## PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

## 2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

					Date of S	ubmittal	8/29/2018
*Name:	Kevin Emerson			Title:	Energy	Efficien	cy Program Director
*E-mail:	kevin@utahcleanenergy.o	rg		Phone:	(801) 9	03-2029	
*Organization:	Utah Clean Energy						
Address:	Click here to enter text.						
City:	Click here to enter text.		State:	Click here to e	nter text.	Zip:	Click here to enter text.
Public Mee	eting Date comments address:	N/A		$\boxtimes$ C	heck here	if not rel	ated to specific meeting
List additional orga	anization attendees at cited mee	eting:	N/A	4			
	ential Assessment: Modeling there if any of the following						•
☐ Check he website.	ere if you do <b>not</b> want your s	Stakeholo	der feedba	ck and accompa	nying ma	aterials p	posted to the IRP
_	nment: Please provide your			-			
•	P stakeholders better under			<b>.</b>			• •
•	comparison of the costs and	٠.	_				
within the IRP, wit	th the actual costs and energ	gy saving	s associate	ed with actual D	SM prog	rams im	plemented over the

Please provide the MWh by state for each measure bundle that was selected in the 2017 IRP Update modeling. Then for each bundle that was selected please provide all measures in the bundle. For each measure please provide the assumed levelized cost, incremental energy savings, and incremental cost.

For the sake of comparison, please provide data on the corresponsing measures implemented by the Rocky Mountain Power in 2015, 2026, and 2017. For each measure provide the number of measures installed, total savings, budget spending, and levelized cost.

## **PacifiCorp Response:**

past 3 years (2015, 2016, and 2017).

PacifiCorp provided reference to the actual 2017 program evaluations and annual reports which can be found here: http://www.pacificorp.com/env/dsm.html and http://www.pacificorp.com/es/dsm/utah.html. PacifiCorp also provided the Conservation Potential Assessment (CPA) potential by measure for the 2015 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) 2017 demand-side management (DSM) selections, which the Utah 2017 program targets were based on. PacifiCorp cautions against the type of comparisons requested due to different vintages of data and assumptions in both the CPA and IRP.

**Data Support:** If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high \*Required fields

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.					
ck here to enter text.					

\* Required fields

- this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to <a href="IRP@Pacificorp.com">IRP@Pacificorp.com</a>

Thank you for participating.