

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 4/3/2019

*Name: Kevin Emerson Title: Energy Efficiency Program Director

*E-mail: kevin@utahcleanenergy.org Phone: (801) 608-0850

*Organization: Utah Clean Energy

Address: 1014 East 2nd Avenue

City: Salt Lake City State: UT Zip: 84103

Public Meeting Date comments address: 2/21/2019 Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: Hunter Holman and Sarah Wright, Utah Clean Energy

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.
Modeling higher DSM scenarios/sensitivities

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

During the February 21, 2019 public input phone meeting several parties recommended that PacifiCorp include alternative scenarios and/or sensitivities in the 2019 IRP that include higher levels of demand-side management acquisition. During the meeting, Utah Clean Energy was directed to submit a feedback form with a specific recommendation. A specific recommendation is below.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Utah Clean Energy requests that PacifiCorp model an additional higher DSM sensitivity for purposes of comparison against other DSM scenarios in the 2019 IRP. Specifically, we request that PacifiCorp model a DSM sensitivity that equates to at least 1.5% of forecasted retail sales by year, similar to high DSM sensitivity modeling undertaken in

* Required fields

previous IRP processes. This range is roughly equivalent to what PacifiCorp has achieved in Utah in recent years and is below the range achieved by leading utilities in the U.S.

PacifiCorp Response:

The 2015 Conservation Potential Assessment (CPA) included analysis accelerating demand-side management (DSM) in the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) to 1.5% of retail sales, which resulted in DSM being 20% more expensive on average. PacifiCorp findings and methodology for accelerated DSM can be found in the PacifiCorp Demand-Side Resource Potential Assessment for 2015-2034, Volume 2, page 6-5 located at:

www.pacificorp.com/es/dsm/dpssm.html.

In the 2019 IRP cycle, PacifiCorp does not intend to repeat the 2015 analysis of accelerating DSM to 1.5% of retail sales, but PacifiCorp is planning to study a portfolio with energy efficiency bundled by capacity.

Further, we request that the Company use a levelized cost of DSM (\$/kWh) that is based on a three-year weighted average of actual PacifiCorp DSM program costs from 2016, 2017, and 2018. There is significant value in an alternative DSM sensitivity that is informed by actual costs as reported by the Company in recent years, as a comparison to the forecasted cost of DSM.

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp has calculated the levelized cost of DSM as part of the 2019 CPA methodology, using PacifiCorp actual program data for utility spending. This methodology is part of Volume 4, Appendix G of the Draft 2019 CPA located on the 2019 IRP website at: www.pacificorp.com/es/dsm.html.

Finally, we request that the results of this request be presented at the next 2019 IRP Public Input Meeting.

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp will present results of its study of the portfolio with energy efficiency bundled by capacity at an upcoming public input meeting. PacifiCorp welcomes feedback on the Draft 2019 CPA through the stakeholder feedback form process through May 31, 2019.

Thank you.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.