

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2023 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2023 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2024-01-11

*Name: Peter Gross

Title: _____

*E-mail: orcabay@sisna.com

Phone: _____

*Organization: Customer of RMP

Address: 643 Dragonfly TRL

City: Moab

State: UT

Zip: 84532

Public Meeting Date comments address: _____

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: _____

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Nuclear power

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

Frankly, I was astonished to read that Rocky Mountain Power is contemplating replacing coal plants in Emery County with small nuclear reactors. The nuclear industry has a half century history of massive cost overruns and multi-year construction delays of its own making. The nuclear industry has tried to reinvent itself for at least a quarter century. All four of the only nuclear reactor construction starts in the U.S. this century fell a decade behind schedule and suffered multi-billions in cost overruns. Virgil C Summer Units 2 and 3 were simply abandoned. The nuclear industry gravitated to larger capacity reactors from the outset for economic reasons. This is not unique to the United States. Flamanville Unit 3 in France and Olkiluoto Unit 3 in Finland have both come in triple to quadruple the already expensive original cost estimates while falling at least a decade behind schedule. So called SMRs remain unproven with a dubious future. Meanwhile, wind and especially solar costs continue to plummet. I urge RMP not to gamble on the nuclear folly and follow through with its wind and solar plans.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

<https://www.energymonitor.ai/power/weekly-data-renewables-overtake-nuclear-in-global-electricity-mix/?cf-view> <https://www.colorado.edu/cas/2022/04/12/even-china-cannot-rescue-nuclear-power-its-woes#:~:text=This%20decline%20is%20a%20result%20of%20nuclear%20power%E2%80%99s,electric%20grid%E2%80%94and%20they%20cost%20a%20lot%20to%20operate.>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_canceled_nuclear_reactors_in_the_United_States#Cancelled_nuclear_reactors

* Required fields

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flamanville_Nuclear_Power_Plant#Unit_3
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Olkiluoto_Nuclear_Power_Plant#Unit_3

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

PacifiCorp Response 1/22/24:

Thank you for participating in the PacifiCorp 2025 IRP stakeholder process. Nuclear resources considered in the 2023 IRP have been intentionally limited to years outside of the action plan window with the understanding that while nuclear is an existing fuel technology, the Sodium project has a long lead time that requires continued evaluation of its potential. Ongoing negotiations are commercially sensitive, and any future contracts will be structured to minimize risks and costs for PacifiCorp's customers.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields