

# PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

## 2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal [Click here to enter date.](#)

\*Name: Matt Gerhart

Title: Staff Attorney

\*E-mail: [matt.gerhart@sierraclub.org](mailto:matt.gerhart@sierraclub.org)

Phone: [Click here to enter text.](#)

\*Organization: Sierra Club

Address: [Click here to enter text.](#)

City: [Click here to enter text.](#) State: [Click here to enter text.](#) Zip: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Public Meeting Date comments address: [Click here to enter date.](#)  Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: [Click here to enter text.](#)

**\*IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) plans and costs and reported carbon capture project for enhanced oil recovery at Dave Johnston

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

**\*Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

1. Regarding PacifiCorp's notices of Appendix IV Exceedances at the Hunter, Huntington, Dave Johnston, Jim Bridger, and Naughton Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) disposal units, please provide, for each CCR unit: information regarding PacifiCorp's plans for remediation, corrective action, public meetings, closure (if applicable), and costs to comply with the federal CCR Rule.

### **PacifiCorp Response:**

Please refer to PacifiCorp's webpage located on Berkshire Hathaway Energy's website discussing Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) at <https://berkshirehathawayenergyco.com/ccr/ppw.html>. PacifiCorp maintains compliance with CCR requirements for any required remediation, corrective action, public meetings, closures (if applicable), etc. CCR costs are considered in PacifiCorp's long-term planning processes and reported in PacifiCorp's 10-K reporting.

2. At the Hunter plant, how does PacifiCorp intend to use a parcel of land it plans to purchase from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), how much does PacifiCorp expect to pay for the parcel, and when does PacifiCorp expect BLM to make a final decision on whether to sell the parcel to PacifiCorp?

### **PacifiCorp Response:**

PacifiCorp has initiated this process with the Bureau of Land Management to ensure there was an area available if a new landfill needed to be built. As it is a multi-year process, no final decisions on payment amount or timing have been made.

\* Required fields

3. Please explain PacifiCorp's request for proposals for Carbon Capture projects used for Enhanced Oil Recovery, as reported in the Gillette News Record, including how much such proposals would cost and implementation timelines.

**PacifiCorp Response:**

Late in 2018, PacifiCorp issued a request for “Expression of Interest” for a carbon capture / enhanced oil recovery feasibility study at the Dave Johnston plant. PacifiCorp has received preliminary feasibility studies from three parties that are currently under review under confidentiality agreement terms. Detailed costs and implementation timelines will be developed in future feasibility study phases, if PacifiCorp identifies a viable path to proceed with any or all of the respective respondents.

---

**Data Support:** If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Coal ash exceedances: [https://www.sltrib.com/news/nation-world/2019/03/04/report-finds-widespread/Proposed sale of BLM land to Hunter power plant](https://www.sltrib.com/news/nation-world/2019/03/04/report-finds-widespread/Proposed%20sale%20of%20BLM%20land%20to%20Hunter%20power%20plant): <https://www.sltrib.com/news/environment/2018/12/21/feds-plan-sell-parcel/>

Gillette News Record article which says: "The company has sent out a call for carbon capture project proposals, due by the end of February. After that, the company is likely to conduct a feasibility study."

[https://www.gillette newsrecord.com/news/wyoming/article\\_94a3f7b7-2bed-509f-a329-9533c4ddac79.html](https://www.gillette newsrecord.com/news/wyoming/article_94a3f7b7-2bed-509f-a329-9533c4ddac79.html)

---

**Recommendations:** Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.  
Click here to enter text.

---

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to [IRP@Pacifcorp.com](mailto:IRP@Pacifcorp.com)

Thank you for participating.