## PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

## 2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

|  |                       |        |    |   | Date of Submittal |       | 1/16/2019 |
|--|-----------------------|--------|----|---|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| *Name:   | Anthony Tomassi       |        |    | Title:  | Mayor             |       |           |
| *E-mail:   | cityhall@kemmerer.org |        |    | Phone:  | 307-828-2350      |       |           |
| *Organization:   | City of Kemmerer      |        |    |   |                   |       |           |
| Address:   | 220 State Hwy 233     |        |    |   |                   |       |           |
| City:  | Kemmerer              | State: | WY |   | Zip:              | 83101 |           |
| Public Meeting Date comments address: n/a  |                       |        | □С | ☐ Check here if not related to specific meeting |                   |       |           |
| List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: n/a   |                       |        |    |   |                   |       |           |
| *IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items: List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.  Coal Analysis  |                       |        |    |   |                   |       |           |
| ☐ Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.                       |                       |        |    |   |                   |       |           |
| ☐ Check here if you do <b>not</b> want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website. |                       |        |    |   |                   |       |           |
| *Respondent Comment: Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.                                     |                       |        |    |   |                   |       |           |

1.) It appears that no development of new operating reserve energy sources for PacifiCorp is planned for elevations above 6,500 feet. Is this correct?

## **PacifiCorp Response:**

A gas-fired project might be built at an elevation above 6,500 feet. From a practical standpoint it is unlikely however, that a combustion turbine-generator (combined cycle or peaking) would be selected as a preferred generation resource at an elevation much above about 5,000 feet. Combustion turbines take a substantial reduction in capacity (and associated cost-competitiveness) for elevation increases. If a generating asset were needed at a higher elevation it is more likely that an internal combustion reciprocating engine would be selected. The production from reciprocating engines is not impacted as much by high elevations. Many variables would be taken into account before the final selection of any technology. Other resources, not sensitive or as sensitive to elevation, could also be used to supply operating reserves at higher elevations (*i.e.*, direct-load control programs, storage). PacifiCorp has not yet finalized its analysis, and review of operating reserve needs is ongoing.

2.) If not, does PacifiCorp have any energy developments under consideration for the Kemmerer area that are not covered in the scope of the IRP (e.g. Combined-Cycle Gas Turbine Plants)? Kemmerer is located adjacent to one of the largest gas transportation hubs in the nation. In conjunction, the Naughton Plant site is already established with the requisite industrial siting and infrastructure (including transmission capacity) to be a well-suited development site.

## **PacifiCorp Response:**

PacifiCorp does not have specific energy development projects underway at this time in the Kemmerer area; however, as described above PacifiCorp's ongoing resource planning allows supply-side resource table generation types to be selected for deployment across PacifiCorp's system, including the Kemmerer area, based upon their cost and performance attributes.

high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Click here to enter text.

**Recommendations:** Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated. Click here to enter text.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@Pacificorp.com

Thank you for participating.

\* Required fields