

# PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

## 2021 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2021 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2020-09-23

\*Name: Bryce Freeman

Title:

\*E-mail: bryce.freeman@wyo.gov

Phone: 3077775742

\*Organization: Wyoming Office of Consumer Advocate

Address: 2515 Warren Ave., Suite 304

City: Cheyenne

State: WY

Zip: 82002

Public Meeting Date comments address: 09-17-2020

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

**\*IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Carbon Capture, Utilization and Storage, Small Modular Reactors

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

**\*Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

At the September 17th meeting the Company indicated that it would be willing to more thoroughly evaluate both CCUS and SMR technology with an eye toward giving the model the option to choose those technologies in the portfolio development process. The OCA believes strongly that the Company should do so and further, that CCUS and SMR technologies should be treated similarly to other technologies such as wind, solar and battery storage. More specifically, even though CCUS and SMR have not been deployed at scale to date, there is considerable reason to believe that they will be and that successive installations will bend the cost curve down, as has been the case with wind and solar. The Company should assume a learning curve for both of these technologies that stakeholders can vet.

### **PacifiCorp Response:**

PacifiCorp continues to explore small modular reactor (SMR) nuclear as well as carbon capture, use and sequestration (CCUS). PacifiCorp will also work to identify relevant and reliable information regarding the learning curve for each of these technologies. PacifiCorp will continue to work with interested parties to determine the feasibility of third-party CCUS and enhanced oil recovery project at prospective generating facilities.

\* Required fields

**Data Support:** If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

---

**Recommendations:** Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

---

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to [IRP@PacifiCorp.com](mailto:IRP@PacifiCorp.com)

Thank you for participating.

\* Required fields