

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2021 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2021 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2020-09-09

*Name: Sudeshna Pal

Title:

*E-mail: sudeshna.pal@gmail.com

Phone: 2175525680

*Organization: Oregon CUB

Address: 9485 SW 151st Ave

City: Beaverton

State:

Zip: 97007

Public Meeting Date comments address: 08-28-2020

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Battery Storage and Demand Response

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

1. Will PacifiCorp perform a battery storage assessment by State or is it only the system as a whole? Will the IRP account for interactive effects of Direct Load Control and Price-based Demand Response programs?

PacifiCorp Response:

With regards to an assessment of the potential for behind the meter battery storage demand response (DR) programs, the intent is to develop state specific estimates. To determine the potential, the assessment will consider existing and forecast levels of customer generation adoption and the structure of the customer generation tariffs in each state.

2. Will the IRP account for interactive effects of Direct Load Control and Price-based Demand Response programs?

PacifiCorp Response:

Unlike Direct Load Control proxy programs, Price-based demand response programs are not modeled as resource options which the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) model can select. Customer participation in existing pricing programs is incorporated in the load forecast. Price based DR is dependent on the customer choosing to activate it based on the price and so it is not appropriate for the IRP to model it as a supply side resource because the capacity expansion model cannot determine whether customers would choose the product. Therefore it is more appropriate to include a forecast of these products in the load forecast. Since pricing program participation is included in the load forecast, direct load control programs are selected to operate with pricing programs. Future price based program designs are informed by the results of

* Required fields

the Conservation Potential Assessment, which includes energy efficiency, direct load control and price based demand response.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields