

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 7/9/2018

*Name: Kevin Emerson

Title: Energy Efficiency Program Director

*E-mail: kevin@utahcleanenergy.org

Phone: 801-363-4046

*Organization: Utah Clean Energy

Address: 1014 2nd Ave

City: Salt Lake City

State: Utah

Zip: 84103

Public Meeting Date comments address: 6/29/2018

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

Sarah Wright, UCE; Hunter Hollman, UCE (in SLC); Justin Brant, SWEEP (in Denver)

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.
Stakeholder feedback on Conservation Potential Assessment

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

As part of the slides presented at the 2019 IRP Public Meeting held on June 29, 2018 the Company requested stakeholder input on the Conservation Potential Assessment (CPA) (see slide 113, which includes a bullet "July 13, 2018: Request stakeholder feedback to inform CPA"). However, more information is needed for stakeholders to provide meaningful input about the Conservation Potential Assessment. At the meeting PacifiCorp stated that they would schedule a phone call for parties to learn more about the DSM measure list and other key inputs to the Conversation Potential Study. In addition to providing the measure list as previously requested, please provide anonymized and aggregated market characterization data that shows the current saturation of measures/the measure adoption rates of the measures being analyzed for the CPA. For example, we are interested in data that shows what percentage of LEDs the average Utah residential customer has installed in their home, as compared to incandescents and CFLs. Our understanding is that this informatin is based on "residential saturation surveys" and we are interested in anonymized and aggregated data, so as to protect customer privacy.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.
[Click here to enter text.](#)

* Required fields

-
- Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

Thank you for participating.