

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 1/16/2019

*Name: Anthony Tomassi Title: Mayor

*E-mail: cityhall@kemmerer.org Phone: 307-828-2350

*Organization: City of Kemmerer

Address: 220 State Hwy 233

City: Kemmerer State: WY Zip: 83101

Public Meeting Date comments address: n/a Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: n/a

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Coal Analysis

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

Factors considered in the IRP analysis, in order to establish profitability and timeline (as pertains to the indicated scenarios for decommissioning of Units 1 & 2 at the Naughton Power Plant):

1.) Does this analysis factor in the finite subsidized for renewables (i.e. wind & solar), both direct subsidies for construction/operation of these facilities (as applicable in Wyoming), and indirect subsidies for operating reserve to offset the variability/relative efficiency of renewables across with Western Energy Imbalance Market (EIM)?

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp modeled proxy wind and solar resources with applicable production tax credits or investment tax credits, respectively. PacifiCorp has also assessed credits associated with operating reserves on an informational only basis and found the credits in the preliminary coal analysis had a very small offset to overall twenty-year present value revenue requirement of resource portfolios.

2.) If factored in, is it presumed that renewable subsidies will be extended beyond their current sunset date?

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp modeled proxy wind and solar resources with applicable production tax credits or investment tax credits, respectively, only during applicable credit years. PacifiCorp has not assumed these credits are extended beyond their current sunset dates.

3.) If it is not presumed that renewable subsidies will be extended, what is the projected cost increase (as a percentage) of power for Wyoming customers after such subsidies run out, once the noted coal-fired units have been decommissioned across the EIM?

* Required fields

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp has not calculated how year-on-year nominal costs change over time for a given portfolio of renewable resources as a percentage of power for Wyoming customers. Further, PacifiCorp reiterates that it has not made any final resource decisions based on modeling performed through this stage of the public-input process, and consequently, we do not yet know the type, timing, and volume of renewable resources in the preferred portfolio that might qualify for tax credits. Costs of wind and solar proxy resources selected by the model in years after the expiration of production tax credits or investment tax credits have a higher levelized cost. This relationship is summarized in the presentation materials from the November public-input meeting, specifically slides six and seven. Public-input meeting presentation materials are available on PacifiCorp's Integrated Resource Plan website: <http://www.pacificorp.com/es/irp.html>.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

* Required fields

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields