

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2019 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 9/10/2019

*Name: Nancy Kelly

Title: Senior Policy Advisor

*E-mail: nancy.kelly@westernresources.org

Phone: 208-234-0636

Western Resource Advocates, Utah Association
of Energy Users, Utah Clean Energy, Powder

*Organization: River Basin Resource Council, Idaho
Conservation League, Renewable Northwest,
Sierra Club

Address: 307 West 200 South Suite 2000

City: Salt Lake City State: Utah Zip: 84101

Public Meeting Date comments address: 9/5/2019 Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: _____

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Additional coal retirement portfolio, variant of P-46 as depicted on page 5 of September PIM slide deck

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

We request that an additional portfolio be modeled as a variant of the P-46 portfolio, which was the lowest-cost portfolio presented at the September 5th meeting. The requested portfolio would retire Jim Bridger units 1 and 2 in 2025, in addition to the existing assumptions in the P-46 portfolio.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

The requested portfolio is similar to portfolio P-46 in the September 5 PIM but would retire Jim Bridger units 1 and 2 in 2025, in addition to the existing assumptions in the P-46 portfolio. The portfolio we have suggested is based on looking at all the data produced thus far and hypothesizing it has the potential for additional savings.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

* Required fields

The parties listed feel the described case is an obvious candidate for additional savings and the IRP would be lacking without the results of this case.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields