

2019 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) Public Input Meeting May 20-21, 2019













Agenda



May 20 - Day One

- 9:00am-9:30am pacific Conservation Potential Assessment Cost Correction
- 9:30am-10:15am pacific DSM Bundling Portfolio Methodology
- 10:15am-11:15am pacific Updated Portfolio Matrix
- 11:00am-11:45am pacific Lunch Break
- 11:45am-2:00pm pacific Portfolio Analysis Results Discussion
- 2:00pm-2:15pm pacific Break
- 2:15pm-4:00pm pacific Portfolio Analysis Results Discussion (continued)

May 21 - Day Two

- 8:30am-11:30am pacific Portfolio Analysis Results Discussion (continued)
- 11:30am-12:15pm pacific Lunch Break
- 12:15pm-1:30pm pacific Portfolio Analysis Results Discussion (continued)
- 1:30pm-1:50pm pacific Stakeholder Feedback Form Recap
- 1:50pm-2:00pm pacific Wrap-Up/Next Steps



- Results from the coal studies have been used to begin the portfolio-development phase of the 2019 IRP, which will be used to evaluate cost and risk metrics before selecting a preferred portfolio in advance of filing August 1, 2019.
- PacifiCorp has developed 13 unique resource portfolios to date (more portfolios will be developed, summarized and reviewed at the June 2019 public-input meeting).
 - The coal study Benchmark Case and the C-42 Stacked Retirement Case have been carried forward into the portfolio-development phase—these cases have been updated to reflect and update to Class 2 DSM supply curves.
 - The other portfolios assess Regional Haze compliance alternatives for Naughton 3, Cholla 4, and Bridger 1-2 along with alternative economic retirement scenarios that include cases assuming an early retirement of Gadsby 1-3 (steam units) in 2020.
- Portfolios that capture Regional Haze compliance scenarios and alternative economic retirement assumptions relative to those assumed in Case C-42 from the coal studies may provide greater benefits for PacifiCorp's customers.

Summary (Continued)



- These alternative portfolios may also mitigate key risks.
 - Less reliance on market purchases over a period when there are growing regional resource adequacy concerns.
 - Deferral of potential new natural gas resources beyond the action plan window.
 - Reduced need for large-scale deployment of new technologies, such as battery storage resources, over a short period of time.
- PacifiCorp will continue to develop and evaluate costs and risks of different resource portfolios before finalizing its least-cost, least-risk plan, which will be filed with state regulatory commissions by August 1, 2019.



Conservation Potential Assessment (CPA) Cost Correction





2019 CPA Cost Correction



- In April, 2019 PacifiCorp identified an issue that assigned potential for a commercial ventilation measure to a higher cost bundle than expected.
- AEG investigated and found that this was isolated to a handful of commercial HVAC measures:
 - Commercial Ventilation Upgrades
 - Commercial PTACs and PTHPs
 - Commercial Evaporative Central ACs
- This affected costs but not savings, therefore the total Technical Achievable Potential was not affected nor the Conservation Potential Assessment report.

2019 CPA Cost Correction



- AEG corrected the cost calculations and provided PacifiCorp with updated supply curves for the IRP.
- This update shifted roughly 0.8% of the savings from high-cost bundles to lower-cost ones (approx. 110 GWh by 2038) as shown in the chart below.



2019 CPA Cost Correction



- The following table reflects the energy efficiency potential impact by bundle for each state (no impact to Oregon potential).
- This correction results in a slight increase (1% overall) in energy efficiency selections.

| Bundle | California | Idaho | Oregon | Utah | Washington | Wyoming |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------|-------|------------|---------|
| <= 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 8 | 8 |
| 10 - 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 3 |
| 20 - 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 |
| 30-40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 40 - 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 - 60 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| 60 - 70 | 0 | (2) | 0 | (4) | 0 | 0 |
| 70 - 80 | 0 | (0) | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| 80 - 90 | 0 | (0) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 90 - 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| 100 - 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | (0) |
| 110 - 120 | 0 | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 120 - 130 | 0 | (0) | 0 | (0) | 0 | 0 |
| 130 - 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | 0 | 0 |
| 140 - 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | (0) | (0) |
| 150 - 160 | 0 | (0) | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 |
| 160 - 170 | (0) | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| 170 - 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) |
| 180 - 190 | 0 | (0) | 0 | (0) | (0) | 0 |
| 190 - 200 | 0 | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) |
| 200 - 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) |
| 250 - 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) | (0) | 0 |
| 300 - 400 | (0) | (0) | 0 | (1) | (0) | 0 |
| 400 - 500 | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) | 0 | (0) |
| 500 - 750 | 0 | (0) | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| 750 - 1,000 | (0) | (0) | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| > 1,000 | (2) | (5) | 0 | (74) | (14) | (13) |
| Total Change | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total TAP in 2038 | 211 | 51 7 | 3,544 | 6,041 | 1,111 | 1,739 |

Redistribution of Technical Achievable Potential by Cost Bundle in 2038 (GWh)



DSM Bundling Portfolio Methodology





DSM Bundling Portfolio Methodology



- The conservation potential assessment contains thousands of energy efficiency measures, with a variety of costs and load shapes. To simplify the inputs for modeling purposes, measures are grouped into 27 bundles for each state.
- The current methodology groups measures that have a similar levelized cost of energy (LCOE) on a \$ per MWh basis.
- In the September 27-28, 2018 public-input meeting PacifiCorp identified "DSM bundling" as a case to be considered in its portfolio development process.
- PacifiCorp is proposing an alternative bundling methodology based on the net cost of capacity (\$/kw-yr)
 - The method accounts for hourly load shape, capacity contribution, and seasonal differences among measures.
 - There are still 27 bundles for each state.
 - Cost inputs for each measure are unchanged and adjustments for stochastic risk reduction, the Northwest Power Act, and T&D deferral continue to apply. These inputs will be updated consistent with the measures in each proposed bundle.
- The goal of this case is to see how a portfolio with alternative DSM bundling performs relative to the current methodology and is included as a specific portfolio development case.

Current Methodology



- Consider the measures in the current Utah \$60-\$70/MWh bundle:
 - Summer capacity contribution ranges from 0% to 86%, average 46%
 - Winter capacity contribution ranges from 0% to 84%, average 40%
 - Load factor ranges from 4% to 84%, average 39%
 - Shaped energy value ranges from \$40 to \$55/MWh, average \$47/MWh
- The characteristics of a sample of measures are shown below:

| | \$/MWh | % | % | % | \$/MWł |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | СС | СС | Load | Energy |
| Туре | LCOE | Summer | Winter | Factor | Value |
| Microwave | 62.39 | 40% | 44% | 19% | 54.17 |
| Strategic Energy Management | 60.17 | 47% | 27% | 35% | 47.06 |
| Exterior Lighting - Bi-Level Parking Garage Fixture | 65.80 | 48% | 32% | 46% | 46.11 |
| Advanced New Construction Designs | 67.11 | 34% | 30% | 38% | 43.61 |
| Office Equipment - Advanced Power Strips | 68.40 | 48% | 48% | 63% | 43.17 |
| Exterior Lighting - Enhanced Controls | 60.74 | 36% | 38% | 48% | 42.75 |
| Insulation - Wall Cavity Installation | 63.25 | 17% | 32% | 13% | 50.30 |
| Linear Lighting | 63.56 | 35% | 68% | 40% | 50.00 |
| Doors - Storm and Thermal | 62.44 | 0% | 47% | 15% | 45.24 |
| Space Heating - Heat Recovery Ventilator | 62.95 | 0% | 9% | 4% | 39.82 |

Note the range of energy and capacity contribution values

• Some \$60-\$70/MWh measures could be economic even if the entire bundle is not.

Proposed Methodology



- PacifiCorp is proposing bundling based on the net cost of capacity.
- Resources with winter capacity contribution more than 1.5x summer capacity contribution are bundled separately based on net cost per kW of winter capacity.

Net cost of capacity per kW-yr = (LCOE - Energy Value) * (Load Factor * Hrs/yr) / Cap. Contrib. / (kW/MW)

Column reference: [h <u>or</u> i] = (a - e)*(d * 8760)/[b <u>or</u> c] /1000

• The proposed bundle assignments shown in column j are intended to distinguish measures based on their economics.

| | а | b | C | d | e | f | g | h | i | j | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|----------------|--|
| | Ş/MWh | % | % | % | Ş/MWh | % | | Ş/kW-yr | Ş/kW-yr | Ş/kW-yr | |
| | LCOE | CC | CC | Load | Energy | Winter | Season | Net Cost | Net Cost | Bundle | |
| Туре | | Summer | Winter | Factor | Value | Ratio | | Summer | Winter | Banaic | |
| Microwave | 62.39 | 40% | 44% | 19% | 54.17 | 1.1 | Summer | 50 | 50 | SD. \$25-50 | |
| Strategic Energy Management | 60.17 | 47% | 27% | 35% | 47.06 | 0.6 | Summer | 150 | 500 | SH. \$125-150 | |
| Exterior Lighting - Bi-Level Parking Garage Fixture | 65.80 | 48% | 32% | 46% | 46.11 | 0.7 | Summer | 175 | 275 | SI. \$150-175 | |
| Advanced New Construction Designs | 67.11 | 34% | 30% | 38% | 43.61 | 0.9 | Summer | 325 | 375 | SM. \$300-400 | |
| Office Equipment - Advanced Power Strips | 68.40 | 48% | 48% | 63% | 43.17 | 1 | Summer | 300 | 300 | SL. \$250-300 | |
| Exterior Lighting - Enhanced Controls | 60.74 | 36% | 38% | 48% | 42.75 | 1 | Summer | 225 | 200 | SK. \$200-250 | |
| Insulation - Wall Cavity Installation | 63.25 | 17% | 32% | 13% | 50.30 | 1.9 | Winter | 700 | 375 | WZ. \$300-1000 | |
| Linear Lighting | 63.56 | 35% | 68% | 40% | 50.00 | 1.9 | Winter | 150 | 75 | WV. \$50-100 | |
| Doors - Storm and Thermal | 62.44 | 0% | 47% | 15% | 45.24 | >10 | Winter | >1000 | 50 | WU. \$25-50 | |
| Space Heating - Heat Recovery Ventilator | 62.95 | 0% | 9% | 4% | 39.82 | >10 | Winter | >1000 | 100 | WV. \$50-100 | |

Proposed Bundles vs Current Bundles



- The figure shows how much of each current bundle is in each proposed bundle.
 - Each column sums to 100% of the current bundle volume.
 - Measures in the green box are relatively economic and could now be selected before other bundles.
 - Measures in the red box are relatively uneconomic and could now be selected after other bundles.

| | | 2038 Achievable Technical Potential Savings (MWh) % by Original Bundl | | | | | | | | | le | Current Selection: Mostly Left to Right $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------|---|-------|--------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | Curre | ent LC | OE \$/ | 'MWI | า | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | - | | | | |
| | | Proposed \$/kW-yr | <10 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 750 | >1k |
| | | SA. up to -\$50 | 86% | 86% | 66% | 71% | 20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| _ | Y | SB\$50-0 | 0% | 0% | - | 0% | 34% | 1% | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | | SC. \$0-25 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 27% | 4% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| σ | . Č | SD. \$25-50 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 4% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| t | $\mathbf{\nabla}$ | SE. \$50-75 | 0% | - | 0% | 0% | 1% | 14% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | - | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | SF. \$75-100 | 0% | - | - | 2% | 0% | 32% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 0% | - | 0% | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| B | | SG. \$100-125 | 0% | - | 0% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 8% | 1% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 0% | - | 0% | - | - | 0% | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| of of | | SH. \$125-150 | 0% | - | - | 0% | 0% | 1% | 5% | 0% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| tö | | SI. \$150-175 | 0% | - | - | - | 0% | 2% | 17% | 2% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 1% | - | 0% | - | 0% | 0% | - | - | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| n te | | SJ. \$175-200 | 0% | - | 0% | 0% | 0% | 13% | 2% | 3% | 0% | 1% | 3% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | - | - | 0% | 0% | - | - | 0% | - | - | - | - | - |
| , ď | | SK. \$200-250 | 0% | - | - | 0% | 0% | 5% | 14% | 9% | 4% | 0% | 1% | 5% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 4% | - | 0% | 0% | 9% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | SL. \$250-300 | 0% | - | - | - | 0% | 1% | 14% | 27% | 18% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 2% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | - | 10% | - | 0% | - | - | - | - |
| Q n | | SM. \$300-400 | 0% | - | - | - | 0% | 5% | 7% | 26% | 26% | 27% | 2% | 1% | 3% | 26% | 62% | 1% | 16% | 7% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | - | - | - |
| e e | | SN. \$400-500 | 0% | - | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 28% | 29% | 10% | 5% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 8% | 15% | 3% | 31% | 26% | 5% | 4% | 15% | 1% | 0% | - | - |
| a C | | SO. \$500-750 | 0% | - | 0% | 0% | 2% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 22% | 44% | 19% | 18% | 23% | 5% | 5% | 4% | 22% | 7% | 16% | 15% | 23% | 3% | 4% | 6% | 3% | - |
| | | SP. \$750-1000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0% | 0% | 0% | - | 3% | 15% | 32% | 17% | 6% | 39% | 7% | 10% | 4% | 12% | 7% | 16% | 11% | 14% | 17% | 9% | 0% |
| | | SQ. \$1000-9999 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 11% | 19% | 19% | 20% | 19% | 30% | 13% | 50% | 43% | 51% | 85% |
| Se :: | | WR. up to -\$50 | 14% | 14% | 33% | 23% | 1% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ä | Ť | WS\$50-0 | - | - | - | 0% | 6% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| S | \mathbf{V} | WT. \$0-25 | - | - | - | 0% | 2% | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | | WU. \$25-50 | - | - | - | - | 1% | 7% | 5% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | V | WV. \$50-100 | - | - | - | - | 0% | 2% | 15% | 10% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | WW. \$100-150 | - | - | - | - | - | 0% | 1% | 2% | 7% | 1% | - | 3% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | WX. \$150-200 | - | - | - | - | - | 1% | 0% | 1% | 5% | 6% | 1% | 1% | - | 5% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | WY. \$200-300 | - | - | - | - | - | 1% | 2% | 5% | 3% | 1% | 28% | 10% | 17% | 1% | 2% | 9% | 2% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | WZ. \$300-1000 | - | - | - | - | 0% | 0% | 3% | 8% | 2% | 7% | 8% | 38% | 25% | 20% | 21% | 34% | 38% | 37% | 38% | 22% | 35% | 22% | 47% | 0% | - | - | - |
| | | WZZ. \$1000-9999 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3% | 2% | 3% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 4% | 11% | 28% | 34% | 36% | 15% |

DSM Bundling Next Steps



- These draft data may not fully account for interactions between certain energy efficiency measures that must be selected together. PacifiCorp is continuing to evaluate how to coordinate linked measures.
- Net capacity cost is only used to assign measures to bundles. IRP inputs change only due to the mix of measures.
- After modified DSM bundles are finalized, the associated inputs for each bundle will be updated in the IRP modeling:
 - Load shape
 - LCOE
 - Capacity contribution for summer and winter
 - Credits for stochastic risk reduction, the Northwest Power Act, T&D deferral, and the SO Cost-Driver Adjustment
- PacifiCorp anticipates results will be presented at the June 20-21, 2019 Public Input Meeting.
- Depending on the results, modified DSM bundling could influence selection of the preferred portfolio.







Case P-01 Coal Study Benchmark (Coal Retirement and SCR Requirements)

| Coal Unit | PacifiCorp Share (MW) | PacifiCorp Percentage Share | State | Retirement Year and SCR Requirements |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---|
| Cholla 4 | 387 | 100% | AZ | 2020 |
| Colstrip 3 | 74 | 10% | MT | 2046 |
| Colstrip 4 | 74 | 10% | MT | 2046 |
| Craig 1 | 82 | 19% | СО | 2025 |
| Craig 2 | 82 | 19% | СО | 2034 |
| Dave Johnston 1 | 106 | 100% | WY | 2027 |
| Dave Johnston 2 | 106 | 100% | WY | 2027 |
| Dave Johnston 3 | 220 | 100% | WY | 2027 |
| Dave Johnston 4 | 330 | 100% | WY | 2027 |
| Hayden 1 | 44 | 24% | СО | 2030 |
| Hayden 2 | 33 | 13% | СО | 2030 |
| Hunter 1 | 418 | 94% | UT | 2042 |
| Hunter 2 | 269 | 60% | UT | 2042 |
| Hunter 3 | 471 | 100% | UT | 2042 |
| Huntington 1 | 459 | 100% | UT | 2036 |
| Huntington 2 | 450 | 100% | UT | 2036 |
| Jim Bridger 1 | 354 | 67% | WY | SCR 2022 & Retire 2037 |
| Jim Bridger 2 | 359 | 67% | WY | SCR 2021 & Retire 2037 |
| Jim Bridger 3 | 349 | 67% | WY | 2037 |
| Jim Bridger 4 | 353 | 67% | WY | 2037 |
| Naughton 1 | 156 | 100% | WY | 2029 |
| Naughton 2 | 201 | 100% | WY | 2029 |
| Naughton 3 | 280 | 100% | WY | 2019 |
| Wyodak | 268 | 80% | WY | 2039 |



Case P-01 Coal Study Benchmark (Gas Retirements)

| Coal Unit | PacifiCorp Share Capacity Contribution (MW) | PacifiCorp Percentage Share | State | Retirement Year |
|---------------|--|-----------------------------|-------|-----------------|
| Chehalis | 512 | 100% | WA | 2043 |
| Currant Creek | 564 | 100% | UT | 2045 |
| Gadsby 1 | 64 | 100% | UT | 2032 |
| Gadsby 2 | 69 | 100% | UT | 2032 |
| Gadsby 3 | 105 | 100% | UT | 2032 |
| Gadsby 4 | 40 | 100% | UT | 2032 |
| Gadsby 5 | 40 | 100% | UT | 2032 |
| Gadsby 6 | 40 | 100% | UT | 2032 |
| Hermiston | 241 | 50% | OR | 2036 |
| Lake Side 1 | 569 | 100% | UT | 2047 |
| Lake Side 2 | 667 | 100% | UT | 2054 |





| | P-01 | P-02 | P-03 | P-04 | P-05 | P-06 |
|--|----------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Long Name | Coal Study Benchmark | Regional Haze Reference | Regional Haze Intertemporal (Depreciation Study) | Coal Study Stacked Case C-42 | Economic Retirement Alternative 1 | Economic Retirement Alternative 2 |
| Market | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| CO2 | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| FOT | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Transmission | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Energy Efficiency | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Allow New Gas | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Coal/Gas Retirements and Regional Haze SCR Obligations | Benchmark | Change from P-01: CH4 RET 2025 CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2026 HTR1 SCR 2022 HTR2 SCR 2023 HTG1 SCR 2022 HTG2 SCR 2023 WYD SCR 2024 | Change from P-01: CH4 RET 2025 CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2026 JB1 No SCR RET 2028 JB2 No SCR RET 2032 | Change from P-01: JB1-2 No SCR RET 2022 NT1-2 RET 2022 | Change from P-01: JB1-2 No SCR RET 2022 NT1-2 RET 2022 GBY1-3 RET 2020 | Change from P-01: CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2025 GBY1-3 RET 2020 JB1 No SCR RET 2022 JB2 No SCR RET 2032 NT3 Lg. GC 2020 RET 2029 |

| Case# | P-07 | P-08 | P-09 | P-10 | P-11 | P-12 |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| Long Name | Economic Retirement Alternative 3 | Naughton 3 Small Gas Conversion | Naughton 3 Large Gas Conversion | Economic Retirement Alternative 4 | Cholla 4 Early Retirement | Economic Retirement Alternative 5 |
| Market | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| CO2 | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| FOT | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Transmission | Base | Base | Base | Base | | Base |
| Energy Efficiency | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Allow New Gas | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Coal/Gas Retirements and Regional Haze SCR Obligations | Change from P-01: CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2025 GBY1-3 RET 2020 JB1 No SCR RET 2022 JB2 No SCR RET 2028 NT3 Lg. GC 2020 RET 2029 | Change from P-01: CH4 RET 2025 CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2026 JB1 No SCR RET 2028 JB2 No SCR RET 2032 NT3 Sm. GC 2020 RET 2029 | Change from P-01: CH4 RET 2025 CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2026 JB1 No SCR RET 2028 JB2 No SCR RET 2032 NT3 Lg. GC 2020 RET 2029 | Change from P-01: JB1-2 No SCR RET 2022 NT1-2 RET 2022 NT3 Lg. GC 2020 RET 2029 | Change from P-01: CH4 RET 2020 CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2026 JB1 No SCR RET 2028 JB2 No SCR RET 2032 NT3 Lg. GC 2020 RET 2029 | Change from P-01: CH4 RET 2025 CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2025 GBY1-3 RET 2020 JB1 No SCR RET 2022 JB2 No SCR RET 2028 NT3 Lg. GC 2020 RET 2029 |



| Case# | P-13 | P-14 | P-15 | P-16 | P-17 | P-18 |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Long Name | Bridger 1-2 SCRs | Economic Retirement Alternative 6 | Retire All Coal by 2030 | No CO2 Price | High CO2 Price | Social Cost of Carbon |
| Market | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| CO2 | Base | Base | Base | None | High | SCC |
| FOT | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Transmission | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Energy Efficiency | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Allow New Gas | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Coal/Gas Retirements and Regional Haze SCR Obligations | Change from P-01: CH4 RET 2025 CS3-4 RET 2027 CG2 RET 2026 JB1 SCR 2022 RET 2037 JB2 SCR 2021 RET 2037 NT3 GC 2020 RET 2029 | Only needed if P-13 has a lower PVRR than P-09. If needed, replicate assumptions from the case among cases P-01 through P-12 having the lowest PVRR. | Sarting with assumptions from the case among cases P-01 through P-14 having the lowest PVRR, stage coal unit retirements such that all coal is retired by the end of 2030. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. |

| Case# | P-19 | P-20 | P-21 | P-22 | P-23 | P-24 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Long Name | Low Gas | High Gas | Restricted FOTs | Energy Gateway West | Energy Gateway South | Energy Gateway West and South |
| Market | Low | High | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| CO2 | Base | Base | Base Base | | Base | Base |
| FOT | Base | Base | Restricted | Base | Base | Base |
| Transmission | Base | Base | Base | Add D.3 | Add F and D.1 | Add D.1, D.3, F |
| Energy Efficiency | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Allow New Gas | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Coal/Gas Retirements and Regional Haze SCR Obligations | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. |



| Case# | P-25 | P-26 | P-27 | P-28 | P-29 | P-XX |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|------|
| Long Name | Energy Gateway West and Boardman to Hemmingway | Boardman to Hemingway | Alternative Energy Efficiency | Colstrip 3-4 2025 Retirement | No Gas | TBD |
| Market | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| CO2 | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| FOT | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| Transmission | Add D.3, E, H | Add H | P-22 to P-26 | P-22 to P-26 | P-22 to P-26 | TBD |
| Energy Efficiency | Base | Base | Alternative Bundling | P-22 to P-26 or P-27 | P-22 to P-26 or P-27 | TBD |
| Allow New Gas | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | TBD |
| Coal/Gas Retirements and Regional Haze SCR Obligations | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 having the lowest PVRR. CS3-4 RET 2025 | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | TBD |



Overview of Resource Portfolios





Coal/Gas Resource Retirements





- Cases P-05, P-06, P-07, and P-12 assume Gadsby 1-3 (238 MW) are retired in 2020.
- By the end of 2037, 593 MW of gasfired capacity is assumed to retire in all cases (Gadsby 1-3 in either 2020 or 2032, Gadsby 4-6 in 2032, and Hermiston in 2036).
- Over the near-term, Cases P-04, P-05, and P-10 assume the highest volume of accelerated coal retirements (1,730 MW), followed by Cases P-06 and P-07 (1,018 MW), Cases P-01, P-11, P-12, and P-13 (631-667 MW), and Cases P-02, P-03, P-08, and P-09 (280 MW).
- Through 2027, coal retirements range between 1,504 MW (Case P-01) and 2,568 MW (Cases P-04, P-05, and P-10).
- By the end of 2037, coal retirements range between 4,337 MW and 4,485 MW--cases at the higher range reflect an accelerated retirement of Colstrip 3 and 4, which is otherwise assumed to retire beyond the 20-year planning horizon.

New Renewable and Storage Resources





- In the near term, all cases include 240 MW of new Wyoming wind and 205 MW of new Utah solar.
- Over the mid term, solar capacity increases consistently among the cases, ranging between 2,280 MW and 2,6145 MW—cases with higher levels of earlier coal and gas retirements show a need for battery resources (up to 1,035 MW in Case P-10).
- Over the long term, all cases include 3,810 MW of new wind—solar ranges between 4,430 MW and 5,534 MW; battery storage ranges between 2,732 MW and 3,712 MW.

Incremental Demand-Side Management





- Demand-side management selections are similar among each case and timeframe.
- Through 2022, Class 2 DSM selections range between 501 MW (Case P-03) and 524 MW (Cases P-10); Class 1 DSM ranges between 11 MW and 19 MW.
- Through 2027, Class 2 DSM selections range between 1,155 MW (Case P-03) and 1,214 MW (Case P-07); Class 1 DSM ranges between 45 MW and 181 MW—more Class 1 DSM is accelerated into the mid term in cases that assume the highest level of accelerated retirements (Cases P-04, P-05, and P-10).
- Through 2038, Class 2 DSM selections range between 2,173 MW (Case P-03) and 2,231 MW (Case P-10); Class 1 DSM ranges between 384 MW and 421 MW.

New/Incremental Natural Gas Resources





- Naughton 3 is assumed to convert to natural gas in eight cases (Cases P-06 through P-13)—the converted unit is assumed to retire at the end of 2029, so it does not show up in the results through 2038.
- The two cases with the highest amount of accelerated retirements without a Naughton 3 gas conversion (Cases C-04 and C-05) show the highest volume of new peaking gas over the mid term (by 2023)—it is likely not possible to procure new natural gas resources in this timeframe.
- Note, that cases assuming a larger conversion of Naughton 3 (247 MW) defer new natural gas resources to years beyond 2027.
- Through 2038, new peaking gas capacity ranges between 953 MW (Case P-11) and 1,508 MW (multiple cases).

Summer Front Office Transactions

1,000 1,500

500





- Cases with the highest volume of coal and gas unit retirements over the near to mid term, results in portfolios with a higher reliance on the market.
- In the 2023-2027 timeframe, a period where there are growing resource-adequacy concerns in the region, summer FOTs in Cases P-04, P-05, and P-10 are approximately 1.6 times higher than in Cases P-06, P-07, and P-12 and significantly higher than in Cases P-09, P-11, and P-13.
- Over the long term, the level of summer FOTs is relatively consistent among the cases—averaging 1,336 MW.

Winter Front Office Transactions





- Relative to the summer period, winter FOTs are much smaller among all cases and timeframes.
- Over the long term, winter FOTs are generally reduced as more flexible capacity is added to the system the reduction in winter FOTs shown in Case P-05 coincides with the acceleration of battery storage capacity into 2028 in this portfolio.

Nominal Initial Capital for New Generation and Transmission (2019-2038)



- These data represent total initial capital, based on resources and transmission upgrades in each case—total capital ranges between \$17.7 billion (Cases P-03 and P-09) and \$19.1 billion (Case P-10)—costs do not include capital for SCRs.
- These data do not necessarily represent the level of investment required by PacifiCorp—resources procured as a power-purchase agreement would require capital from third-party developers.
- These data are based on assumptions and modeled outcomes established for the 2019 IRP—the resource procurement process that follows this and future IRPs will establish the location, size, and level of investment associated with future generating resources and transmission upgrades.
- The cost of interstate transmission upgrades are not broken out by jurisdiction—these costs are included in the category "INT" in the chart legend—in each case this includes transmission projects that cross Wyoming, Colorado, and Utah and that cross Washington and Oregon.









(Regional Haze Intertemporal)







Case P-04 (Coal Study Stacked Case C-42) Bridger WY 2024 = 65 MW Solar 2026 = 262 MW Solar Walla Walla WA 2027 = 38 MW Solar Western WY/Naughton 2033 = 100 MW Solar 2028 = 16 MW Solar 2023 = 554 MW Gas Yakima WA 2033 = 200 MW Tx. to Yakima 2029 = 22 MW Solar 2025 = 100 MW Solar 2025 = 405 MW Solar 2037 = 15 MW Battery 2030 = 4 MW Solar 2025 = 100 MW Tx. Inter. 2025 = 405 MW Tx. Up. 2031 = 17 MW Solar 2028 = 370 MW Gas 2037 = 430 MW Solar 2032 = 18 MW Solar 2028 = 500 MW Tx. Inter. 2037 = 450 MW Tx. to S. OR 2033 = 27 MW Solar 2034 = 28 MW Solar Goshen ID 2037 = 161 MW Solar 2030 = 1,100 MW Wind 2037 = 40 MW Battery Southern OR 2030 = 800 MW Tx. to N. UT 2038 = 45 MW Solar 2023 = 645 MW Battery 2038 = 11 MW Battery 2025 = 975 MW Solar 2025 = 975 MW Tx. Inter. Eastern WY 2028 = 105 MW Battery 2021 = 240 MW Wind 5.5 1.5 J 2033 = 315 MW Battery 2028 = 620 MW Wind 2035 = 60 MW Battery 2032 = 1,850 MW Wind 2037 = 360 MW Battery 2032 = 1.500 MW Tx. to N. UT 2038 = 660 MW Battery Northern UT 2035 = 45 MW Battery Huntington UT Solar 2037 = 255 MW Battery 2037 = 195 MW Gas 2037 = 389 MW Gas **Coal Retirements** 2037 = 498 MW Solar 2037 = 500 MW Tx. Inter. Wind 2019 = NT3 (280 MW) 2037 = 49 MW Battery 2038 = 810 MW Battery 2020 = CH4 (387 MW) 2038 = 47 MW Solar 2022 = NT1-2 (357 MW) Battery Southern UT 2022 = JB1-2 (706 MW) 2021 = 146 MW Solar 2025 = CG1 (82 MW)**Pumped Hydro** 2021 = 300 MW Tx. Inter. 2027 = DJ1-4 (755 MW) 2022 = 59 MW Solar 2030 = HY1-2 (77 MW) 2023 = 67 MW Solar 2034 = CG2 (82 MW) Gas 2024 = 28 MW Solar 2036 = HTG1-2 (909 MW) 2024 = 7 MW Battery 2037 = JB3-4 (702 MW) Market 2026 = 500 MW Solar 2026 = 800 MW Tx. Inter. Transmission 2036 = 400 MW Solar

(Economic Retirement Alternative 1)



Bridger WY

(Economic Retirement Alternative 2)



(Economic Alternative Retirement 3)



(Naughton 3 Small Gas Conversion)



Case P-09 (Naughton 3 Large Gas Conversion)





(Economic Retirement Alternative 4)



Case P-11 (Cholla 4 Early Retirement)





(Economic Retirement Alternative 5)



Case P-13 (Bridger 1-2 SCRs)







Portfolio Cost and Risk Metrics





Portfolio Cost and Risk Summary



| | Sto | Stochastic Mean | | | isk Adjuste | d | ENS S | cenario A | verage | CO, Emissions | | |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|------|--------|-------------|------|--------|-----------|--------|---------------|----------|------|
| | | Change | | | Change | | Averag | | | Total | Change | |
| | | from | | | from | | е | Change | | CO2 | from | |
| | | Lowest | | | Lowest | | Annual | from | | Emission | Lowest | |
| | | Cost | | | Cost | | ENS, | Lowest | | s, 2019- | Emissio | |
| | | Portfoli | | | Portfoli | | 2019- | ENS | | 2038 | n | |
| | PVRR | 0 | | PVRR | 0 | | 2038 | Portfoli | | (Thousa | Portfoli | |
| Case | (\$m) | (\$m) | Rank | (\$m) | (\$m) | Rank | (GWh) | 0 | Rank | nd Tons) | 0 | Rank |
| P01 | 23,973 | 435 | 12 | 25,180 | 455 | 12 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 3 | 655,382 | 38,287 | 13 |
| P02 | 24,888 | 1,349 | 13 | 26,141 | 1,416 | 13 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 10 | 639,894 | 22,799 | 12 |
| P03 | 23 <i>,</i> 806 | 268 | 7 | 25,006 | 281 | 7 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 8 | 631,667 | 14,572 | 10 |
| P04 | 23,841 | 303 | 9 | 25,046 | 321 | 9 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 11 | 625,179 | 8,084 | 6 |
| P05 | 23,926 | 388 | 10 | 25,136 | 411 | 10 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 13 | 621,454 | 4,360 | 4 |
| P06 | 23,657 | 118 | 4 | 24,852 | 128 | 4 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 4 | 623,133 | 6,038 | 5 |
| P07 | 23,644 | 105 | 2 | 24,838 | 114 | 2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1 | 617,095 | 0 | 1 |
| P08 | 23,809 | 271 | 8 | 25,012 | 288 | 8 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 9 | 631,146 | 14,051 | 9 |
| P09 | 23,671 | 133 | 5 | 24,867 | 143 | 5 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 7 | 628,283 | 11,188 | 8 |
| P10 | 23,799 | 260 | 6 | 25,001 | 276 | 6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 2 | 618,743 | 1,648 | 3 |
| P11 | 23,539 | 0 | 1 | 24,725 | 0 | 1 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 12 | 626,540 | 9,446 | 7 |
| P12 | 23,655 | 117 | 3 | 24,851 | 126 | 3 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 6 | 618,641 | 1,547 | 2 |
| P13 | 23,936 | 397 | 11 | 25,141 | 417 | 11 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 5 | 639,245 | 22,150 | 11 |

Naughton 3 Small Gas Conversion (Regional Haze Intertemporal)





 This comparison shows the change in portfolio resources and system costs by year when isolating a Naughton 3 small gas conversion relative to early retirement (P-08 vs. P-03) using Regional Haze Intertemporal assumptions as a baseline.

Naughton 3 Large Gas Conversion (Regional Haze Intertemporal)





• This comparison shows the change in portfolio resources and system costs by year when isolating a Naughton 3 large gas conversion relative to retirement (P-09 vs. P-03) using Intertemporal Regional Haze assumptions as a baseline.

Cholla 4 Early Retirement (Regional Haze Intertemporal)





 This comparison shows the change in portfolio resources and system costs by year assuming an early retirement of Cholla in 2020 relative to retirement in 2025 (P-11 vs. P-09) using Intertemporal Regional Haze assumptions with a large conversion of Naughton 3 as a baseline.

Cholla 4 Early Retirement (Economic Retirement Alternative)





- This comparison shows the change in portfolio resources and system costs by year assuming an early retirement of Cholla 4 in 2020 relative to retirement in 2025 (P-07 vs. P-12) using alternative economic retirement assumptions as a baseline.
- Benefits of the 2020 retirement are lower when other coal retirements are accelerated relative to the Intertemporal Regional Haze set of assumptions.

Jim Bridger 1 and 2 SCR (Economic Retirement Alternative)





• This comparison shows the change in portfolio resources and system costs by year when assuming installation of SCRs on Bridger 1-2 relative to early retirement without SCRs (P-13 vs. P-03) using Intertemporal Regional Haze assumptions as a baseline.



Sensitivity Cases (June 2019)





Sensitivity Cases



| | S-01 | S-02 | S-03 | S-04 | S-05 | S-06 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Long Name | Low Load | High Load | 1 in 20 Load | Low Private Generation | High Private Generation | Business Plan |
| Market | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| CO2 | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Load | Low | High | 1 in 20 | Base | 1 in 20 | 1 in 20 |
| Private Generation | Base | Base | Base | Low | High | High |
| Resources | Optimized | Optimized | Optimized | Optimized | Optimized | Align 1st Three Years |
| Control Area | System | System | System | System | System | System |
| Customer Preference | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| QF Capacity | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| FOT | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base | Base |
| Transmission | P-22 to P-26 |
| Energy Efficiency | P-22 to P-26 or P-27 |
| Allow New Gas | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Coal/Gas Retirements and Regional Haze SCR Obligations | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. |

Sensitivity Cases



| | S-07 | S-08 | S-09 | S-XX |
|--|---|---|---|------|
| Long Name | West Control Area | No Customer Preference | High Customer Preference | TBD |
| Market | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| CO2 | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| Load | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| Private Generation | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| Resources | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| Control Area | WCA | System | System | TBD |
| Customer Preference | Base | None | High | TBD |
| QF Capacity | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| FOT | Base | Base | Base | TBD |
| Transmission | P-22 to P-26 | P-22 to P-26 | P-22 to P-26 | TBD |
| Energy Efficiency | P-22 to P-26 or P-27 | P-22 to P-26 or P-27 | P-22 to P-26 or P-27 | TBD |
| Allow New Gas | Yes | Yes | Yes | TBD |
| Coal/Gas Retirements and Regional Haze SCR Obligations | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | Adopt assumptions from the case among cases P- 01 through P-15 or P-28 having the lowest PVRR. | TBD |



Stakeholder Feedback Form Recap





2019 IRP vs. 2017 IRP Stakeholder Feedback Form Activity to Date





Stakeholder Feedback Forms



- 90 stakeholder feedback forms submitted to date.
- Stakeholder feedback forms and responses can be located at: <u>www.pacificorp.com/es/irp/irpcomments.html</u>.
- Depending on the type and complexity of the stakeholder feedback received responses may be provided in a variety of ways including, but not limited to, a written response, a follow-up conversation, or incorporation into subsequent public input meeting material.
- Stakeholder feedback following the most recent public input meeting is summarized on the following slides for reference.

Summary - Recent Stakeholder Feedback Forms

| Stakeholder | Date | Торіс | Brief Summary (complete form available online) | Response (posted online when available) |
|---|--------|-----------------------|--|--|
| OPUC | Apr 24 | Coal Studies | Request for explanation of "run-rate," SCR assumptions, regional haze variables, supply-side resource selection criteria, and questions related to coal studies next steps. | Provided explanations and clarifications to coal studies requests. |
| OPUC | Apr 24 | Transmission | Request for clarification on a range of transmission topics, including optional transmission upgrades, supply-side resources associated to transmission upgrades, brownfield locations, timing of Energy Gateway, Transmission presentations, and several FERC related questions. | Provided clarification, links to requested data, and transmission presentation. |
| National Grid | May 14 | Portfolio Analysis | Questions and recommendations regarding benchmark case, reliability assessment, and stacked retirement summary results. | Response targeted for week of May 27, 2019. |
| Wyoming Coalition of Local Gov'ts & Lincoln County | May 15 | Coal Analysis | Range of questions and recommendations related to PacifiCorp coal analysis. | Response targeted for week of May 27, 2019. |
| Wyoming Business Council | May 17 | Coal Analysis | Request PacifiCorp reconsider future of Naughton Power Plant in Kemmerer, Wyoming. | Response targeted for week of May 27, 2019. |



Additional Information and Next Steps







Draft Topics for Upcoming PIMs*

June 20-21, 2019*

- Portfolio Development Cases
- Sensitivity Cases
- Portfolio Selection Process
- Draft Preferred Portfolio
- Draft Action Plan
- Stakeholder Feedback Form Recap

July 18-19, 2019*

- Preferred Portfolio
- Action Plan
- Stakeholder Feedback Form Recap
- * Topics and timing are tentative and subject to change

Additional Information and Next Steps



- Public Input Meeting Presentation and Materials:
 - pacificorp.com/es/irp.html
- 2019 IRP Stakeholder Feedback Forms:
 - <u>pacificorp.com/es/irp/irpcomments.html</u>
- IRP Email / Distribution List Contact Information:
 - IRP@PacifiCorp.com
- Upcoming Public Input Meeting Dates:
 - June 20-21, 2019
 - July 18-19, 2019
 - August 1, 2019 2019 IRP File Date