

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2021 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2021 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2/10/2021

*Name: Daney Brauchie

Title: [Click here to enter text.](#)

*E-mail: daney.brauchie@wyo.gov

Phone: [Click here to enter text.](#)

*Organization: Wyoming Public Service Commission

Address: [Click here to enter text.](#)

City: [Click here to enter text.](#)

State: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Zip: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Public Meeting Date comments address: _____ Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: _____

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Decommissioning Studies and Reassignment Filings

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

Commission Staff Feedback for PacifiCorp 2021 IRP: Public Interest Meeting #7 (Jan 29, 2021)

1. Is the 2021 IRP going to consider the costs and/or reliability effects of the Kiewit decommissioning studies?
 - a. If so, please explain the extent to which these effects are considered in the 2021 IRP.

PacifiCorp Response:

The 2021 IRP will include Base Estimate demolition costs from the 2019 decommissioning study for the coal-fueled generating units modeled in Plexos. The decommissioning costs are input into Plexos as part of a property called "Retirement Cost" in thousands of dollars.

2. Will the 2021 IRP also consider the \u001COther Items to Consider\u001D mentioned in the Kiewit decommissioning studies?
 - a. If so, please explain the extent to which these effects are considered in the 2021 IRP.

PacifiCorp Response:

The 2021 IRP will consider the costs of fuel contracts and take-or-pay provisions for early coal plant retirements. Beyond these fuel cost considerations, the 2021 IRP will not include Other Items to Consider from the 2019 decommissioning study for the coal-fueled generating assets.

* Required fields

3. In addition to the other items to consider, will the modeling assume a contingency percentage as recommended in the Kiewit decommissioning studies?
 - a. If not, please explain why not.

PacifiCorp Response:

No, the 2021 IRP will not include a contingency from the 2019 decommissioning study for the coal-fueled generating assets when those assets are modeled in Plexos.

As indicated in the study report, contingency for this type of project would typically be 15-25% of the total estimate. The AACE Class 3 estimate has a range of uncertainty of -20% to +30% and is based on a 10%-40% definition of the total scope of work, thus the typical contingency amount is already included in the range of uncertainty for the estimate. To add an additional 15-25%, with no basis, only inflates the estimated cost. With only 10%-40% of scope of work defined there is not enough detailed scope of work to properly identify the project risks and access an appropriate value for the contingency.

Contingency costs will be considered in later studies when the scope of work is further defined.

4. Please run the following modeling scenarios that consider the possibility that, as a result of the upcoming Reassignment Filings resulting from the Pacific Power Exit Orders, all RMP jurisdictional States (WY, ID, UT) decline additional load and costs. The modeling scenarios should include cost effects and system reliability concerns.
 - a. Craig Unit 1 2025 OR Exit date
 - b. Craig Unit 2 2026 OR Exit date
 - c. Jim Bridger Unit 1 2023 WA Exit Date
 - d. Jim Bridger Units 2-4 2025 WA Exit Date
 - e. Colstrip Units 3-4, 2025 (Potential) OR Exit Date
 - f. Colstrip Units 3-4, 2027 OR Exit Date
 - g. Dave Johnston Units 1-4, 2027 OR Exit Date
 - h. Jim Bridger Unit 1, 2023 OR Exit Date
 - i. Naughton 2-4, 2025 OR Exit Date

PacifiCorp Response:

Thank you for this feedback. PacifiCorp will consider incorporating these recommendations into the IRP modeling process, balanced with other stakeholder requests and time constraints. This modeling may also be considered throughout the multi-state process as discussions continue throughout 2021 in advance of the reassignment filings in early 2022.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Links to relevant periodical included in staff feedback document.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated. Please see staff feedback document.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields

* Required fields