

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2021 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2021 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 5/25/2021

*Name: Phillip Russell

Title: Attorney

*E-mail: prussell@jdrslaw.com

Phone: Click here to enter text.

*Organization: Utah Association of Energy Users

Address: Click here to enter text.

City: Click here to enter text.

State: Click here to enter text. Zip: _____

Public Meeting Date comments address: 5/27/2021

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: _____

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** 1. IRP schedule and stakeholder input into resource portfolios. 2. Integration of 2020AS RFP results into 2021 IRP resource portfolios

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

1. Schedule--The delay in completing resource portfolios and the cancellation of the April and May public input meetings provides very little opportunity for stakeholders to provide input and exchange information with the Company in the development of its Action Plan. In its Reply comments filed with the Utah PSC supporting its application to extend the IRP filing deadline to September 1, the Company stated that the extension would allow it to "continue to facilitate robust and inclusive stakeholder participation that accompanies the portfolio modeling process." In that same filing, the Company indicated that it would present modeled portfolios at the then-scheduled April meeting dates and that portfolios would continue to be developed and discussed at the May, June, July and (if necessary) August meeting dates. How does the Company plan to meet its obligation to provide for ample stakeholder feedback regarding resource portfolios with only the June, July and August meetings dates remaining? It appears that there will be very little time to iterate on the resource portfolios that are presented for the first time to stakeholders at the June public input meeting--assuming any resource portfolios can be completed by that time.

PacifiCorp Response:

PacifiCorp continues to validate and test the new modeling system and is working as expediently as possible to have the initial portfolio results ready to discuss as part of the public-input process. The company is currently running preliminary modeling results and validation around the clock, and wants the best, most current information to be included in the preliminary portfolio discussions.

* Required fields

The company is confident that a scheduled two-day meeting in June, a two-day meeting in July, and a scheduled meeting date in August will allow for comprehensive discussion of the modeling outputs and progress and that meeting before the company is able to assess or analyze portfolio results is not a constructive use of time and has the potential to cause harm to our customers, communities, employees and stakeholders at the risk of incomplete or inaccurate information. In addition to the remaining three public-input meetings currently scheduled, the company will continue to be responsive to questions and recommendations received through the stakeholder feedback form process.

The portfolio modeling approach was outlined in detail and discussed at the December 2020 public-input meeting. As unique data sets and inputs to the model are necessary to consider the variety of endogenous options available to the model, it is not anticipated that there would be significant alteration or additional portfolios studied beyond further scenarios and sensitivities required as part of the IRP development process or at stakeholder request where practicable.

As the portfolio modeling and stakeholder feedback processes progress, PacifiCorp will continue to seek stakeholder feedback and may also include additional opportunities for stakeholder input, if needed.

2. Integration of RFP results--How (if at all) does the Company plan to integrate the results of the 2020AS RFP into the 2021 IRP process? Will the Company require the PLEXOS IRP model to assume that the RFP final short list projects (and attendant transmission projects) will be built on the schedule assumed in the RFP? Alternatively, will the Company allow PLEXOS to choose (or not choose) the projects selected in the 2020AS RFP when developing resource portfolios? Will the Company attempt to account for the fact that the RFP results were reached using different models than the IRP models and, if so, how? What happens if the PLEXOS model selects a different set of resources than those selected in the RFP?

PacifiCorp Response:

The RFPs have their own process with the bid evaluation and selection approach outlined in the RFP approved by the UT and OR commissions. As such, PacifiCorp will incorporate the results of the 2020 AS RFP final short list into the 2021 IRP modeling system. The 2021 IRP modeling assumes that the final short list projects will be built on the schedule assumed in the RFP. PacifiCorp can further evaluate a sensitivity to identify if there are any material changes to bid selections and address those during negotiations accordingly. PacifiCorp plans for a discussion of the RFP final short list at the June 24-25, 2021 public-input meeting.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

1. Schedule--Please state whether the Company will hold the meetings tentatively scheduled for August and explain whether the August meeting dates, which are only two weeks after the July meeting dates, would allow the Company to make additional modeling runs based on input provided at the July meetings.
 2. Integration of RFP Results--Please provide responses to the questions raised in the comment section above.
-

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields