**TRANSMITTED VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL**

**DATA REQUEST**

**Request Date:** Wednesday August 10, 2022

**Response Due:** Monday August 15, 2022

**To:** Megan Buckner

Director of Wildfire Mitigation Program Delivery

[Megan.Buckner@PacifiCorp.com](mailto:Megan.Buckner@PacifiCorp.com)

PacifiCorp

825 NE Multnomah St, Suite 2000, Portland, OR 97232

**CC:** Pooja Kishore ([Pooja.Kishore@pacificorp.com](mailto:Pooja.Kishore@pacificorp.com))

Amy McCluskey ([Amy.McCluskey@pacificorp.com](mailto:Amy.McCluskey@pacificorp.com))

Bruce Dao ([Bruce.Dao@pacificorp.com](mailto:Bruce.Dao@pacificorp.com))

**Originator:** Jessica McHale, Wildfire Safety Analyst

[Jessica.McHale@energysafety.ca.gov](mailto:Jessica.McHale@energysafety.ca.gov)

**Data Request Number**: OEIS-PC-22-002

**Subjects:**

1. PSPS Data
2. Covered Conductor Implementation
3. QA/QC Results
4. Elevated Fire Risk Settings

**INSTRUCTIONS**

1. Provide all information in your possession, custody, or control, or the possession, custody, and/or control of your affiliates or agents, that is responsive to these data requests by the due date identified above.
2. Responses and documents may be produced and served electronically, but they must be fully machine-readable and searchable.
3. If you have any questions about the meaning or scope of the data requests herein, direct such questions to the Energy Safety staff identified as the “Originator” of this request at your earliest opportunity.
   1. Lack of clarity on meaning or scope of requests without prior request for clarification from the “Originator” will not be a permissible reason for incomplete responses and will be regarded as non-compliance with the request.
4. Identify the personnel (e.g., employees, consultants, agents, etc.) who provided information responsive to each of the data requests below. As used in this context herein, “identify” means to provide the full name, business address, and title of each employee, consultant, or agent who provided such information.
5. If you do not know the exact answer to any of the requests below, please so indicate and provide your best estimate.
6. Provide data in its original format (e.g., PDF, Excel, GIS shapefile, etc.), unless otherwise specified in the request.
7. Send your response to Jessica McHale ([Jessica.McHale@energysafety.ca.gov](mailto:Jessica.McHale@energysafety.ca.gov)), sending a copy to:
   1. Suzie Rose ([Suzie.Rose@energysafety.ca.gov](mailto:Suzie.Rose@energysafety.ca.gov))
   2. Nicole Dunlap ([Nicole.Dunlap@energysafety.ca.gov](mailto:Nicole.Dunlap@energysafety.ca.gov))
   3. Kevin Miller ([Kevin.Miller@energysafety.ca.gov](mailto:Kevin.Miller@energysafety.ca.gov))
   4. Andie Biggs ([Andie.Biggs@energysafety.ca.gov](mailto:Andie.Biggs@energysafety.ca.gov))
   5. Lucy Morgans ([Lucy.Morgans@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Lucy.Morgans@cpuc.ca.gov))
   6. Melissa Semcer ([Melissa.Semcer@energysafety.ca.gov](mailto:Melissa.Semcer@energysafety.ca.gov))
   7. Stephanie Ogren ([Stephanie.Ogren@energysafety.ca.gov](mailto:Stephanie.Ogren@energysafety.ca.gov))

**REQUEST**

1. **PSPS Data**
   1. PacifiCorp (PC) has not provided all required PSPS information in Table 11: Recent use of PSPS and other PSPS metrics. Minimally, all columns for rows 1a, 1b, and 1c are critically important; however, all data are required.
      1. Provide the information requested in all columns and rows of Table 11. If any data cannot be provided, provide an explanation of why and a timetable for when it can be provided.
2. **Covered Conductor Implementation**
   1. On page 268-270 of its 2022 Update, PacifiCorp indicates it recently completed approximately 3 miles of covered conductor in Mt. Shasta, potentially lessening the threat of need for PSPS on that circuit segment in the future. PacifiCorp indicates it plans to implement approximately 82 miles of covered conductor in Mt. Shasta over three years.
      1. How does PC plan to achieve this rate of increased project completion (e.g., 26 miles per year)?
      2. How does PC plan to achieve its overall covered conductor target in 2022 (e.g., 112 miles in 2022)?
      3. How is PC reallocating resources needed to reach its goals?
3. **QA/QC Results**
   1. Regarding PacifiCorp’s QA/QC of asset inspections:
      1. Provide the results of the QA/QC audits performed in 2021 for both distribution and transmission asset inspections, broken down by inspection type (patrol, detailed, etc.).
      2. How does PC apply lessons learned during the QA/QC audit to enhance its program?
      3. What specific corrective actions has PC put in place as a result of the QA/QC completed in 2021 for asset inspections?
4. **Elevated Fire Risk Settings**
   1. Regarding PacifiCorp’s use of elevated fire risk (EFR) settings for reclosers:
      1. Provide the thresholds and/or conditions used by PC to decide when EFR settings are enabled, including PC’s decision-making for implementing such settings.
      2. Provide the statistics of the reliability impacts made in 2021 through the use of EFR settings (i.e., number of outages, duration of outages, number of customers impacted when EFR settings were enabled).
      3. Provide the estimated number of ignitions reduced/prevented through the use of EFR settings in 2021.

**END OF REQUEST**