

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 6/8/2019

*Name: Brenda McGinnis

Title: Trustee

*E-mail: bmcginnis7150@gmail.com

Phone: 8016334076

*Organization: Lincoln County School District 1

Address: 1121 Sorensen Drive

City: Kemmerer State: WY Zip: 83101

Public Meeting Date comments address: [Click here to enter date.](#) Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: [Click here to enter text.](#) _____

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Coal Analysis - Retirement of Naughton plant

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

To date, I have attended two of the public meetings associated with PacifiCorp's 2019 IRP. While much of the discussion generated at these meetings is industry-specific and unfamiliar to the general public, it is clear that the conclusions are generated through research, study and analysis. As a longtime Kemmerer, WY community member, I encourage Rocky Mountain Power to employ similar strategies to assess community impact as a result of coal-fired power plants' closures and to equally weigh that impact when making decisions about when and where closures will take place.

Through my former position as Director of the Lincoln County Library System (LCLS) (I retired in 2015.), I had the opportunity to interact with the Naughton Plant. The LCLS received funding through United Way of Southwest Wyoming, and annually, the Naughton Plant would invite representatives of United Way-funded agencies, including myself, to visit with employees. These were visits that I enjoyed tremendously. Management and workers were supportive of United Way, and I know that some Naughton employees even served on the United Way Board. I bring this up because it demonstrates Rocky Mountain Power's commitment to community.

If an analysis of community impact indicates that Kemmerer will be catastrophically affected by the Naughton Plant closure, I hope that Rocky Mountain Power will not abandon its commitment to this community and will implement the following steps: 1) Delay closure until negative effects can be measurably mitigated; 2) Mitigate impacts of closure by placing Kemmerer at the top of the list for alternative energy initiatives, i.e., conversion to gas, installation of wind generation facilities, etc.

* Required fields

While environmental issues at a decommissioned power plant site are well known, the environmental degradation of the community is less well-documented. Houses with foreclosure signs on the front doors, local businesses boarded-up and abandoned, infrastructure neglected because of loss of tax revenue--all are devastating consequences of a major business leaving a community prematurely and without a safety net. I ask that Rocky Mountain Power have the vision to be a leader and to set a new standard for how corporations in partnership with municipalities transition from the past to the future.

I speak only for myself and my comments do not represent the opinion of the LCSD#1 or any other group of which I am a member.

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp appreciates your feedback and recognizes the importance of establishing a resource plan with consideration of reliability, deliverability, implementation, employee and community impacts.

The Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) helps establish a data set by evaluating economic outcomes for retail customers, while considering risk associated with planning uncertainties. This data set is one element of PacifiCorp's resource plan and associated decision making. At this stage, the IRP process has been focused on the data set. Nonetheless, PacifiCorp is focused on ultimately delivering a resource plan that considers the impact on our employees and the communities in which they live. At this time, analysis for the 2019 IRP is ongoing and a final least-cost, least-risk preferred portfolio has not been selected. PacifiCorp has entered the portfolio-development phase in the IRP, but has not yet established a preferred portfolio nor an associated action plan to deliver that portfolio. No final decisions have been made based on analysis performed to date.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.