

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2017 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2017 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In providing your feedback, PacifiCorp requests that the stakeholders identify whether they are okay with the Company posting their comments on the IRP website.

Yes No May we post these comments to the IRP webpage?

Date of Submittal 7/29/2016

*Name: Joni Zenger

Title: Technical Consultant

*E-mail: jzenger@utah.gov

Phone: (801) 530-6787

*Organization: Utah Division of Public Utilities

Address: 160 East 300 South

City: Salt Lake City

State: UT

Zip: _____

84111

Public Meeting Date comments address: 7/20/2016

Check here if not related to specific meeting

Additional organization attendees at meeting: Bob Davis, Artie Powell, Lori Shelton, Jeffrey Einfeldt, Justin Christensen, Abdinasir Abdulle

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Meeting process and facilitation, Environmental Policy, Regional Integration with CAISO, DSM, and Renewable Portfolio Standards and RFPs.

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

Qualification statement: The Division notes that silence or lack of comment on any topic from the meeting listed above does not mean agreement or disagreement.

Facilitation of the meeting

The Division encourages the two-day meeting approach, especially when the agenda is full. The Division welcomes spending adequate time on each topic so that all parties can understand the IRP more fully. In this instance, the Division did not receive the meeting materials before the meeting and was unprepared to discuss the environmental policies, which have typically been covered at the end of the IRP process. Some parties had traveled for the two-day meeting and did not receive adequate notice that the meeting was being cut to one day.

With that caveat, the Division commends the Company for having all of the subject matter experts in the room or on the live feed. This worked well, as many of us had questions that were able to be answered by having the personnel available. The Division understands there was a last minute difficulty in getting the meeting materials out and totally understands how that happens from time to time. Shay and Rick conducted and facilitated the meeting effectively, and the Division wants to recognize the Company for its excellence and hard work with many business units within the

* Required fields

Company to make the stakeholder meeting work.

Environmental Policy

The Division appreciates the overview of the various environmental policies, including the draft rule, the dates, the compliance periods, etc. However, the Division has three new employees working on the IRP who are not familiar with the intricacies of the federal trading rules and requests that certain terminology be defined on the slides if it is something unfamiliar to the public. “Leakage” and “New Source Complement” were two of those terms. Staff couldn’t get a question in between all the other questions and background noise from someone’s phone.

Once the Supreme Court has ruled in August on the Clean Power Plan, the Division recommends that state representative Glade Sowards provide a briefing to the IRP group or a phone conference on the final details of what we know for sure regarding the Clean Power Plan. The Division has discussed this with Glade before, and he is eager to share his insight on the CPP with us. The Division would be willing to work with Glade to set the meeting up for the Utah stakeholders.

The Division requests that when the Company is considering how to model trading rules, will you please explicitly model (or at least explain in a narrative) the interaction between RECs and ERCs? Also, will you please model (or at least provide a narrative) of how ERCs will interact with energy efficiency credits.

Regional Integration with CAISO

Slide 47 states that most major initiatives are going through stakeholder processes that are targeted for FERC approval in approximately five months. These include: transmission access charge, resource adequacy, grid management charge, and metering requirements. Further, we will have a better understanding of governance at that time. The Division requests that the Company convene a January IRP stakeholder meeting to re-visit PacifiCorp’s joining CAISO and talk about how that will specifically affect the Company’s integrated resource planning.

Demand Side Management

DSM was not on the agenda for this meeting, but looking forward the Division requests the Company conduct a separate IRP stakeholder meeting to address DSM in the context of a Technical Conference.

Renewable Portfolio Standards and RFPs

Unfortunately, the Division was not able to hear most of what was presented by Company Director, Mary Lieke (I hope this is spelled correctly. I apologize if not, partially because I couldn’t hear. This was either due to the speaker not being close enough to a microphone, the background noise from the phone call, or Mary was speaking too soft or quickly. The Division notes that Denise Parish interjected that she could not hear Mary where she was at. Therefore, would the Company prepare a narrative that explains the different RECs by state, what golden RECs are, which RECs can be unbundled or not, etc. In the alternative, would the Company be willing to have Mary present her section at the outset of the next public IRP stakeholder meeting?

The Division looks forward to collaborating with the Company and other stakeholders on what should be an eventful IRP process.