

**Bear River ECC
Final Meeting Notes
October 19, 2016
Pocatello, Idaho**

ECC Members Present

Mark Stenberg, PacifiCorp
 Cary Myler, U. S Fish and Wildlife Service
 Lynn Van Every, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
 Blaine Newman, Bureau of Land Management
 David Teuscher, Idaho Department of Fish and Game
 Jim DeRito, Trout Unlimited, by phone (intermittently, reception problems)

Others Present

Connely Baldwin, PacifiCorp
 Jack Kolkman, PacifiCorp
 Babette Thorpe, Sagebrush Steppe Land Trust (SSLT)
 Kelly Conde, SSLT
 Tom Lucia, SSLT

Commitments Made at the October 19, 2016 Meeting	
Stenberg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrange conference call with the ECC and engineers regarding Oneida spawning channel (Will need cost prior to grant fund cycle for construction). • Water right application for broodstock ponds. • Email vote on grazing management plan and letter of intent for a proposed conservation project with USFWS. • Send legal description for Gateway allowed use to Myler • Distribute draft Oneida Canyon conservation easement to the ECC for review. • Arrange tour of Cove Reconnect for ECC members. • Check in with Bushman on additional fencing project. Provide update at next meeting.
Newman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check BLM records for status of road reviewed for Bitton project (i.e., is it a county road?)
Teuscher	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide 2016 invoice and summary report for Conservation Hatchery to Stenberg.
Myler	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Edit grazing management language for proposed conservation easement per Van Every
Action items in blue have been carried over.	

Decisions Made at This Meeting

None

Email Decisions Made Since the Last Meeting¹

- Approve June meeting notes.
- Approve annual operating funds for Sagebrush Steppe Land Trust in the amount of \$30,000.

Voting records are included in Attachment 1.

Welcome and Agenda Review

Stenberg reviewed the day's agenda. Updates on the following topics were added to the agenda:

- Land trust funding
- Paris water swap/plant sale
- Conservation hatchery

Review of Action Items

Action items from the June meeting were reviewed. Items carried over appear in blue in the table on page 1.

Davis Diversion - DeRito provided an update on the Davis diversion. A new head gate was installed in August, he said, and head was adjusted by the engineer. The fish screen needs additional work, he said. The engineer said a metal plate could be put in to send water past the paddle wheel to make it spin better. DeRito said they will take a look at it next year. Stenberg asked about the budget. DeRito said he thinks some line items may be over, but the project overall was still within budget. He said State Lands has not yet decided what to do about the bridge.

New Habitat Funding Request - Stenberg said he had a new habitat fund project to discuss with the ECC from Keith Bitton for a stock watering project. He said the proponent originally wanted to do this project in the fall, but this is no longer likely. Stenberg showed the project proposal via overhead. Rinaldi asked if the project would include fencing, Stenberg said no, the proponent may want to keep it open as a backup in case the pump fails, but there are trees at the top. Van Every noted that Bitton runs other property, including land above Trout Creek, and it would be good to have a collaborative relationship with him. Rinaldi said that may make the monitoring required worthwhile.

Teuscher said if the proponent isn't proceeding with the project this fall, why not roll it into the regular grant cycle. Stenberg agreed. Van Every asked if the trough would be on PacifiCorp property. Stenberg said it was not on PacifiCorp property, but an easement would be needed. Stenberg discussed the issues with trespass on PacifiCorp property to access this area and noted that more internal discussion is needed. Newman said he will check BLM records on the road to see whether it is a county road as the project proponent states. Rinaldi said maintenance within the last five years must be shown for a road to be claimed as a county road. This could be used as a negotiating point.

¹ See Land and Water Fund Updates for additional email decisions.

Paris Water Swap/Plant Sale - Stenberg

Stenberg provided an update on Paris. He said he thinks there may still be an opportunity to put something together there. The plant is scheduled to be sold next year, he said. He said the ECC has been talking about a water swap but then found out we didn't have enough water to leave water in the creek for fish except about 20 percent of the time. Paris Relief could, though. Stenberg said he advanced a concept to them. Stenberg has proposed a three-way deal with Paris Relief Irrigation, the ECC and PacifiCorp. Paris Relief gets the plant in exchange for entering into a water shepherding agreement to leave 3 to 5 cfs in Paris Creek above the plant. They would withdraw it downstream of the hydro plant from one of their other points of diversion. PacifiCorp would get 10 cfs back at Grace from the ECC in the form of a reduced Black Canyon instream flow. Stenberg said their one issue is the sinkhole. He said they have multiple diversions downstream. The sinkhole is above the culvert upstream from the hydro plant. They have tried unsuccessfully to repair it, Stenberg said. There is a fault line there. They are now considering possibly using something like a bridge panel to span the sink hole. Van Every said it would be interesting to understand the geology of it. It may be good to have someone speak to us on it. Stenberg suggested pulling together existing information. Teuscher said he feels it could be bridged. He noted there is a significant amount of water going into the ground there. Myler asked what would happen to the hydro plant. Stenberg said PacifiCorp gets 10 cfs at the Grace plant, irrigators get the plant at no cost and the creek gets summer water. He said they would need a partner to run it and get some cash out of it. Stenberg said he has shared information with them about hydro plants but they will need a partner. So under this scenario, the plant would still run. Myler said he has not seen it, but the Forest Service has finished their restoration in the area. Teuscher asked whether numbers were assigned to the water values during previous discussions. Stenberg said there was quite a bit internally at PacifiCorp. Van Every said they must think the water below the plant is worth more than the generation. Teuscher said if they make just one dollar on generation, they would be ahead. There doesn't seem to be a way they could lose, barring unexpected maintenance. Teuscher said right now it's a non-consumptive water right, so there would be an opportunity to condition the water right when it changes hands. He said he would like to sit down with the irrigation companies and review the downstream points of diversion at that point. He said he thinks this could benefit all. He said he's comfortable with [the Department of] Water Resources modifying the water right.

Stenberg asked for the ECC's level of interest. Teuscher said he is interested. He said more effort needed to be put into pinning down the 3-5 cfs. Stenberg cautioned that you can only take so much away and still keep the plant viable. Van Every said he thinks Lyman could add to this discussion given his recent work there. There were no dissenters. Stenberg said he is waiting for the irrigation company to return a non-disclosure agreement this would allow him to provide additional information. If the deal with the irrigation company doesn't progress he still needs to put a sale RFP out by March. An open sale will not result in any increase in summer water in the bypass reach of Paris Creek. Teuscher said he would like to stay informed of information being provided.

Conservation Hatchery - Teuscher

Teuscher provided an update on the Conservation Hatchery Program. He said IDFG will stock fish this fall, he is getting a final list of locations now. He said he thinks they are ahead of projections. There were

no major changes to stocking locations, he said, other than Harris Springs will be stocked. He said he shared the ECC's long range plan to construct broodstock ponds with Clegg, so he is now aware that his pond will be phased out. There were no disease issues this year, Teuscher said, fish look good and are ready to go out. He said IDFG should end up with early releases of excess fish. They used backpack coolers to get them up into the headwaters last year and will probably do the same this year. Stenberg said he needs an invoice and summary report, including Kackley numbers. Teuscher said IDFG is going back into Kackley this year to check for rainbow trout and will remove any above the trap.

Van Every asked if IDFG is taking fish from the wild every year for the hatchery program. Teuscher said yes, generations are not reused. Males go back into the wild, he said, females are sacrificed for the disease program. He said 200-300 fish go into the pond every year. Fish will be taken mostly from Cottonwood Creek this year, he said. Kolkman asked whether an equivalent number of fish are put back (in Cottonwood Creek). Teuscher said no, the area is very productive and exceeds capacity every year. He noted that IDFG doesn't plan to keep taking from a single area. Genetics are unique in different reaches, he said. IDFG is probably moving to the Alexander area next.

Oneida Bypass Spawning Channel - Stenberg

Stenberg provided an update on the Oneida bypass spawning channel. He showed engineering drawings of the channel as an overhead and discussed engineering concepts and how the channel would function. Teuscher asked whether the channel can still be isolated/protected during 12,000 cfs flows. Stenberg said yes, there will be more water in the spawning channel because of head but it should be protected from high flows. Stenberg said an outlet has been considered to enable fine tuning of flows, or to be able to add gravel from above and wash it down into the channel.

Myler said Lyman had commented that a deeper pond may be needed. Van Every asked about cost. Stenberg said cost has not been finalized. He said they are still talking about putting in an access road, and the existing bridge has only a 10,000 lb. capacity. Kolkman said the dam is going to be similar to a small Last Chance dam. Van Every asked where the water is going to hit. Stenberg said he was not sure. It seems like water is going to want to go to river left and we don't want to create a big gouge there to the left. Stenberg said he will discuss this concern with the engineers. Van Every asked about the possibility of siting the road on the other side of the river. Kolkman noted potential problems with the high flows in relation to the size of structure needed. Stenberg said he will arrange a conference call with the engineers and the ECC to discuss these concerns.

Broodstock Ponds - Stenberg

Stenberg said this project has been queued up for quite a while, but was more complicated than previously thought. He showed engineering drawings of the ponds as an overhead. Two ponds are proposed, he said, but there may be only enough money for one. If there isn't enough budget to build both ponds this year, Stenberg said he proposes phasing the work, building the lower pond first and following with the upper pond second. He said he is going to try and swap a water right that is being discontinued for the pond right. Van Every asked if a formal mitigation plan is needed. Teuscher said no, they would apply for a change of use. Van Every noted there is a moratorium on water from the Bear.

Teuscher said he is certain permits are being issued for ponds. Van Every said IDEQ has been requiring formal showing that other water rights are being dried up to allow for this. Stenberg said he needs to make a filing for the water and putting in pond right away.

Stenberg reviewed the specifics of the plan. Myler asked when construction would begin. Stenberg said as soon as possible next year. Van Every asked how deep the ponds would be at deepest. Stenberg said 8-9 feet, but that doesn't include cobbles, etc. Myler asked about cost. Stenberg said he is getting cost together for the coming grant fund cycle. He said he is asking Cardno for a construction estimate within the month and will ask them to break costs into two phases. He will then put the project out to established PacifiCorp contractors. Kolkman said contractors have done a lot of work nearby recently and they know the area well.

Cove Springs Connection Design - Stenberg

Stenberg reviewed drawings for the Cove Springs connection project as an overhead. Stenberg said he believes the project as shown is all on SSLT land and will open a lot of habitat for such a small project. He said he will cost it out for the next grant fund cycle and noted it would be most efficient to have construction done at the same time as the ponds if the ECC chooses to fund both. Van Every asked about velocities in the channel. Stenberg said they are not known. Teuscher said if it was to be used as a spawning channel, we would want more water. Van Every asked about water depth. Stenberg said 9 inches. Van Every asked about channel width. Stenberg said 3 feet to 3 feet 9 inches. Van Every said that would be limiting. Teuscher said yes, but that was understood.

Harris Springs update – Teuscher

Teuscher provided an update on the Harris Springs project. He said the project included narrowing of the channel, removal of Russian olives, and building wetlands (two ponds) in the area. He showed before-and-after photos and video of the project taken by drone. Stenberg noted that fencing shown was an ECC project. Teuscher said the project was going to be finished this year, but with delays in coordinating with the railroad, plantings will now be postponed until spring. Clean water and gravel is already showing, he said, and he expects it will be one long spawning channel. Van Every asked about the amount of water. Teuscher said 12 cfs in some spots, but will vary. He said he will obtain additional imagery when plantings are done in spring. Rinaldi asked if fencing is on both sides. Teuscher said yes, and a little more will be installed when they bring cattle in. Rinaldi asked about the culvert. Teuscher said it's not undersized. He said he will be working with the landowner to change practices to correct this and ensure fish passage. Van Every asked if there will be a field trip to the project. Teuscher said yes, in the spring. Thorpe added that the landowner has been inspired. She said SSLT is planning a weed day in the area. She complimented Hillyard for maintaining the relationship with the landlord.

The ECC adjourned to closed session to hear land and water fund updates.

Special Discussion: Bear River Hydro Plants and Renewable Energy Sources - Stenberg

Stenberg noted this discussion will include new concepts. He said he will be laying a lot out, and it's fairly complicated and new. Stenberg said the first public meetings took place the previous evening and went as expected.

Stenberg said when PacifiCorp's power plants on the Bear River were built, they provided power for the entire Wasatch Front. They are twice the capacity needed for flow--Oneida is 30 megawatts – and were built to 'load follow.' There would be daily cycles. In the 1980s that was discontinued and multiple peaking of the plants was used throughout the day, he said. Then the world changed again. Coal is on its way down. Variable renewable energy (including wind and solar) is the new path, but it is variable and difficult to forecast. A lot of infrastructure has been built and PacifiCorp is now the largest holder of wind development. So spinning reserve is needed to deliver renewables. You can buy spinning reserve or make it yourselves, he said. It's to the company's advantage to make our own. Oneida currently is producing spin, and uses it about once a year. The company must declare what is production and what is spin reserve. Spinning at Soda is allowed, but there is a bottleneck at Gentile Valley. There is also flood liability. Stenberg said PacifiCorp has a lot of land north of the Bird Refuge and it goes to Bern. PacifiCorp had a lawsuit for flooding and ended up buying the land to manage risk. A similar thing happened in Utah. If there is less of a bottleneck at Gentile, PacifiCorp can wait longer and release more later if needed from Bear Lake. Since the new license, PacifiCorp has installed a lot of new equipment at the dams. This will allow more spinning reserve at the plant than before. Van Every said there is no spinning reserve at Grace. Kolkman said no, but we want to—it would help in case of an energy emergency resulting in brownouts, etc. Being able to run Alexander and Oneida helps, but there is a bottleneck. He said in case of a black start due to natural disaster or terrorism, the thermal plants can't start themselves. They need electricity. He said the Bear River plants provide black start power. PacifiCorp planned for it during Y2K, Kolkman said, and he was at the plants that night. Capacity at Bear River is needed for the Salt Lake plants, he said. Stenberg said so this is what's behind this new proposal. There is a pretty strong commitment within the company to develop spinning capacity over the next 3-4 years, he said. The company knows there will be impacts and that's why talks are beginning now. What does this mean to Gentile Valley? Stenberg said an area of potential impact was surveyed last year. A lot of the valley would be flooded under certain scenarios.

Newman asked about spinning capacity and the tie to renewables. Stenberg said about 1/10th of the water is needed for spinning. If it is turned on, the river goes up 10 times in a matter of minutes. Van Every asked if there is any decent data to call out seasonality and duration. Baldwin said no, it's for emergency use, not (for example) load following. Kolkman said failures tend to occur more often in summer, during hot temperatures. Baldwin said also during backfilling for the wind plants, so there is not a lot of seasonality there, but there are diurnal cycles for solar. Teuscher asked about capacity. He asked whether the 1500 capacity was an amendment to the Bear River Compact. Stenberg said no, it's just what we've been doing. Teuscher said so landowners just built up to this capacity? Stenberg said yes. Kolkman said it's not so much for wind here, just emergency situations and flood control. Van Every said looking more globally at the Bear River corridor from Stewart Dam down, if there was a scenario that could flood Gentile Valley, it moves river restoration effort success upstream. Stewart Dam to Pescadero is a long ignored resource, he said. This could perhaps benefit the whole system. Teuscher asked about flow agreements in the Bear River Compact. Baldwin said 1500 is not in the Compact. This was last revisited when Scottish Power purchased the company and the three states wanted assurances

of 'business as usual.' He said PacifiCorp manages deliveries by contract and it is all accounted for. Teuscher asked about the conversation with landowners and potential flooding the previous evening. Stenberg said options were discussed. One option to manage potential flooding is to buy land in fee. Another is flowage easement (AKA flood easement), but the company has not done well with that in other places, where the courts may rule the company did not manage flood water as well as it could have. Flood contracts are another possibility, i.e., pay in advance for damage. He said there was a proposal that Gentile and Last Chance canals could take some of the flood water and re-distribute it. Farmers were not optimistic about the river coming up, he said, and asked whether the channel could be improved to better accommodate the flow, e.g., dredge, rock, etc. Van Every asked about the surveying that was done and asked if PacifiCorp would share that information. Baldwin said it is still preliminary, so it is not to that point yet. He said landowners were asking about it too, but they know what floods in the 1980s looked like. Van Every asked about acreage. Baldwin said about 1500 acres. Kolkman noted that ECC members likely saw the area during its float trip of the Bear. Stenberg said he will bring more information to the next meeting. He said he did not want to bring maps to initial discussions. Lucia asked whether the company is definitely doing this. Kolkman said the company needs to do something. All the thermal plants are going, he said. The future will be gas, wind and hydro. Stenberg said there may be multiple solutions in the Gentile Valley. Teuscher clarified: PacifiCorp's current license is through 2033 and allows for emergency generation and spinning.

Kolkman also noted PacifiCorp internal changes. Sturtevant, PacifiCorp's managing director of hydro resources, used to be just hydro. Now he also manages wind and solar. Baldwin said so now we are not just talking about renewables, but planning. Van Every said he had questions about how power gets onto the grid. He said turbines that the company owns were mentioned but there are also turbines the company doesn't own. How do you get that onto the grid? Kolkman said if wind turbines are coming up, we back off of natural gas. Van Every said it appears to him that wind development has outpaced base. Kolkman noted that a lot of the older equipment lacks needed technology. Stenberg noted that wind is highly visible but it takes a lot of them to replace, for example, one coal plant. It may look like a lot, he said, but looks may be deceiving.

Next Meeting and Agenda

Next ECC meeting takes place December 7 at Idaho Department of Environmental Quality. The agenda will be similar to this meeting's.

Attachment 1: Record of Email Voting

**Bear River ECC
Record of Email Voting
Request for Annual Operations Support SSLT - August Email Vote #1
Voted Called by Stenberg
August 16, 2016**

From: Stenberg, Mark [mailto:Mark.Stenberg@pacificorp.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2016 11:41 AM

To: Blaine Newman <blaine_newman@blm.gov>; cary_myler@fws.gov; Charlie Vincent <charliev@xmission.com>; Hunter Osborne (hosborne@sbtribes.com) <hosborne@sbtribes.com>; James DeRito <JDeRito@tu.org>; Kathy Rinaldi (krinaldi@greateryellowstone.org) <krinaldi@greateryellowstone.org>; Kevin Lewis <kevin@idahorivers.org>; Kirk Rich (krich@idpr.idaho.gov) <krich@idpr.idaho.gov>; Lee W. Mabey (lmabey@fs.fed.us) <lmabey@fs.fed.us>; Lynn Van Every <Lynn.Vanevery@deq.idaho.gov>; Miriam Hugentobler <miriam.hugentobler@gmail.com>; Susan_Rosebrough@nps.gov; Teuscher,David <david.teuscher@idfg.idaho.gov>

Cc: Aaron Swift (aswift@RMEF.ORG) <aswift@RMEF.ORG>; 'Beatty, Ryan' <rbeatty@blm.gov>; Bob Zimmer <bzimmer@greateryellowstone.org>; Corey Lyman (clyman@fs.fed.us) <clyman@fs.fed.us>; dan_miller@nps.gov; David Pacioretty <dpacioretty@blm.gov>; Davies, Eve <Eve.Davies@pacificorp.com>; Garry Ratzlaff <Garry@ddcllc.net>; Greg Mladenka <Greg.Mladenka@deq.idaho.gov>; Hillyard,Ryan <ryan.hillyard@idfg.idaho.gov>; 'Kevin Colburn' <kcolburn@amwhitewater.org>; Warren Colyer <wcolyer@tu.org>; Babette Thorpe <babette@sagebrushlandtrust.org>; John Sigler <johnsinidaho@gmail.com>; kelly@sagebrushlandtrust.org; 'Tom Lucia' <tlucia@plmw.com>

Subject: Request for Annual Operations Support SSLT - August EMAIL VOTE #1

Hello ECC Members,

Please see the attached request for annual operations support from Sagebrush Steppe Land Trust. This request is consistent with the provisions in the MOA for land trust services between Sagebrush Steppe and PacifiCorp. Please respond to Miriam within seven days (by COB 8/23) to confirm your support of this funding request.

Mark Stenberg
PacifiCorp
208 547-7305

Project Proposal Form

Bear River Environmental Coordination Committee

Project Information		
Project Name	Operations Support for Sagebrush Steppe Land Trust Project Name	July 12, 2016 Date of Proposal
Project Location	Throughout the Bear River Watershed in Idaho Please attach a map and photos of the project area. List GPS coordinates, if possible.	
Amount Requested	Project funds requested from the ECC	\$30,000
	Overhead funds requested from the ECC	\$
	Other funding 1	\$
	Other funding 2	\$
	In-kind match, donated labor, equipment, materials, etc.	\$45,000
	Total project cost	\$75,000
ECC Sponsor	Cary Myler, USFWS	
Applicant Contact Information (Person completing application)		
Applicant Name	Babette Thorpe	
Organization	Sagebrush Steppe Land Trust	
Address	P. O. Box 1404	
City, State and Zip	Pocatello, ID 83204	
Area Code and Phone	(208) 241-4662 Day	(208) 390-9258 Evening /Weekend
Email (optional)	babette@sagebrushlandtrust.org	
Funds Manager (Must be different than ECC sponsor, can be agency or PacifiCorp)		
Applicant Name	Mark Stenberg	
Organization	PacifiCorp	
Address	822 Grace Power Plant RD	
City, State and Zip	Grace, ID 83241	
Area Code and Phone	(208) 547-7305	() Evening /Weekend
Email (optional)	mark.stenberg@pacificorp.com	
PacifiCorp Vendor?	Do you know if PacifiCorp vendor setup has been completed? Yes	

Landowner Contact Information	
Applicant Name	N/A
Organization	
Address	
City, State and Zip	
Area Code and Phone	() Day
	() Evening /Weekend
Email (optional)	
Proposed Action	
Action to be taken:	We respectfully request funding to help pay the executive director's salary. This position initiates and advances conservation easements and restoration projects that protect habitat for Bonneville Cutthroat Trout.
<p>What is the purpose of this project? To inform willing landowners within the ECC project area of conservation options, primarily the sale and donation of conservation easements or property and to advance and close conservation easements or land sales prioritized by the ECC.</p>	
<p>Methods (How will the project be accomplished?): We meet with landowners to assess their interest in conserving their property, gather information on the property and its conservation values to aid the ECC in making its decision, then work with the landowner, contractors and ECC to negotiate the terms of permanent conservation agreements, clear title, close and monitor the project.</p>	
<p>Time Frame (When would you like the project to be constructed/completed?). Within one year of receiving funds.</p>	
<p>Do we have your permission to provide this project proposal to another group/agency in order to explore potential funding options? Yes</p>	

**Bear River ECC
Record of Email Voting
August Email Vote 1 – Approval of Land Trust Funding
Vote Called by Stenberg, August 16, 2016**

	Name	Organization
yes	Lyman	USFS
yes	DeRito	Trout Unlimited
yes	Van Every	IDEQ
yes	Lewis	Idaho Rivers United
	Rich	Idaho Parks and Rec
yes	Teuscher	Idaho Fish and Game
yes	Newman	BLM
	Osborne	Sho-Ban Tribes
	Rosebrough	National Park Service
	Vincent	American Whitewater
yes	Rinaldi	Greater Yellowstone
yes	Stenberg	PacifiCorp
yes	Myler	USFWS

**Bear River ECC
Record of Email Voting
August Email Vote #2 – Approval of June Meeting Notes
Voted Called by Hugentobler
August 16, 2016**

From: Miriam Hugentobler [mailto:miriam.hugentobler@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2016 3:36 PM

To: Cary Myler <cary_myler@fws.gov>; Charles Vincent <CLVincent@xmission.com>; Dave Teuscher <david.teuscher@idfg.idaho.gov>; Hunter Osborne <hosborne@shoshonebannocktribes.com>; Jim DeRito <JDeRito@tu.org>; Kathy Rinaldi <krinaldi@greateryellowstone.org>; Kevin Lewis <kevin@idahorivers.org>; Kirk Rich <krich@idpr.idaho.gov>; Lee Mabey <lmabey@fs.fed.us>; Lynn Van Every <Lynn.Vanevery@deq.idaho.gov>; Mark Stenberg <mark.stenberg@pacificcorp.com>; 'Ryan Beatty' <rbeatty@blm.gov>; Susan Rosebrough <susan_rosebrough@nps.gov>

Cc: Babette Thorpe <babette@sagebrushlandtrust.org>; Bob Zimmer <bzimmer@greateryellowstone.org>; Corey Lyman <clyman@fs.fed.us>; Dan Miller <dan_miller@nps.gov>; Eve Davies <eve.davies@pacificcorp.com>; Greg Mladenka <Greg.Mladenka@deq.idaho.gov>; Kevin Colburn <kcolburn@amwhitewater.org>; Newman, Blaine <bnewman@blm.gov>; Ryan Hillyard <ryan.hillyard@idfg.idaho.gov>; Warren Colyer <wcolyer@tu.org>

Subject: Bear River ECC - August Email Vote #2 - Approval of Notes

ECC Members and Others,

Attached for your review and approval are draft notes from our June 30 meeting. Please let me know by Tuesday, August 23 if you approve the notes or have comments. I will incorporate editorial comments. If there are substantive comments, I'll produce a redline of proposed edits and redistribute the notes for approval as edited on August 24.

Also attached for your records are updated project forms as edited and approved at the June meeting. These will also be posted to the ECC's website.

Thank you,

Miriam Hugentobler
Bear River ECC

**Bear River ECC
Record of Email Voting
August Email Vote 2 – Approval of June Meeting Notes
Vote Called by Hugentobler, August 16, 2016**

	Name	Organization
	Lyman	USFS
yes	DeRito	Trout Unlimited
yes	Van Every	IDEQ
	Lewis	Idaho Rivers United
	Rich	Idaho Parks and Rec
	Teuscher	Idaho Fish and Game
yes	Beatty	BLM
	Osborne	Sho-Ban Tribes
	Rosebrough	National Park Service
	Vincent	American Whitewater
yes	Rinaldi	Greater Yellowstone
yes	Stenberg	PacifiCorp
	Myler	USFWS