**OEIS Data Request 7.1**

**Regarding changes in Maturity Survey responses between the 2023 and 2024 surveys – Section 4.1.2:** In its 2024 survey response to question 4.1.2.Q1, PacifiCorp responded “No” for 2025 and 2026. However, in its 2023 survey response to the same question, PacifiCorp responded “Yes.” Similarly, in its 2024 survey response to question 4.1.2.Q7, PacifiCorp responded “No” for 2026, despite responding “Yes” in its 2023 survey response.

1. Explain why PacifiCorp changed its responses to these questions from “Yes” in the 2023 survey to “No” in the 2024 survey.
2. Discuss whether the capabilities described in these questions are expected to be included in the new GeoDigital database PacifiCorp plans to roll-out in Q1 2025.
3. If yes, clarify why the Maturity Survey responses indicate the database will not contain this information.
4. If no, explain why these capabilities will not be included in PacifiCorp’s database.

**Response to OEIS Data Request 7.1**

1. Through the implementation of the GeoDigital work management software and with a fuller understanding of the capabilities of the solution, PacifiCorp now understands that the resultant database does not treat individual trees as “assets” with unique identifiers, which would be needed in order to develop an inventory and maintenance history of all trees within strike distance of electrical infrastructure. When implemented, GeoDigital database or work management software will contain information based on the inspection conducted within the calendar year and work completed. The database will contain a history of work conducted and where it was conducted, however it will not maintain an individual work history for each tree inspected.
2. No. Data described in PacifiCorp’s response to 4.1.2 Q1 and Q7 of the 2024 maturity survey will not be included in the GeoDigital database which PacifiCorp plans to roll-out in Q1 2025.

	1. Not applicable.
	2. Upon reassessment of GeoDigital capabilities, the database is not designed as an asset management database. In other words, it is not configured to track each individual tree over time, nor retain a work history for each individual tree.