

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2023 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2023 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2023-02-23

*Name: Don Hendrickson

Title: _____

*E-mail: dhendrickson@energystrat.com

Phone: 8016521292

*Organization: Utah Association of Energy Users

Address: 111 Broadway Suite 1200

City: SLC

State: _____

Zip: 84111

Public Meeting Date comments address: _____

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: _____

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

How is nuclear fuel accounted for in the IRP modeling?

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

2021 IRP modeling does not explicitly model nuclear fuel for the small modular nuclear resource option. Dan MacNeil indicated that nuclear fuel is not modeled specifically but is considered in the Operating costs. Is the nuclear fuel costs considered in variable O&M cost assumption or in the fixed O&M cost assumption, or in some other way. Also, can you provide an indication of the cost per MWh the nuclear fuel is assumed to be regardless of where the cost is located in the cost assumptions?

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

PacifiCorp Response (4/4/23):

Consistent with the 2021 IRP, PacifiCorp included nuclear fuel and spent nuclear fuel costs in the Variable Operations and Maintenance (VOM) cost. The fuel costs used in the VOM are confidential, but publicly available data is available from the United States Energy Information Administration (https://www.eia.gov/electricity/annual/html/epa_08_04.html).

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

* Required fields

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields