

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2023 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2023 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2022-07-21

*Name: Hunter Holman

Title: _____

*E-mail: hholman12@gmail.com

Phone: (801) 244 - 9227

*Organization: Utah Clean Energy, SWEEP

Address: _____

City: _____

State: _____

Zip: _____

Public Meeting Date comments address: _____

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: _____

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

How IIJA programs may affect IRP portfolio outcomes

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

2023 IRP Feedback Form: Requests for DSM and DR planning for PCorp 2023 IRP

Utah Clean Energy and SWEEP have the following requests for the Company as part of the 2023 IRP:

- Develop three DSM sensitivities (low, medium, and high) that are consistent with the bullets below. To accomplish this, we request that you include incremental amounts of low, medium, and high-cost measures in the 2023 CPA and run sensitivities that achieve the percentage of savings listed below in items 1, 2, and 3. The purpose of adding measures to the CPA is to ensure that the model does not select measures that will never be cost-competitive with other supply side resources. We believe your CPA has historically underrepresent the amount of cost-effective DSM measures, see UCE and SWEEP's 2021 IRP Comments. We would like to see modeling results with CPA technically achievable potential measures that are more consistent with other utilities in the southwest. We are happy to have a conversation with PacifiCorp staff to better design the modeling of these scenarios:
 1. The "high" scenario should be aligned with DSM savings level that are comparable with the level of DSM in leading utilities nationwide, approximately 2.25% of retail sales per year.
 2. The "medium" scenario should be aligned with DSM savings that are comparable with the level of DSM in leading southwest utilities, approximately 1.75% of retail sales per year.

* Required fields

3. The "low" scenario should be aligned with DSM savings levels that have been achieved and reported by PacifiCorp in the previous 5-10 years.
 4. Report the forecasted level of DSM selected by year (MWh/year), the costs of DSM (\$/kWh), and cost-effectiveness (UCT) by year.
- Conduct a comparison of the 2015, 2017, 2019, and 2021 CPA results (total technical potential and technically achievable potential, MWh/year) against historical DSM savings achieved for the same years in MWh/year, cost (\$/MWh) and cost effectiveness (using the UCT) by year. Also include the forecasted level of DSM as a percentage of forecasted retail sales for each year for total technical potential and technically achievable potential.
 - Clarify how existing and incremental energy savings from behavioral programs (such as Home Energy Reports) will be accounted for in the IRP if the model selects them. How are the existing energy savings from Utah's HER program accounted for in the 2023 IRP?
-

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.