. Qualification and expertise of staff proposed for this project.
5. Experience and competence in assessment, evaluation and monitoring related to competitive bidding for renewable resource supplies. Specifically, the bidder should document experience with assessing and evaluating renewable resources, including experience with market bids and utility benchmark resource options. Such experience should include evaluating power supply alternatives including production cost modeling to evaluate cost and risk.
6. Experience and competence in assessment, evaluation and monitoring related to competitive bidding for supplies within the Western Electric Coordinating Council (WECC).
7. Demonstrated knowledge of existing or anticipated renewable portfolio standards within the WECC.
8. Work samples demonstrating such expertise and competence, including work samples demonstrating the bidder's willingness and ability to work independent of utilities and to rigorously review, evaluate, and critique utility RFPs for renewable energy resources.
9. Performance references for similar projects.
10. Use of electronic platform for management of bid submittal, communication, and documentation of evaluation.
11. Bidders must declare any conflicts of interest by identifying any conflict, or potential conflict of interest that might arise during the course of the project.
12. Disclose any past, current or anticipated future relationship with or work for PacifiCorp, any PacifiCorp affiliate, and any public utility regulatory agency in any of the states served by PacifiCorp. This disclosure should specify the date, nature and scope of any such relationship or work.¹⁸

¹⁸ An oral presentation by a bidder to clarify a proposal may be required.

D. COST PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

The information requested in this section will be used by the Commission Staff to evaluate the reasonableness of the overall project price quotation. The bidder must estimate the major cost categories and hours associated with each task.

As a minimum requirement, each proposal shall contain the following:

1. Personnel costs, itemized and broken down by:
 - a. personnel category (i.e. project manager etc.),
 - b. names of personnel in each category to be used,
 - c. estimated hours for each task,
 - d. rates per hour for each person, and
 - e. subtotal for personnel cost.
2. Itemized cost of materials, supplies and copies and a subtotal for these elements.
3. Fully itemized transportation and related costs, itemized and broken down by at least:
 - a. travel,
 - b. lodging,
 - c. meals and other costs, and
 - d. subtotal for transportation and related costs.

VI. PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS AND SUBMISSION

A. QUESTIONS

Interested parties and bidders may submit questions related to this solicitation, and PacifiCorp will respond in a timely fashion. All information, including pre-bid materials, questions, and PacifiCorp's response to questions, will be posted on the PacifiCorp website at www.pacificorp.com. Any questions on the RFP or related documents should be sent to Company via email at **rfp_2017OIE@pacificorp.com**.

B. SUBMISSION OF BIDS

Three copies (3) of each proposal, one (1) of which must bear the original signature, must be received no later than **5:00 PM Pacific Prevailing Time on June 15, 2017**. Proposals received after this time and date will not be accepted and returned to the bidder.

PacifiCorp also requests that an additional copy be submitted electronically to **rfp_2017OIE@pacificorp.com**.

All submitted bids must be transmitted by express, certified or registered mail, or hand delivery to the following address:

**PACIFICORP
OREGON IE RFP
ATTENTION: RESOURCE & COMMERCIAL STRATEGY
825 NE MULTNOMAH, SUITE 600
PORTLAND, OREGON 97232**

Email: rfp_2017OIE@pacificorp.com

Each Proposal shall be in the format outlined in this section. Each Proposal shall be submitted prepared on standard 8 1/2 inch by 11 inch recycled paper, duplex printed (2 sided). Each Proposal shall be stapled or bound separately. THE PROPOSAL MUST BE ORGANIZED IN THE SAME ORDER AS THE INFORMATION IS REQUESTED IN THIS RFP. PacifiCorp may reject any Proposal that fails to follow these instructions

VII. SCORING CRITERIA

From the information submitted in accordance with Article V, proposal contents, and client references, the evaluation committee (see Article VIII) will score proposals based upon the following:

A. UNDERSTANDING OF THE SCOPE OF WORK

A maximum of one hundred (100) points are assigned to this section. Understanding of the scope of work and the deliverables that will be me including, but without limitation:

1. The bidder's description of the tasks in its deliverables (50 points)
2. Whether the bidder appears to have sufficient knowledge and understanding of the functions to be performed. (50 points).

B. DEMONSTRATED ABILITY OF BIDDER TO PERFORM PROPOSED WORK

A maximum of three hundred (300) points are assigned to this section. Demonstrated training, experience and ability of the bidder and its individual staff member(s) that will be assigned to the project to perform the proposed work, including, but without limitation:

1. Specific experience reviewing an RFP for renewable resources, including experience with evaluating market bids and utility benchmark resource options. (200 points)
2. Experience evaluating another type of renewable resource RFP or other related experience in the WECC. (50 points)
3. Experience evaluating another type of renewable resource RFP or other related experience. (50 points)

C. SOUNDNESS, PROFESSIONALISM AND FEASIBILITY OF THE BIDDER'S METHODOLOGY

A maximum of two hundred (200) points are assigned to this section. The soundness, professionalism and feasibility of the methods and techniques which shall include data collection, data analysis, project management and planning.

D. PRICE PROPOSAL

A maximum of four hundred (400) points are assigned to this section. The cost of the project, the overall elements of that cost and the overall appropriateness of the cost in relation to the project as proposed. (Attachment A).

1. The cost of the project, the overall elements of that cost. (200 points)
2. The overall appropriateness of the cost in relation to the project as proposed. (200 points)

VIII. PROCESS SELECTION

A. EVALUATION

1. Initial Review: PacifiCorp and Commission Staff will review all proposals to help ensure that all prescribed provisions and procedures have been met. Proposals that do not meet all prescribed mandatory qualifications, solicitation procedures and requirements may be rejected and eliminated from the selection process. Proposals meeting the prescribed solicitation procedures and requirements will be forwarded to an evaluation committee composed of Commission Staff, PacifiCorp and interested non-bidding parties.
2. Evaluation Committee Process: Each member of the evaluation committee will independently review and score each proposal. After each member of the evaluation committee has reviewed and scored each proposal, the evaluation committee will meet to discuss their findings and develop consensus scores for each proposal based on criteria listed above.
3. Scoring: The entities or individuals submitting the highest scoring proposals shall be recommended to the Commission for its consideration.
4. Recommendation to Commission: Staff will issue a report for the Commission public meeting five (5) days prior to the public meeting, with its recommendation for an Oregon IE for PacifiCorp's 2017R RFP.
5. Commission's ultimate discretion in selecting IE: The Commission will consider Staff's recommendation and comments from PacifiCorp and non-bidding parties in selecting the IE, but the ultimate discretion to select an IE lies with the Commission. The Commission will direct PacifiCorp to enter into a contract with the selected IE.

B. SELECTION NOTIFICATION

PacifiCorp will notify every bidder of its selection status.

IX. CONTRACT INFORMATION

A. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT

1. The selected bidder will be required to enter into a professional services contract with PacifiCorp based on the scope of work described herein and in a form substantially similar to the form attached to this RFP. Commission staff will review the draft PacifiCorp contract prior to execution to ensure that it conforms to this solicitation and the Commission's competitive bidding guidelines.
2. The state of Oregon will not be a party to the resulting contract, and will not be responsible for any conflicts that arise between PacifiCorp and the selected IE.

Quantity of bids (provide fixed pricing for each quantity of bids, below. If you intend to have a flat rate for any number of bids, enter that rate on the first row and \$0 on the subsequent rows.)

One to Twenty (1 – 20)	\$ _____
Twenty-One to Forty (21 – 40)	\$ _____
Forty-One to Sixty (41 – 60)	\$ _____
Sixty-One to Eighty (61 – 80)	\$ _____
Eighty-One to One hundred (81 – 100)	\$ _____

The pricing should take into account the fact that one project may be bid into the 2017R RFP as several different bid proposals and that the time and cost associated with analysis of multiple bids from the same project should be appropriately recognized.

Area Four: A separate cost proposal must be provided for **each** of the following conditional tasks.

B-14 Participate in Commission proceeding on acknowledgment of final short-list
\$ _____

B-15 Participate in additional meetings with parties (cost per meeting)
\$ _____

Pricing will be scored by the evaluation committee based on aggregate total of Area One, Area Two, and each item under Area Three and Area Four.

Pricing shall account for 40% of overall score for each proposal.

Attachment B

**DRAFT COPY
PACIFICORP PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT**

Attachment C

**OREGON COMPETITIVE BIDDING GUIDELINES
ORDER 06-446**