

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 5/24/2019

*Name: Gloria Smith

Title: Managing Attorney

*E-mail: gloria.smith@sierraclub.org

Phone: _____

*Organization: Sierra Club

Address: 2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300

City: Oakland State: CA Zip: 94612

Public Meeting Date comments address: 5/20/2019 Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: _____

(415) 977-5532

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments. Public input meeting held May 20 and 21; portfolio development cases

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

We ask that you please run the following four additional portfolios that are all variants of P-11:

P-11-sc1:

Retire Naughton units 1 and 2 in 2022, instead of 2029

PacifiCorp Response:

Thank you for your feedback. Please see case P-30, as presented at the June 20-21, 2019 public input meeting, in which PacifiCorp evaluated the impact of adding a 2022 closure of Naughton Units 1 and 2 to portfolio 11.

P-11-sc2:

Retire Naughton units 1 and 2 in 2022, instead of 2029

Retire Jim Bridger unit 1 in 2022 with no SCR, instead of in 2028 with no SCR Retire

Jim Bridger unit 2 in 2026 with no SCR, instead of in 2032 with no SCR

PacifiCorp Response:

Thank you for your feedback. PacifiCorp must weigh portfolio requests balanced with other stakeholder requests and time constraints. Please see case P-04 which is directionally consistent with the requested portfolio, but does not have Craig Unit 2 early retirement, or Naughton Unit 2 retirement in 2026.

P-11-sc3:

Retire Naughton units 1 and 2 in 2022, instead of 2029

Retire Jim Bridger unit 3 in 2022

Retire Jim Bridger unit 4 in 2026

PacifiCorp Response:

Thank you for your feedback. PacifiCorp must weigh portfolio requests balanced with other stakeholder requests and time constraints. Please see case P-35 which is directionally consistent with the requested portfolio, but includes 2029 retirement date for Naughton Units 1 and 2.

P-11-sc4

Retire Naughton units 1 and 2 in 2022, instead of 2029

Retire Jim Bridger unit 1 in 2022 with no SCR, instead of in 2028 with no SCR

Retire Jim Bridger unit 2 in 2026 with no SCR, instead of in 2032 with no SCR

Retire Jim Bridger unit 3 in 2022

Retire Jim Bridger unit 4 in 2026

PacifiCorp Response:

Thank you for your feedback. PacifiCorp must weigh portfolio requests balanced with other stakeholder requests and time constraints. Please see case P-14 which is directionally consistent with the requested portfolio, but includes 2022 retirement dates for Jim Bridger Units 2 and 4.

* Required fields

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields