State of California – Natural Resources Agency OFFICE OF ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE SAFETY 715 P Street, 20th Floor | Sacramento, CA 95814 916 902-6000 | www.energysafety.ca.gov

Gavin Newsom, Governor Caroline Thomas Jacobs, Director

TRANSMITTED VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

DATA REQUEST

Request Date: September 8, 2022

Response Due: September 13, 2022

To: Megan Buckner

Director of Wildfire Mitigation Program Delivery

Megan.Buckner@PacifiCorp.com

PacifiCorp

825 NE Multnomah St, Suite 2000, Portland, OR 97232

CC: Pooja Kishore (<u>Pooja.Kishore@pacificorp.com</u>)

Amy McCluskey (Amy.McCluskey@pacificorp.com)

Bruce Dao (bruce.dao@pacificorp.com)

Originator: Joan Weber, Wildfire Safety Sr Utilities Engineer

<u>Joan.Weber@energysafety.ca.gov</u>

Data Request Number: OEIS-PC-22-003

Subjects:

Q01. Covered Conductor Implementation (follow-up questions)

Q02. Pole Replacement Implementation

Q03. Provide RSE Values

INSTRUCTIONS

- a. Provide all information in your possession, custody, or control, or the possession, custody, and/or control of your affiliates or agents, that is responsive to these data requests by the due date identified above.
- b. Responses and documents may be produced and served electronically, but they must be fully machine-readable and searchable.
- c. If you have any questions about the meaning or scope of the data requests herein, direct such questions to the Energy Safety staff identified as the "Originator" of this request at your earliest opportunity.
 - i. Lack of clarity on meaning or scope of requests without prior request for clarification from the "Originator" will not be a permissible reason for incomplete responses and will be regarded as non-compliance with the request.
- d. Identify the personnel (e.g., employees, consultants, agents, etc.) who provided information responsive to each of the data requests below. As used in this context herein, "identify" means to provide the full name, business address, and title of each employee, consultant, or agent who provided such information.
- e. If you do not know the exact answer to any of the requests below, please so indicate and provide your best estimate.
- f. Provide data in its original format (e.g., PDF, Excel, GIS shapefile, etc.), unless otherwise specified in the request.
- g. Send your response to Joan Weber (<u>Joan.Weber@energysafety.ca.gov</u>), sending a copy to:
 - i. Suzie Rose (Suzie.Rose@energysafety.ca.gov)
 - ii. Nicole Dunlap (Nicole.Dunlap@EnergySafety.ca.gov)
 - iii. Andie Briggs (Andie.Biggs@EnergySafety.ca.gov)
 - iv. Lucy Morgans (<u>Lucy.Morgans@cpuc.ca.gov</u>)
 - v. Melissa Semcer (Melissa.Semcer@energysafety.ca.gov)
 - vi. Stephanie Ogren (<u>Stephanie.Ogren@energysafety.ca.gov</u>)

REQUEST

Q01. Covered Conductor Implementation (follow-up questions)

- a. In Data Request 002, Q02, Energy Safety requested PacifiCorp to explain, "How does PC plan to achieve its overall covered conductor target in 2022 (e.g., 112 miles in 2022)?" In response to this question, PacifiCorp provided information on its plans to contract with a Construction Management Partner early 2023. PacifiCorp also provided a graphical representation (figure 1) of the planned schedule for this work.
 - i. In figure 1, construction of the 112 circuit miles targeted for 2022 is shown to be completed between January 7, 2022, and May 26, 2022. Has this work been completed? Was this work completed by this milestone? If the work was not completed by May 26 this year, explain why it was not completed.
 - ii. If the targeted 112 circuit miles of covered conductor was not completed by May 26, 2022, will it be completed by the end of this year? If this work will not be completed by the end of this year, explain why not.

Q02. Pole Replacement Implementation

a. PacifiCorp has set the target of replacing 2,020 poles by the end of this year. Will PacifiCorp be able to accomplish this goal by the end of 2022? What is the status of this program? How many poles have been replaced to date and when will the remaining poles be replaced?

Q03. Provide RSE Values

a. During a weekly call with PacifiCorp and Energy Safety, that took place on June 1, 2022, the following information was provided, "Last year we believed our LRAM would turn into a RSE generating machine, then in late 2021/early 2022 the company pivoted on what risk modeling looks like for us. We decided to change our approach to be in line with what the other utilities were doing using the Technosylva tools... Our goal now is to bring on Technosylva, we're working on a contract for the WRRM model."

Provide an update on the status of your plan to acquire new modeling tools that will provide you with the ability to calculate RSE values.

b. During this same call, PacifiCorp stated something along the lines that it "could probably provide qualitative risk reduction estimate with what we have now, but it wouldn't be a meaningful and sustainable number (i.e., qualitative)."

Provide these risk reduction estimates for wildfire initiatives as best as possible. At a minimum, this should include covered conductor and undergrounding. If more time is

required, provide an explanation and timeline for when PacifiCorp thinks it will be able to provide such estimates.

END OF REQUEST