

State of California – Natural Resources Agency OFFICE OF ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE SAFETY 715 P Street, 20th Floor | Sacramento, CA 95814 916 902-6000 | www.energysafety.ca.gov

Gavin Newsom, Governor Caroline Thomas Jacobs, Director

TRANSMITTED VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

DATA REQUEST

- Request Date: Tuesday August 8, 2023
- Response Due: Friday August 11, 2023
- To: Pooja Kishore, Regulatory Affairs Manager PacifiCorp Pooja.Kishore@pacificorp.com
- **Originator:** Jessica McHale, Wildfire Safety Analyst jessica.mchale@energysafety.ca.gov

Data Request Number: OEIS-P-WMP_2023-PC-004

Subjects:

Q01. Risk Spend Efficiency	/ (RSE)
----------------------------	---------

- Q02. Mitigation Rankings
- Q03. PSPS Decision-Making
- Q04. Emergency Preparedness Performance Metrics
- Q05. Internal Exercises for Emergency Events
- Q06. Protocols for Emergency Communication to Stakeholder Groups
- Q07. Planned Covered Conductor Projects

INSTRUCTIONS

- a. Provide all information in your possession, custody, or control, or the possession, custody, and/or control of your affiliates or agents, that is responsive to these data requests by the due date identified above.
- b. Responses and documents may be produced and served electronically, but they must be fully machine-readable and searchable.
- c. If you have any questions about the meaning or scope of the data requests herein, direct such questions to the Energy Safety staff identified as the "Originator" of this request at your earliest opportunity.
 - i. Lack of clarity on meaning or scope of requests without prior request for clarification from the "Originator" will not be a permissible reason for incomplete responses and will be regarded as non-compliance with the request.
- Identify the personnel (e.g., employees, consultants, agents, etc.) who provided information responsive to each of the data requests below. As used in this context herein, "identify" means to provide the full name, business address, and title of each employee, consultant, or agent who provided such information.
- e. If you do not know the exact answer to any of the requests below, please so indicate and provide your best estimate.
- f. Provide data in its original format (e.g., PDF, Excel, GIS shapefile, etc.), unless otherwise specified in the request.
- g. Send your response to Jessica McHale (jessica.mchale@energysafety.ca.gov), sending a copy to:
 - i. Nicole Dunlap (<u>nicole.dunlap@energysafety.ca.gov</u>)
 - ii. Jolynne Flores (jolynne.flores@energysafety.ca.gov)
 - iii. Luis Medina (<u>luis@level4ventures.com</u>)
 - iv. Alan Solomon (alan.solomon@energysafety.ca.gov)
 - v. Andie Biggs (andie.biggs@energysafety.ca.gov)
 - vi. Ethan Campos (<u>ethan.campos@energysafety.ca.gov</u>)

REQUEST

Q01. Regarding Risk Spend Efficiency (RSE)

- a. In its WMP, PacifiCorp provides hypothetical examples of how it calculates RSE. Please explain how PacifiCorp calculates RSE values in practice.
 - i. Are mitigation values based on percentage rules of thumb or another means?

Q02. Regarding Mitigation Rankings

a. Please provide a table of ranked mitigations as well as an explanation of any instances where PacifiCorp has made mitigation decisions or choices that did not follow this ranking, including the justification for these decisions if applicable.

Q03. Regarding PSPS Decision-Making

- a. It appears PacifiCorp is not able to use data or analytics to support PSPS decisions at this time. Is this an accurate assertion?
 - i. If not, please elaborate on what drives PacifiCorp's PSPS decision-making.
 - (a) What are the criteria?
 - (b) Does PacifiCorp use checklists, scorecards, or other metrics?

Q04. Regarding Emergency Preparedness Performance Metrics

- a. In Table 8-35 of its WMP (page 251), PacifiCorp lists "n/a" for 2020, 2021, and 2022 for its performance metric "Percentage of Wildfire/PSPS events followed by an After-Action Review or feedback process."
 - i. Please confirm whether "n/a" is applicable here (i.e., no wildfire or PSPS events were followed by an After-Action Review (AAR) or other feedback process in 2020, 2021, or 2022).
 - (1) If this was an error and AARs were performed following wildfire or PSPS events in 2020, 2021, and/or 2022, please provide an updated Table 8-35 with the correct information. Please also provide any AAR documentation or reports, if applicable.

Q05. Regarding Internal Exercises for Emergency Events

- a. In Table 8-41 of its WMP (pages 263-264), PacifiCorp lists internal exercises for different types of emergency events, most of which are discussion-based.
 - i. Does PacifiCorp conduct any internal exercises specific to wildfire events?
 - (1) If so, please provide these exercises, providing details for each as required by Table 8-41 (e.g., category, exercise title and type, purpose, etc.).

- (2) If not, do PacifiCorp's internal exercises for its or "ECC" events pertain to all emergency events, including wildfire, PSPS, etc.?
- ii. Are all exercises listed in PacifiCorp's Table 8-41 hosted/conducted by PacifiCorp?
 - (1) If not, please list any exercises for which PacifiCorp participates in but does host/conduct.

Q06. Regarding Protocols for Emergency Communication to Stakeholder Groups

- a. In Table 8-49 of PacifiCorp's WMP (pages 282-284), most of the "Means to Verify Message Receipt" column is filled in as "N/A".
 - i. For those that are filled in as "N/A", is it a correct assumption that PacifiCorp does not have a means to verify receipt of these emergency communications?
 - (1) If this is correct, does PacifiCorp have plans to verify message receipt for these communications in the future? If so, please elaborate on these plans.
 - (2) If not a correct assumption, please provide an updated Table 8-49 with the correct information.

Q07. Regarding PacifiCorp's Planned Covered Conductor Projects

- a. For all covered conductor projects PacifiCorp currently has planned, provide the following information via spreadsheet:
 - i. Circuit or circuit segment ID
 - ii. HFTD Tier (Non-HFTD, Tier 2, or Tier 3)
 - iii. Associated circuit risk score
 - iv. Associated circuit risk ranking
 - v. Length of project in miles
 - vi. Current project status (engineering, design, construction, etc.)
 - vii. Expected year of completion
 - viii. Initial planned year of completion, if project was delayed
 - ix. Reason for project delay, if applicable (permitting, supply chain, etc.)

END OF REQUEST