

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference call, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In order to maintain open communication and provide the broader Stakeholder community with useful information, the Company will post appropriate feedback on the IRP website based on your selection below.

Date of Submittal 2026-01-07

*Name: Yao Yin

Title: _____

*E-mail: yao.yin@puc.idaho.gov

Phone: _____

*Organization: Idaho Public Utilities Commission

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Public Meeting Date comments address: _____ Check here if related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: _____

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

December 17, 2025 Meeting: 1. Load Forecast and 2. QF Capacity Change.

Check here if you want your Stakeholder feedback and accompanying materials posted to the IRP website.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

December 17, 2025 Meeting: 1. Load Forecast: a. For large load customers, does the Company include signed contracts or Commission-approved contracts? b. Are there any large load customers that are not paired with resources in the load forecast? c. Are the paired resources adequate to cover 100% of their load? d. Can the paired resources be greater than large load? 2. QF Capacity Change: Are the numbers in Slide 24 based on the entire planning horizon? Please provide examples to illustrate how the numbers are calculated.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Please submit your completed Stakeholder Feedback Form via email to IRP@Pacifcorp.com

Thank you for participating.

PacifiCorp Response:

1. Please refer to stakeholder feedback form 2027.005 from the Utah Association of Energy Users, which addresses several of these questions. Large loads are included in the load forecast when committed, that is, once a contract has been formalized by both parties. In the 2025 IRP and 2027 IRP, for modeling purposes, resources that may (in

* Required fields

reality) be paired with a large load are modeled to serve energy within the general constraints of the model. This means that for eligible large load included in the load forecast, any paired resources may be “marked” as paired with the load, but these resources generate energy based on the dispatch model. These resources may be sized differently than the large load on a nameplate capacity basis depending on regulatory and contractual considerations, particularly with regard to the role of storage and formerly curtailed energy in meeting a specific load.

2. Slide 25 of the posted slide deck (not slide 24) shows the change in contracted QF capacity between the 2025 IRP and the 2025 IRP update. The changes in megawatts are only the current contract status as of December 2025, and do not account for contract duration.