PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

			_				
					Date of Submitta	ı1	5/31/2019
*Name:	Denise Parrish			Title	: Deputy Admir	nistrator	
*E-mail:	Denise.Parrish@wyo.gov			Phone	307-777-5743		
*Organization:	Wyoming Office of Consume	er Advoc	ate				
Address:	2515 Warren Avenue, Suite	304					
City:	Cheyenne		State:	Wyoming	Zip:	82002	
Public Mee	eting Date comments address: 5	5/20/2019			Check here if not re	elated to sp	pecific meeting
List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: None that I am aware of							
*IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items: List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments. Questions related to the on-going operation of Naughton Units 1&2 if early closure were not to occur Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential. Check here if you do not want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.							
There is currently Westmoreland mi	nment: Please provide your for some uncertainty surrounding nes in Kemmerer from which toal contract between Westmo	g the on- coal buri	going ov ned in th	vnership and e Naughton o	long-term continuoal plants is obta		
If the Westmorela Kemmerer coal pla	nd mine were to close, what i	reasonab	oly econo	omic alternati	ve for coal supply	is availab	ole to serve the

If a bankruptcy court voided or materially altered the current Westmoreland-PacifiCorp contract, what reasonable economic alternative for coal supply is available to serve the Kemmerer coal plants?

How have transportation costs associated with this alternative source of coal been accounted for in the 2019 IRP assumptions and analysis?

What physical changes would need to be made to the Naughton coal units, and at what estimated cost, to address any issues related to the alternative source of coal having a different physical or chemical make-up than that of the coal from the Westmoreland mine?

Please provide any other comments relative that would help provide context or additional clarity to the subject of a long-term coal supply for the Naughton coal units.

^{*} Required fields

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Click here to enter text.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated. Click here to enter text.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@Pacificorp.com

Thank you for participating.