

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 6/13/2019

*Name: Richard Keller

Title: IPUC

*E-mail: rick.keller@puc.idaho.gov

Phone: 208-334-0348

*Organization: Idaho Public Utilities Commission

Address: 472 W. Washington St

City: Boise State: ID Zip: 83720

Public Meeting Date comments address: 5/20/2019 Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: Bentley Erdwurm

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

The following questions are related to the Portfolio Analysis Results presented during the May 20-21, 2019 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) Public Input Meeting.

1. For Portfolio Development Cases P-03, P-04, P-05, P-06, P-07, P-08, P-09, P-10, P-11, and P-12 the Company assumes no SCR's being installed on Jim Bridger units 1 or 2 in exchange for shutting those units down earlier than their current useful lives. However, in some of the cases, specifically in Cases P-03, P-06, P-07, P-08, P-09, P-11, P-12, and P-13 the Company assumes that Wyoming DEQ, and the EPA will allow these units to operate for another 6 to 10 years without installing SCRs beyond the accepted 2021 and 2022 SCR installation dates. Please explain why the Company believes that it will be able to successfully negotiate operating units 1 & 2 without SCRs for those extended periods of time.

2. For Portfolio Development Cases P-06, P-07, P-09, P-10, P-11, P-12, and likely P-13 the basis for the portfolio considers a large conversion of Naughton unit 3 to burn natural gas. In the December 3-4, 2018 IRP meeting the Company assumed that Naughton Unit 3 would retire at the end of 2019 (see presentation Stacked-Retirement Cases, page 58 footnote).

a. Please explain why the Naughton unit 3 large gas conversion was not considered or selected during the coal unit studies.

b. Please explain whether or not, and if so how, the change of Naughton unit 3 for a large conversion to burn natural gas affects the relative unit comparison results of the coal unit studies.

c. Please explain any changes to model inputs or variables that contributed to selecting the large gas conversion of Naughton 3 in contrast to the assumed retirement of Naughton Unit 3 in the previous coal unit studies.

d. What studies were used by the Company for assessing the requirements and cost for converting Naughton Unit 3 to fire the boiler with natural gas?

e. Given the potential Naughton Unit 3 conversion to natural gas, would the modifications prevent the unit from being fueled by coal to some degree at a later point in time?

f. Are there other Company owned coal units where conversion to natural gas has been studied. If so please explain details of the analysis.

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

* Required fields

-
- Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields