

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2021 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2021 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2/20/2020

*Name: Sudeshna Pal

Title: Click here to enter text.

*E-mail: sudeshna@oregoncub.org

Phone: Click here to enter text.

*Organization: Oregon Citizens' Utility Board

Address: Click here to enter text.

City: Click here to enter text. State: Click here to enter text. Zip: Click here to enter text.

Public Meeting Date comments address: Click here to enter date. Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: Click here to enter text.

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

A. Demand Response in CPA PAC 2021 IRP

B. Pricing and Rates resources

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

1. Does DLC of Smart thermostats (currently offered for Residential customers) come with any low-income assistance? CUB supports this program but believes there should be some provision for low-income customers.

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp does not currently offer direct-load control of smart thermostats but may consider adding this program when economic to do so. PacifiCorp appreciates the suggestion for considering a low-income design for this program type.

2. Irrigation Load Control –We recommend that PacifiCorp should consider moving beyond the pilot program for Oregon.

PacifiCorp Response:

The Public Utilities Commission of Oregon recently approved a three-year extension of this pilot. Although still considered a pilot, the focus over the next three years will be significantly increasing enrollment from one megawatt (MW) to a five MW program.

3. We are supportive of Third Party Contracts for demand response. This has been PGE's one of the most successful demand response programs

PacifiCorp Response:

Thank you for this feedback. As PacifiCorp considers the scope for any request for proposal for demand-response programs, commercial and industrial third-party options will be considered.

* Required fields

4. Under Pricing and rates we recommend extending the TOU demand rate for electric vehicle users to Oregon. Xcel Energy in Minnesota is using this pricing mechanism for EVs without requiring a separate meter.

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp believes that the most appropriate forum for such retail pricing issues to be explored is in PacifiCorp's general rate case filing that is currently underway. PacifiCorp is seeking to redesign residential customer rates to more fairly reflect costs across difference usage levels, and remove disincentives for customers who make the choice to get an electric vehicle.

5. We support using Time of Use demand rates for residential application. This is a better alternative to putting demand charges.

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp encourages Oregon Citizen's Utility Board to engage this retail rate-related issue in the currently underway general rate case filing.

6. We think that CPP rate impacts should be estimate

PacifiCorp Response:

Since critical peak pricing (CPP) is not a firm resource option that PacifiCorp may rely upon to serve load, it believes that CPP, while potentially a valuable option for customers, is best explored in forums outside the integrated resource planning process.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Peak time rebates can be offered to all on an opt-in opt-out basis.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@Pacifcorp.com

Thank you for participating.