



Technical Memorandum

Date: June 19, 2020

To: Demian Ebert, PacifiCorp

From: Jennifer Vaughn, Watercourse Engineering, Inc.
Mike Deas, Watercourse Engineering, Inc.

Re: Quality Assurance Review of KHSA 2019 dataset

Suggested Citation: Vaughn, J., M. Deas. 2020. Technical Memorandum Re: QA Review of KHSA 2019 dataset. June 19. 4 pp.

1. Introduction

A quality assurance (QA) review of the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (KHSA) 2019 dataset was conducted by Watercourse Engineering, Inc. (Watercourse) by comparing the dataset values associated with randomly selected Sample IDs with the values of those Sample ID results in the original lab reports. Sample IDs were selected from the Baseline Monitoring Program (Baseline) general dataset, the Baseline Algae Species dataset, and the Public Health Monitoring Program (Public Health). The review was accomplished with the cooperation of field crews and stakeholders involved in the KHSA sampling programs, including PacifiCorp, the Karuk Tribe, Yurok Tribe, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation - Klamath Falls office, and E&S Environmental Chemistry, Inc.

2. Review Methods

The 2019 KHSA data review followed the same methods of the review of the 2009-2018 KHSA datasets. For each program, one percent of the Sample ID values in the 2019 KHSA dataset were compared with values in the original lab reports provided to stakeholders by the respective labs during the course of the sampling programs. To select samples for review, the number of Sample IDs in 2019 was counted and each Sample ID was assigned an integer as a reference number. One percent of the total number of Sample IDs in 2019 was then calculated and rounded to the nearest integer. Using the Random function in MS Excel (RANDBETWEEN), a random value was generated between 1 and the total number of Sample IDs for 2019. A random value was generated again until the total number of random integers equaled one percent of the total number of Sample IDs in 2019. The random values were then used to choose random Sample IDs, selecting those Sample IDs with integer reference numbers matching the randomly generated values.

Once the Baseline general dataset, Baseline algae species dataset, and the Public Health dataset had a sufficient number of randomly selected Sample IDs to total one percent of the total number of Sample IDs for each dataset, the appropriate stakeholders and field crews were contacted and all original lab reports associated with the randomly selected Sample IDs were requested. Original lab reports included pdf versions of paper lab reports, MS Excel files of paper lab reports, or MS Excel files of digital lab data. Sample IDs and their associated lab reports were documented by Watercourse.

When the original lab reports were obtained, a comparison of each possible result for each Sample ID was carried out. In the Baseline general dataset, there were a total of 19 constituents possible for each Sample ID, and in the Public Health dataset, there were a total of 30 possible results (counting each toxic algae species being tracked in the dataset). In the Baseline algae species dataset, the number of possible comparisons was calculated as the number of fields in the spreadsheet original lab reports (15) multiplied by the total number of species identified for all selected Sample IDs. Each possible result was examined to determine if the KHSA dataset value matched the original lab report value. If a Sample ID did not have a value for a specific constituent within the dataset, Watercourse confirmed that constituent had not been analyzed by any lab associated with that Sample ID using lab reports obtained from the appropriate stakeholders or field crew.

If the result in the KHSA dataset did not match the result in the original lab reports, that result was flagged. If the values did not match, the error was labeled a “true” error. If the value was rounded from the original lab report result, the error was labeled as a “significant figure issue.” Error rates were calculated as the percentage of results that did not match the original lab report values. Error rates were calculated for: (a) all non-matches; (b) only true errors; and, (c) only significant figure issues. The accuracy of the datasets for the Baseline general dataset, the Baseline algae species dataset, and Public Health programs were calculated as the percentage of results that matched the original lab report values, ignoring significant figure issues (i.e., only true errors).

3. Types of Errors and Issues

There are several types of true errors that can occur within a large dataset, but the most common two are transcription errors and omission errors. Transcription errors occur when there was a value entered into a dataset that clearly did not match the value in the original lab report. Omission errors occur when a value was not included in the dataset that should have been. Other errors in the dataset can include a result added to the dataset that should have been omitted, or a value assigned to a wrong Sample ID.

The issue of significant figures was also included in the QA review of the KHSA dataset. At this time, there has been no decision on the number of significant figures that should be included in the KHSA dataset for each constituent. For this review, if the dataset value was rounded from the original lab-reported value, that value was flagged.

4. Possible Sources of Errors

As a further investigation into the dataset accuracy, Watercourse investigated the possible source of error or significant figure issue for each flagged value. This was done by using the compilation spreadsheets and other files provided to Watercourse by stakeholders that had been used to create the annual KHSA datasets and reports. Because of this, original lab reports could be compared to the KHSA dataset files to determine when an error or significant figure issue most likely had been introduced into the dataset. The number and percentages of each of those possible sources of each error was calculated and documented.

5. Review Results

For the Baseline general dataset of 371 Sample IDs, 4 Sample IDs were randomly selected. As the randomly selected sample from the Baseline algae dataset only included microcystin but had another Sample ID paired with it that included other constituents, both the baseline algae sample and its paired Sample ID was added to the list of Baseline general dataset samples, creating six Sample IDs to assess. This review consisted of 19 possible constituents for each of the 6 selected Sample IDs from 2019 (Table 1). The 108 sample IDs included in the Baseline algae species dataset provided a single randomly selected Sample ID for review of 15 fields for each of the 23 total species identifications generating a total of 345 reviewed results for the Baseline algae species dataset (Table 1). A total of 60 possible results were reviewed for the Public Health dataset, consisting of 30 fields for each of the 2 randomly selected Sample IDs from the 233 Sample IDs in 2019 (Table 1).

The QA review results found one error of inclusion (other error) and one transcription error resulting in an estimated accuracy of 98 percent for the Baseline general dataset. The Baseline algae species dataset and the Public Health dataset had estimated accuracies of 100 percent. There were no significant figure issues found in this review of the 2019 datasets.

Table 1. KHSA 2019 Possible Results Reviewed by Program.

Program Part	Baseline – General	Baseline – Algae Species	Public Health
Number of Constituents	19	15	30
Number of Sample IDs	371	108	233
Number of Reviewed Sample IDs	6	1	2
Number of possible results examined during QA review	114	345	60

Table 2. KHSA 2019 Accuracy Estimates.

	Baseline – General	Baseline - Algae Species	Public Health
Number of possible results	114	345	60
Number of results that were not exact matches	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Number of result non-matches that were significant figure issues	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Number of results that were true errors	2 (2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Estimated Accuracy of dataset	98%	100%	100%

Table 3. KHSA 2019 Sources of Significant Figure Issues.

	Baseline - General	Baseline - Algae Species	Public Health
Number of significant figure issues	0	0	0
Significant figure issue, unknown source	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Significant figure issue, introduced by sampling entity	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Significant figure issues, introduced by Watercourse	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Significant figure issues, other sources	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)