

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2017 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2017 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In providing your feedback, PacifiCorp requests that the stakeholders identify whether they are okay with the Company posting their comments on the IRP website.

Yes No May we post these comments to the IRP webpage? Date of Submittal 3/7/2017
*Name: Silvia Tanner Title: **Staff Counsel**
*E-mail: silvia@renewablenw.org Phone: 503 223 4544
*Organization: Renewable Northwest
Address: 421 SW 6th Ave, Suite 1125
City: **Portland** State: **OR** Zip: **97204**
Public Meeting Date comments address: **3/2/2017** Check here if not related to specific meeting
List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: **Michael O'Brien**

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.
Sensitivites considered for Preferred Portfolio Selection

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

Thank you for the clear presentations throughout this planning cycle, and for an IRP development process characterized by your responsiveness to stakeholder questions and suggestions.

At the March 3, 2017 IRP meeting, stakeholders showed interest in seeing how applying the sensitivity Wind Repower to other core cases aside from OP-NT3 would affect case rankings.

Renewable Northwest and the NW Energy Coalition request that you consider applying Wind Repower to all the core cases, or at the very least to a subset of the top 12 ranking cases that you presented on March 3, 2017.

We are mindful of the workload of the IRP team, and of the process that you developed to streamline the IRP analysis. However, applying Wind Repower to other core cases seems like an appropriate request, given the impact of the sensitivity on core case OP-NT3 and GW4.

Wind Repower appears to have a drastic impact on the risk adjusted NPVRR of cases to which it is applied. Indeed, applying Wind Repower drastically impacted the risk-adjusted cost rankings of OP-NT3 and GW4 (see slide 52 of the handout). Specifically, applying Wind Repower pushed OP-NT3 from fourth to first place, and GW4 from seventh to second place. Hence, the impact of applying Wind Repower to any of the other core cases and sensitivities—in particular RE-2 which already ranks third in terms of risk-adjusted cost—is worth exploring and could very well result in an even lower cost/risk preferred portfolio.

By applying Wind Repower to all core cases and sensitivities, PacifiCorp's would further strengthen the selection of a preferred portfolio in this IRP. However, we are mindful that such a task may not be possible at this stage. Hence, we request that PacifiCorp applies Wind Repower to the 12 top performing cases that it presented in its PaR Summary Rankings. In the event that PacifiCorp does not consider that it has sufficient time to perform such analysis in advance of its target date for filing the IRP, we request that at

* Required fields

the very least PacifiCorp applies Wind Repower to a subset of the top performing cases that PacifiCorp presented in its PaR Summary Rankings. The top seven cases seems like an appropriate subset since their NPVRRs are relatively close to one another and would actually only require PacifiCorp to apply Wind Power to three additional cases (RE-2, RE-1c, and DLC1).

Thank you again for your efforts to meaningfully include stakeholder throughout this planning cycle.

Sincerely,

Silvia Tanner, Staff Counsel, Renewable Northwest

Michael O'Brien, Research Director, Renewable Northwest

Wendy Gerlitz, Policy Director, NW Energy Coalition

Fred Heutte, Senior Policy Associate, NW Energy Coalition

Amy Hojnowski, Senior Campaign Representative, Beyond Coal Campaign, Sierra Club

Angus Duncas, Pacific Northwest Consultant, National Resources Defense Council

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated. Renewable Northwest and the Northwest Energy Coalition request that you consider applying Wind Repower to all the core cases, or at the very least to a subset of the top 12 ranking cases that you presented on March 3, 2017.

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields