

# PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

## 2025 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2025 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will generally post all appropriate feedback on the IRP website unless you request otherwise, below.

Date of Submittal 2024-05-06

\*Name: Shannon Anderson

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

\*E-mail: sanderson@powderriverbasin.org

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

\*Organization: Powder River Basin Resource Council

Address: 934 N. Main St.

City: Sheridan

State: WY

Zip: 82801

Public Meeting Date comments address: 05-02-2024

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

Shannon Anderson

**\*IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

2023 IRP Update

Check here if you do **not** want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

**\*Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

At the May 2, 2024 PIM it was stated by PacifiCorp representatives that the preferred portfolio selection of carbon capture at Bridger Units 3&4 is unachievable. As such, we request PacifiCorp to issue an errata document to the 2023 IRP Update that explains this error to regulators, stakeholders, and the power plant community. Please also explain how these incorrect results are being addressed within the scope of the 2025 IRP for load and resource balance assumptions.

**Data Support:** If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

**Recommendations:** Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated. See above. We request an errata be issued related to Bridger 3&4. Thank you.

### PacifiCorp Response (5/16/24):

A change in assumptions regarding the timing of implementation of carbon capture on Jim Bridger 3 & 4 occurred after the results of the 2023 integrated resource plan update were produced. It is not practical to issue an errata for model assumptions that change after an IRP or an update is completed. As is the case with all assumptions, assumptions related to carbon capture at Bridger Units 3 and 4 will be refreshed for the 2025 IRP.

\* Required fields

---

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to [IRP@PacifiCorp.com](mailto:IRP@PacifiCorp.com)

Thank you for participating.

\* Required fields