

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 1/16/2019

*Name: Anthony Tomassi

Title: Mayor

*E-mail: cityhall@kemmerer.org

Phone: 307-828-2350

*Organization: City of Kemmerer

Address: 220 State Highway 233

City: Kemmerer

State: WY

Zip: 83101

Public Meeting Date comments address: [Click here to enter date.](#) Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: [Click here to enter text.](#)

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

General – Multiple Questions

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

1. How will this move affect the rate payers in the State of Wyoming?
2. Is there something about 2022 to 2025 that makes those dates more attractive?
3. Were figures saying the cost of the subsidy for wind and solar factored in? In theory, they may go away in 2020, how does that affect the numbers?
4. How does the community impact factor in?
5. Has the current board set a date to get out of coal and gas?
6. The dam at Viva Naughton - what happens with this? Is there support for recreation?
7. Should the average consumer look for a reduction in bills?
8. Are the savings mostly based on doing away with workers?
9. What about taxes on wind and solar? If they are raised, has that been factored in?
10. Is the goal to get out of generation and go to transmission?
11. Washington, Oregon and California - no more coal or gas by what date?
12. Once subsidies go away, does power go back up?
13. Gas plant, does it take less people to run? At Naughton, is using resident gas cleaner?

* Required fields

14. What type of unput data was used in evaluating each RMP unit? What were not included
15. In using wind generation, was renewal of tax incentives included?
16. In utilizing natural gas, were any additional costs included such as storage, availability, etc., or just the base cost?
17. In closing RMP's units were environmental closing and remediation costs included?
18. Is wind generation and solar generation compatible with existing trasmission line location and line configuration?
19. Has RMP accrued shut down costs associated with each unit and are those costs on RMP's books? How does this factor in to theis IRP?
20. Where will RMP get the hpower to replace decommissioned units? Is it sufficient and at the right times?
21. At what point do natural gas costs exceed coal costs for generation (including cost of emission compliance)?
22. Are there any costs associated with reclamation paid to the Kemmerer Mine in the present contract?
23. What is the most critical component in the cost calculation for Naughton which resulted in the costs to shut it down? Can it be remedied?
24. Where does Naughton fall with respect to other RMP units in cost of generation, mercury emissions, and CO2 emisions?
25. How do you design the system to guarantee power to the consumer?
26. The Kemmerer area has had coal fired power for at least 50 years. It is reliable and environmmntally friendly as the Naughton plant burns the best coal available. As a stakeholder, the City of Kemmerer wishes to assert its right to accept coal fired power only.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.