

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 8/29/2018

*Name: Kevin Emerson

Title: Energy Efficiency Program Director

*E-mail: kevin@utahcleanenergy.org

Phone: (801) 903-2029

*Organization: Utah Clean Energy

Address: Click here to enter text.

City: Click here to enter text. State: Click here to enter text. Zip: Click here to enter text.

Public Meeting Date comments address: N/A Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: N/A

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Conservation Potential Assessment: Modeling of DSM in IRP as compared to actual DSM program implementation

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

In order to help IRP stakeholders better understand the modeling process and selections of DSM in the IRP, please provide a detailed comparison of the costs and energy savings associated with DSM bundles modeled and selected within the IRP, with the actual costs and energy savings associated with actual DSM programs implemented over the past 3 years (2015, 2016, and 2017).

Please provide the MWh by state for each measure bundle that was selected in the 2017 IRP Update modeling. Then for each bundle that was selected please provide all measures in the bundle. For each measure please provide the assumed levelized cost, incremental energy savings, and incremental cost.

For the sake of comparison, please provide data on the corresponding measures implemented by the Rocky Mountain Power in 2015, 2026, and 2017. For each measure provide the number of measures installed, total savings, budget spending, and levelized cost.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

* Required fields

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields