

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2023 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2023 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2023-01-17

*Name: Andrew Rector

Title:

*E-mail: andrew.rector@utc.wa.gov

Phone: 3606641315

*Organization: Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission

Address: 621 Woodland Square Loop SE

City: Olympia

State: WA

Zip: 98503

Public Meeting Date comments address: 01-13-2023

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Draft preferred portfolio results, Natrium plant postponement

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

Washington UTC Staff provides two comments with regard to the PacifiCorp 2023 integrated resource plan (IRP). First, Staff was disappointed that the Company did not, at its January 13, 2023, public input meeting (PIM), discuss its draft 2023 IRP preferred portfolio results, as originally planned, or at least provide substantive details explaining this latest modeling delay. PacifiCorp indicated at the Dec. 1, 2022, PIM that such results would be available for review and comment at the Jan. 12-13 two-day PIM. Instead, PacifiCorp cancelled half of the January PIM (with only two days notice to interested parties), did not present draft results at that PIM, and further seemingly did not intend to address the delay in producing draft results, as evidenced by the fact that there was no agenda item at the Jan. 13 PIM to address the delay. Staff's concerns are two-fold. First, the Company is continuing a pattern Staff observed throughout PacifiCorp's 2021 IRP process when it was chronically behind in its deliverables (see pp. 19-20 of Staff's PacifiCorp 2021 draft IRP comments). Being incapable of producing draft preferred portfolio results on time reduces interested parties' ability to offer any meaningful public input to the Company in time for adjustments to be made before the Company's filing deadline, which is the point of the PIM process. Second, the Company should have made time at the outset of the Jan. 13 PIM to be transparent about the reasons for the delay. Instead, it seemed to Staff that PacifiCorp did not intend to address the issue at all and was only forced to when multiple attendees brought it up of their own volition. Staff recommends: 1) that PacifiCorp advise its IRP PIM attendees regarding when they will receive draft preferred portfolio results, and how long they will have to review those results before providing feedback to the Company for incorporation into the final IRP; and 2) that PacifiCorp be up front and transparent about any delays, complications, etc., in its IRP process. Not doing so defeats the purpose of having a public input process in the first place. Second, Staff would like an update on the modeling of the Natrium nuclear facility in light of TerraPower's

* Required fields

recent announcement that the Natrium plant would be delayed by a \u001Cminimum\u001D of two years due to lack of a reliable fuel source. Please explain a) whether this delay will be incorporated in the 2023 IRP modeling, and b) what impact it will have on the preferred portfolio.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

<https://mailchi.mp/terrapower.com/news-terrapower-plans-to-invest-in-domestic-fuel-production-15558136>

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Staff recommends: 1) that PacifiCorp advise its IRP PIM attendees regarding when they will receive draft preferred portfolio results, and how long they will have to review those results before providing feedback to the Company for incorporation into the final IRP; and 2) that PacifiCorp be up front and transparent about any delays, complications, etc., in its IRP process. Not doing so defeats the purpose of having a public input process in the first place.

PacifiCorp Response (3/2/2023):

Thank you for expressing your portfolio results concerns. Consistent with prior IRPs, care is taken to balance the need to lock down critical data, present it in its final form for stakeholder review, and to adjust schedules when incoming information must be considered. Changes to the expected timing of topic discussions are communicated as they are known. The Company intends to continue in its strategy to provide results only when such outcomes are validated and reliable and is committed to providing sufficient time for review. As explained throughout the public input meeting process, the Company reserves multiple days as a placeholder in the event sufficient meeting materials warrant a lengthier discussion.

It is the nature of IRP modeling and preparatory work that results must be confirmed before reporting and that all results are dependent upon ongoing work that is also subject to change. In response to emergent conditions which drive IRP timing, such as federal and state legislation, the Company is providing additional opportunities for public feedback after the March 31st filing and plans to file an addendum as needed and responsive to stakeholders. These plans have been discussed with Staff and were recently discussed at the February 23rd public input meeting. In all cases the Company takes seriously its commitment to providing sufficient information with sufficient time for stakeholder review and appreciates the opportunity for ongoing discussion.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.