

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2021 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2021 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 5/4/2020

*Name: Nick Sayen

Title: Senior Utility Analyst

*E-mail: nick.sayen@state.or.us

Phone: 503-378-6355

*Organization: Oregon Public Utility Commission

Address: 201 High St. SE Suite 100

City: Salem

State: OR

Zip: 97301

Public Meeting Date comments address: [Click here to enter date.](#) Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: [Click here to enter text.](#)

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Demand response

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

At the August 16, 2016 OPUC Public Meeting PacifiCorp presented on the potential for demand response pilots. This presentation was a condition of Order 16-071. The link to this presentation is provided below. Slide 8 of the presentation notes that, with respect to residential smart thermostat control, a pilot/program of this type may require interval meters to measure baselines and savings; costs may be significantly lower after AMI is in deployed. AMI deployment in Oregon is now complete or nearly complete.

Have the costs for a residential smart thermostat control program been updated with AMI deployment complete?

PacifiCorp Response:

Some of the barriers to participation have been removed through advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) deployment. Rather than looking at program costs with and without AMI, for those conservation potential assessment (CPA) options that rely on (or are significantly enhanced by) AMI, those options were only analyzed after AMI is assumed to be deployed and so does not include any costs associated with the AMI rollout. A description of how AMI deployment was considered in the 2019 CPA starts on the bottom of page 18 in Volume 2 of that study.

For measures like a Bring Your Own Thermostat program where units are already communicating over Wi-Fi, AMI deployment would not impact the cost. In this case, AMI would primarily improve the accuracy of evaluation efforts but any cost implications of improved evaluation efforts have not been considered in measure costs.

* Required fields

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

https://oregonpuc.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?view_id=2&clip_id=116

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@Pacifcorp.com

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields