

# PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

## 2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 3/12/2019

\*Name: Justin Brant

Title: Senior Associate

\*E-mail: [jbrant@swenergy.org](mailto:jbrant@swenergy.org)

Phone: 303-447-0078x2

\*Organization: SWEEP

Address: 2334 Broadway, Suite A

City: Boulder

State: CO

Zip: 80304

Public Meeting Date comments address: 2/21/2019

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

**\*IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

SWEEP and UCE are working to identify potential DSM focused modeling scenarios, as requested at the February 21 meeting. SWEEP has identified some data that would be helpful to us as we assess potential scenarios.

Data Requested:

1. Refer to the September 27-28 Public Input Meeting Slide #112 (Technical Achievable Potential Comparison). Please provide the values underlying this table (Incremental Technical Achievable Potential by State for each year 2019-2037).

1a. Please breakdown the incremental technical achievable potential by state for each year provided above into the cost bundles used in the IRP modeling process

2. For the 2015 and 2017 IRP Preferred Portfolios please provide the MWhs of each Class II DSM bundle selected by the model broken down by state and year. In other words, please provide the Data in Table D.4 in the 2017 IRP Appendix D and the Table on page 64 of the 2015 IRP Appendix D broken down by MWh in each cost bundle selected by the model in each state for each year of the 20 year model run.

3. Please provide a draft of the 2019 Conservation Potential Assessment, if available.

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

**\*Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

\* Required fields

Click here to enter text.

---

**Data Support:** If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Click here to enter text.

---

**Recommendations:** Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Click here to enter text.

---

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to [IRP@PacifiCorp.com](mailto:IRP@PacifiCorp.com)

Thank you for participating.

\* Required fields