

# PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

## 2021 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2019 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

	Date of Submittal	Click here to enter date.
*Name: Ernie Rogers	Title: Dec. 31, 2019	
*E-mail: Ernie.e.rogers@gmail.com	Phone: 801-899-5867	
*Organization: Utah Valley Earth Forum		
Address: 1735 Cherokee Dr.		
City: Pleasant Grove	State: UT	Zip: 84062
Public Meeting Date comments address: Click here to enter date.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check here if not related to specific meeting	
List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:	Click here to enter text.	

**\*IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments. For the 2021 IRP cycle. Comments to the 2020 Draft CPA SOW, due by January 3, 2020

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

**\*Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

Based on a review of the previous CPA, and the recently-concluded IRP, little attention has been given in the past for use of renewable-fuel power (RFP) generation or for conventional cogeneration (combined heat and power, or CHP). Both of these have the potential to improve the value of wind and solar power without the need for reliance on energy storage. Both RFP and CHP represent potential small, distributed power sources. As such, they can improve grid efficiency and resilience. Unless this aspect of grid planning is covered elsewhere, it should be included in the CPA.

### **PacifiCorp Response:**

PacifiCorp will address this at the January 21, 2020 Conservation Potential Assessment workshop.

**Data Support:** If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Click here to enter text.

**Recommendations:** Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Click here to enter text.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to [IRP@PacifiCorp.com](mailto:IRP@PacifiCorp.com)

Thank you for participating.

\* Required fields