# PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

# 2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

			Date of Submittal	9/26/2018
*Name:	Hunter Holman	Title:	Click here to enter to	ext.
*E-mail:	hunter@utahcleanenergy.org	Phone:	Click here to enter to	ext.
*Organization:	Utah Clean Energy	-		
Address:	Click here to enter text.			
City:	Click here to enter text. State: Cli	ck here to e	enter text. Zip: C	lick here to enter text.
Public Mee	eting Date comments address: Click here to enter date.		Check here if not related	d to specific meeting
List additional org	anization attendees at cited meeting:  Click he	re to enter t	ext.	
<u>-</u> ' '	d/or Agenda Items: List the specific topics that are ts; carbon assumptions for 2019 IRP; and requested	_	•	nents.
☐ Check he	ere if any of the following information being submi	tted is cop	yrighted or confident	ial.
Check howebsite.	ere if you do <b>not</b> want your Stakeholder feedback as	nd accomp	anying materials pos	ted to the IRP
-	mment: Please provide your feedback for each IRP  Requests	topic liste	d above.	
1) Coal U	nit Retirement Study:			
a. sy	Will the coal unit retirement case study represent astem costs and benefits associated with stacked coal unit retirement study enhances the coal unit an	oal unit ret	irements? If not, ple	•
	Will coal units found to be uneconomic in PacifiCo tirement dates in the 2019 IRP consistent with the		-	
	Will the SO model be allowed to select incrementary?	al endogen	ous coal unit retirem	ents for the 2019
a. po	Iour Dispatch Credit: In the 2017 IRP, PacifiCorp notes that the intra-hoortfolio and the regional haze "coal studies." See 2	017 IRP U <sub>I</sub>	odate page 67. Pleas	e provide a detailed

haze cases, including equations and work papers as applicable.

\* Required fields

i. Please provide a detailed description of how the intra-hour dispatch credit is applied to any other component of the IRP, including equations and work papers as applicable.

## 3) Science of Climate Change:

a. How has PacifiCorp incorporated the science of climate change into the IRP process? Specifically, what scientific research on climate change has PacifiCorp reviewed and how has this research affected PacifiCorp's timeline for decarbonizing its energy mix?

-----Carbon Assumptions-----

#### 1) Coal Unit Retirement Study:

- a. For the coal unit retirement analysis in the 2019 IRP, please evaluate retirement runs using three different carbon cost assumptions (low, medium and high) in addition to a zero cost scenario. The three cost assumptions should be as follows:
  - Low: Equal to or greater than the medium cost scenario from the 2017 IRP update;
  - Medium: Equal to or greater than the high cost scenario from the 2017 IRP update;
  - High: In line with the Social Cost of Carbon (SCC) as revised by the EPA in 2016, found at https://19january2017snapshot.epa.gov/climatechange/social-cost-carbon\_.html. Specifically, use the 3% Average Discount Rate (please note that these figures are in 2007 dollars--they will need to be adjusted.) To accommodate other parties who desire higher carbon pricing, Utah Clean Energy is happy to work with PacifiCorp and other parties to fine tune this request.

## 2) Carbon Assumption Inputs to Case Study Fact Sheets:

a. In order to ensure that the preferred portfolio adequately reflects carbon risk, please model an equal combination of medium and high CO2 prices (as defined in Carbon Assumptions number 1 above) in the Core Cases.

_		
Sen	sitivit	ıes

#### 1) Private Generation:

a. Please perform a sensitivity showing the effect that the high, medium, and low private generation penetration scenarios have on the resource mix and the PVRR(d).

#### 2) Assumed Incremental Coal Unit Retirements:

a. Please perform a sensitivity that assumes PacifiCorp will retire incremental coal units equaling a minimum of 1500 MW by 2030, and a sensitivity that assumes PacifiCorp will retire incremental coal units equaling a minimum of 2000 MW by 2030. For purposes of both sensitivities, please retire the coal units that will minimize the impact on the system and that are not currently scheduled to retire in, or by 2030 according to the current depreciation schedule, or the proposed depreciation schedule in Utah docket 18-035-36.

#### 3) DSM Target:

a. Please work with parties to develop a sensitivity analysis that evaluates the impact on the portfolio and PVRR where at least 1.5% of Rocky Mountain Power's total annual retail sales in Utah are met with DSM. For reference, a similar analysis was performed in the 2013 IRP process.

**Data Support:** If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Click here to enter text.

**Recommendations:** Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated. Click here to enter text.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to <a href="mailto:IRP@Pacificorp.com">IRP@Pacificorp.com</a>

Thank you for participating.