

# PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

## 2021 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2021 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2020-09-29

\*Name: Kaeci Daniels

Title:

\*E-mail: kaeci.daniels1@wyo.gov

Phone: (307) 777 - 5701

\*Organization: Wyoming Public Service Commission

Address: 2515 Warren Ave. Suite 300

City: Cheyenne

State: WY

Zip: 82002

Public Meeting Date comments address: 09-17-2020

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

---

**\*IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Portfolio development

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

---

**\*Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

1. Price-policy scenarios to analyze: Develop a low CO2 price, Medium gas/no CO2 scenario, Medium gas/low CO2 scenario, High gas/low CO2 scenario. Why is there no weighted average price policy?

**PacifiCorp Response:**

PacifiCorp designs its scenarios to reflect an expected case (the “base case”) and a reasonable range of alternative outcomes around the expected case. PacifiCorp does not have a reasonable means to assign probabilities to long range uncertainties, which would be highly subjective.

2. Dispatchability/flexible resource credit for coal, gas, nuclear and battery - only when paired with coal, gas, nuclear, or hydro - Potentially bring back intra-hour resource credit.

**PacifiCorp Response:**

Unless there are cost savings associated with co-location, such as with solar, then modeling co-location does not provide any incremental benefits to the portfolio-development process. While building storage on an existing site may provide cost savings, co-locating battery with coal or nuclear would not increase the value of the coal or nuclear resource. It would only potentially make the battery cheaper to build at that site. The coal or nuclear resource would still be competing just as before against other resource alternatives (i.e., renewables, front office transactions (FOTs), etc.) with unchanged costs.

\* Required fields

3. Is the life of renewable resources reasonable? Please provide some data on this from rate cases/CPCN\u0019s with the WPSC.

**PacifiCorp Response:**

The design lives of the renewable resources included in the Supply-Side Resource Table for the 2021 IRP are based on data provided by Burns & McDonnell in the 2020 Renewable Resources Assessment. The 2020 Renewable Resources Assessment will be available on PacifiCorp's IRP website: <https://www.pacificorp.com/energy/integrated-resource-plan/support.html>.

4. How will retirement dates be optimized? Please provide the Burns & McDonald report to the WPSC.

**PacifiCorp Response:**

The 2020 Renewable Resources Assessment does not address how retirement dates will be optimized. PacifiCorp will discuss its approach to portfolio development including the modeling and consideration of potential coal retirement scenarios as the November 16, 2020 public input meeting.

5. Please include in the IRP an analysis of available market supply (FOTs) over the planning period.

**PacifiCorp Response:**

PacifiCorp discussed its 2021 IRP front office transaction planning limits during the October 22, 2020 public input meeting. These limits are annual limits applied over the twenty-year planning period.

---

**Data Support:** If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

---

**Recommendations:** Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

---

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to [IRP@PacifiCorp.com](mailto:IRP@PacifiCorp.com)

Thank you for participating.