

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference call, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will post appropriate feedback on the IRP website based on your selection below.

Date of Submittal 2024-08-20

*Name: John Jenks

Title: _____

*E-mail: john.jenks1@wyo.gov

Phone: 3078232403

*Organization: Wyoming Energy Authority

Address: 1912 Capitol Ave #305

City: Cheyenne State: _____ Zip: 82001

Public Meeting Date comments address: 08-14-2024 Check here if related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

2025 IRP Study List Update

Check here if you want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

At the August 14, 2024 IRP Stakeholder Meeting, PacifiCorp representatives were giving updates on various IRP studies and particularly the sensitives given to each state. For Wyoming in particular, there is a line that reads, "Business as usual." I asked a clarifying question as to what is meant by, "Business as usual." I was curious if this meant projected load growth both in the state and throughout the service territory was being considered because if it is, there could be some concern regarding study sensitives being labeled as constant or "business as usual," especially in terms of considerations with generation resources. There was quite a bit of confusion and vagueness here and the RMP representatives weren't quite sure, either. Unfortunately, the recording is missing this part on the YouTube videos, too. So largely, can PacifiCorp please clarify what is meant and what assumption are being used for "business as usual?" Thank you. OP

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated. PacifiCorp should clarify and clearly articulate the assumptions being used for "business as usual" in Wyoming and how this is affecting the modeling for the 2025 IRP.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@PacifiCorp.com

* Required fields

Thank you for participating.

PacifiCorp Response (9/10/2024):

Thank you for your feedback and engagement in the Integrated Resource Planning process.

Per the Wyoming Public Service Commission's (WPSC) 2019 Investigation Order (DOCKET NO. 90000-144-XI-19, and DOCKET NO. 90000-147-XI-19), "reference case" is the formal terminology for the business-as-usual study. Regarding this study, the WPSC mandates the following:

In the anticipated 2021 IRP, and in IRPs and updates thereto filed by the Company thereafter, Rocky Mountain Power shall:

- a) Include a Reference Case based on the 2017 IRP Updated Preferred Portfolio, incorporating updated assumptions, such as load and market prices and any known changes to system resources and only incorporate environmental investments or costs required by current law;

It is therefore not acceptable to hold load constant. PacifiCorp supports the commission's language as being necessary to produce a study that reflects a reference case which accounts for known commitments, requirements and key updates that have occurred since the 2017 IRP Update. Primarily, PacificCorp adheres to this required study, as defined by the commission, by aligning thermal retirement options in the model to those represented in the outcome of the 2017 IRP Update preferred portfolio. The study is also based on a price-policy scenario that does not have a CO2 proxy adder, which in past IRPs is referred to as the medium-gas, no CO2 (MN) scenario.

In the 2025 IRP, PacifiCorp expects to produce a business-as-usual (BAU) systemwide study for its reference case using updated inputs and forecasts, including an updated load forecast. End-of-life retirements will be assumed for all thermal resources that have not already committed to a specific future such as an established retirement date.