February 6, 2008

Meeting Summary

PacifiCorp held the second public meeting for the Lewis River Projects Shoreline Management Plan (SMP) on February 6, 2008, at the Lewis River Golf Course clubhouse in Woodland, Washington. The initial meeting, held at the same location on August 22, 2007, provided an opportunity for interested parties to learn about the SMP development process and to voice concerns, comments, and questions regarding such. The purpose of the February 6, 2008 meeting was to receive informal public comment on the Initial Working Draft of the SMP, which PacifiCorp provided to stakeholder on January 7, 2008.

Kleinschmidt Associates (Kleinschmidt) provided a description of the Initial Working Draft, highlighting the draft shoreline management classifications, allowable uses, and other aspects of the draft document of interest to stakeholders. PacifiCorp staff also provided input on the process to date and their expectations on how the SMP development process will continue to unfold.

After the presentation, audience members were encouraged to provide comments and ask questions regarding any aspect of the SMP. The following table details these comments and questions and provides answers. The format of the question/response table below is typical of what stakeholders can expect PacifiCorp to use when responding to formal comments on the final SMP. Some of the responses included below were provided directly during the meeting. In some instances, the responses provided have been augmented beyond what was provided at the meeting to provide a more complete answer.

Comment/Question	Response
Does/will the SMP	Yes, but not directly. The SMP is not an erosion control plan, but the draft SMP includes best management
address erosion?	practices encouraging proper shoreline uses, stabilization, etc. Permitting policies associated with the SMP
	will also consider erosion as a factor in approving permits.
Does/will the SMP	Floating debris is outside the scope of the SMP. Classifications have not been applied in consideration of
consider safety issues	shoreline stumpage. Large woody debris management whether fixed or floating/stranded is carefully
related to stumps and	considered weighing the value as habitat.
floating woody	
debris?	
What is the	As the current SMP is a working draft and there are likely to be some changes, no percentages have been
percentage of resource	calculated. Currently the resource management classification represents the largest percentage of shoreline
management	and is consistent with the Lewis River Settlement Agreement.
classification	
shoreline in the SMP?	
How does the SMP or	PacifiCorp is developing the SMP to complement and support the Lewis River Settlement Agreement. The
FERC's standard land	standard land use article language is general in nature however the article is expected to outline
use article affect the	PacifiCorp's responsibilities to maintain oversight of the reservoir's shorelines. The license article is not
Lewis River	expected to impede or contradict the Settlement Agreement.
Settlement	
Agreement?	
Scope of Settlement	PacifiCorp worked carefully with agencies, nongovernmental organizations tribes, and other stakeholders
Agreement – Is	to craft a settlement for licensing the projects that recognized and protected the public's interest under
PacifiCorp doing	FERC regulations as well as considering the interests of PacifiCorp's customers to operate the projects in a
things beyond the	prudent and efficient manner.
FERC requirements?	

Comment/Question	Response
Is it appropriate to	Yes. Contact information: David Moore (503) 813-6945/david.moore@pacificorp.com; Todd Olson (503)
contact PacifiCorp on	813-6577/todd.olson@pacificorp.com
specific items that	
stakeholders believe	
should be changed?	
Is anything allowed in	Passive, temporary uses such as hiking, and primitive camping are allowed, however no constructed
the resource	shoreline uses are allowed.
management	
classification?	
Can people use the	Yes, see above.
shoreline in resource	
management?	
What is reasonable	PacifiCorp is in the process of refining the permitting guidelines. The guidelines will identify typical
turn around time for	turnaround times for shoreline permitting activities.
contact or follow up	
by PacifiCorp?	
Will PacifiCorp	This is an "informal" comment period. PacifiCorp will consider all comments received in developing the
respond to all	Public Review Draft SMP. Later, PacifiCorp will respond to all individual comments it receives during the
questions/comments	"formal" comment period when it issues the Public Review Draft SMP. This will most likely be
during informal	accomplished through use of a table similar to this.
comment period?	
What is the SMP	PacifiCorp expects to have a final Public Review Draft out by end of March for a 30-day comment period.
schedule?	PacifiCorp will review comments and redraft the SMP as needed; after receiving and processing these
	comments PacifiCorp intends to wait for the FERC license issuance before submitting the SMP to FERC.

Comment/Question	Response
What is PacifiCorp's permitting process?	PacifiCorp intends to require applicants to provide proof of consultation and, where applicable, receipt of approval from all other jurisdictional agencies before processing a permit application for shoreline uses within the Project Boundary. NOTE: This discussion led to identification of a potential "catch 22" as some counties require applicants to provide proof of PacifiCorp approval prior to processing its permit applications. PacifiCorp will contact the counties to clear this up and, as needed, revisit the SMP/permitting language.
How does/will	PacifiCorp determines adjacent land owners through publicly available information from county records.
PacifiCorp determine	
adjacent property	
owner; multi-user	
facilities?	
Does PacifiCorp want	PacifiCorp does not have a position on this question, but will consider it.
land owner/residential	
status and proximity	
to factor in to	
shoreline uses?	
Are individual docks	Yes
allowed in the	
integrated use	
classification	
shoreline areas?	
Is there a minimum	To date PacifiCorp has not established dock sizes, but the draft permitting manual will likely include size
acceptable dock size?	standards for shoreline uses.

Comment/Question	Response
What area is included	The project boundary for the Lewis Projects is based on elevation. The Working Draft SMP includes full
in the Project	descriptions for each reservoir. The scope and jurisdiction of the SMP policies extend from the Project
Boundary?	Boundary line to the low water line.
Is PacifiCorp going to	Yes, in the integrated use classification shoreline areas.
allow docks?	
Is the resource	The resource management classification is applied primarily to shoreline that is owned by PacifiCorp or
management	the state of Washington; however, some private shoreline is also designated resource management where it
classifications applied	is necessary to protect resources that could be adversely affected by development.
to privately owned	
lands?	
Are all shoreline	Yes
classifications applied	
to flood pool?	
Why is Yale Landing	NOTE: PacifiCorp did not directly address specific questions for specific properties at the meeting, but will
classified as resource	do so as contacted. In general, individuals who believe a section of shoreline is not correctly classified are
management?	encouraged to specifically identify these locations and provide support for their assertion.
Integrated may be	
more appropriate.	
Swift Zoning – SFL20	NOTE: PacifiCorp did not directly address specific questions for specific properties at the meeting, but will
allows one house/20	do so as contacted. In general, individuals who believe a section of shoreline is not correctly classified are
acres. Is that	encouraged to specifically identify these locations and provide support for their assertion
consistent with the	
resource management	
classification?	

Comment/Question	Response
Why isn't all of	NOTE: PacifiCorp did not directly address specific questions for specific properties at the meeting, but will
Marble Creek Cove	do so as contacted. In general, individuals who believe a section of shoreline is not correctly classified are
classified as	encouraged to specifically identify these locations and provide support for their assertion.
integrated use?	
. When will permitting	PacifiCorp expects to finalize draft permitting standards and include them with the Public Review Draft
standards be	SMP near the end of March.
available?	
. Will there be permit	It is likely there will be some permit application fees; however, PacifiCorp has not yet established them.
fees? If so, will they	
be annual, one time,	
etc.)?	
Will PacifiCorp	PacifiCorp is considering these types of questions but has not yet finalized permitting policies and
require day use or	standards.
seasonal docks?	
What are the	
requirements for these	
types of structures?	
. What are the time	PacifiCorp is considering these types of questions but has not yet finalized permitting policies and
limits for processing	standards.
permits, paying for	
permit, then expected	
turnaround?	

Comment/Question	Response
Are maps available?	Yes. Contact PacifiCorp staff. PacifiCorp's preference is to provide electronic (PDF) versions of the map
(small classification	that stakeholders can reproduce on their own. Those interested in obtaining the current classification maps
maps)	must remember that the maps are draft at this time and are subject to change until finalized by FERC.
How does	All FERC actions are consistent with NEPA regulations. FERC will make a determination of the NEPA
NEPA/SEPA fit into	process for the SMP once PacifiCorp makes the SMP filing. PacifiCorp intends to develop its permitting
permit process?	process to compliment/mirror SEPA.